

MEMORIAL HERMANN — TEXAS MEDICAL CENTER

2019 Community Health Needs Assessment



Table of Contents

Executive Summary	4
Introduction & Purpose	4
Summary of Findings	
Prioritized Areas	5
Introduction	6
Memorial Hermann – Texas Medical Center	
Vision	6
Mission Statement	θ
Memorial Hermann Health System	θ
Memorial Hermann – Texas Medical Center Service Area	
Consultants	8
Evaluation of Progress Since Prior CHNA	q
Priority Health Needs and Impact from Prior CHNA	
Methodology	
Overview	
Secondary Data Sources & Analysis	
Secondary Data Scoring	
Disparities Analysis	
Primary Data Methods & Analysis	
Community Survey	
Key Informant Interviews	
Data Considerations	
Race/Ethnic Groupings	
Zip Codes and Zip Code Tabulation Areas	
Prioritization	
Prioritization Process	
Demographics	20
Population	20
Age	21
Race/Ethnicity	22
Language	
Social and Economic Determinants of Health	25
Income	
Poverty	
Food Insecurity	
Unemployment	
Education	30
Transportation	
SocioNeeds Index®	
Data Synthesis	37
Prioritized Significant Health Needs	47
Prioritization Results	
Access to Healthcare	



Emotional Well-Being	58
Food as Health	63
Exercise Is Medicine	70
Non-Prioritized Significant Health Needs	75
Older Adults and Aging	75
Cancers	76
Education	77
Transportation	78
Children's Health	80
Economy	81
Other Findings	
Barriers to Care	
Disparities	83
Conclusion	85
Appendix	
Appendix A: Evaluation Since Prior CHNA	87
Appendix B. Secondary Data Methodology	106
Appendix C. Primary Data Methodology	276
Appendix D. Prioritization Tool	291
Annendix F. Community Resources	294



Executive Summary

Introduction & Purpose

Memorial Hermann - Texas Medical Center (MH TMC) is pleased to present its 2019 Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA). This CHNA report provides an overview of the process and methods used to identify and prioritize significant health needs across Memorial Hermann Health System's regional service area (including MH TMC), as federally required by the Affordable Care Act. Memorial Hermann Health System partnered with Conduent Healthy Communities Institute (HCI) to conduct the CHNA for 13 facilities:

- Memorial Hermann Katy Hospital
- Memorial Hermann Memorial City Medical Center
- Memorial Hermann Greater Heights Hospital
- Memorial Hermann Northeast Hospital
- Memorial Hermann Southeast Hospital
- Memorial Hermann Sugar Land Hospital
- Memorial Hermann Southwest Hospital
- Memorial Hermann The Woodlands Medical Center
- Memorial Hermann Rehabilitation Hospital Katy
- Memorial Hermann Texas Medical Center
- TIRR Memorial Hermann
- Memorial Hermann Surgical Hospital Kingwood
- Memorial Hermann Surgical Hospital First Colony

The purpose of this CHNA is to offer a comprehensive understanding of the health needs in MH TMC's service area and guide the hospital's planning efforts to address those needs. Special attention has been given to the needs of vulnerable populations, unmet health needs or gaps in services, and input from the community. To standardize efforts across the Memorial Hermann Health System and increase the potential for impacting top health needs in the greater Houston region, community health needs were assessed and prioritized at a regional/system level.

Findings from this report will be used to identify and develop efforts to improve the health and quality of life of residents in the community.

Summary of Findings

The CHNA findings in this report result from the analysis of an extensive set of secondary data (over 100 indicators from national and state data sources) and primary data collected from community leaders, non-health professionals, and organizations serving the community at large, vulnerable populations, and/or populations with unmet health needs.

Through an examination of the primary and secondary data, the following top health needs were identified:



Memorial Hermann Health System's Significant Health Needs

- Access to Health Services
- Cancers
- Children's Health
- Diabetes
- Economy

- Education
- Food Insecurity
- Heart Disease/Stroke
- Lack of Health Insurance
- Low-Income/Underserved
- Mental Health
- Obesity
- Older Adults/Aging
- Substance Abuse
- Transportation

Prioritized Areas

In March 2019, stakeholders from the 13 hospital facilities in the Memorial Hermann Health System completed a survey to prioritize the significant health issues, based on criteria including health impact and risk as well as consideration of Memorial Hermann's strategic focus. The following four topics were identified as priorities to address:

Memorial Hermann Health System's CHNA Priorities

- Access to Healthcare
- Emotional Well-Being
- Exercise Is Medicine
- Food as Health

MH TMC will develop strategies to address these priorities in its 2019 Implementation Strategy.



Introduction

Memorial Hermann – Texas Medical Center

Founded in 1925, Memorial Hermann-Texas Medical Center is the primary teaching hospital for the McGovern Medical School at UTHealth. Memorial Hermann-TMC provides leading-edge care in heart, neuroscience, orthopedics, women's health, general surgery, organ transplantation and much more in their 692-bed facility. As one of only two certified Level I trauma centers in the greater Houston area, the hospital provides 24/7 emergency and trauma care. Memorial Hermann Life Flight® provides emergency rescue within a 150-mile radius. Memorial Hermann-TMC is also home to Children's Memorial Hermann Hospital. A 342-bed, women and children's hospital serving the community for over 30 years, Children's MH is the primary teaching hospital for the pediatric obstetrics/gynecology programs at McGovern Medical School at UTHealth and is one of the country's largest pediatric hospitals with renowned programs in neurosciences, trauma, orthopedics, cardiac care, and transplantation. Strengthening orthopedic and spine services in the Greater Houston area with a 64-bed facility is Memorial Hermann Orthopedic & Spine Hospital (HOSH), operating under Memorial Hermann-TMC's license. Also operating under Memorial Hermann-TMC's license is Memorial Hermann Cypress Hospital. Memorial Hermann Cypress Hospital brings expertise and top medical specialists to the Cypress and Northwest Houston area. Offering advanced medical equipment and state-of-the-art technology in their 80-bed facility to address the community's healthcare needs, ranging from routine outpatient visits to complex inpatient procedures.

Vision

Memorial Hermann will be the preeminent health system in the U.S. by advancing the health of those we serve through trusted partnerships with physicians, employees and others to deliver the best possible health solutions while relentlessly pursuing quality and value.

Mission Statement

Memorial Hermann is a not-for-profit, community-owned, health care system with spiritual values, dedicated to providing high quality health services in order to improve the health of the people in Southeast Texas.

Memorial Hermann Health System

One of the largest not-for-profit health systems in the nation, Memorial Hermann Health System is an integrated system with an exceptional affiliated medical staff and more than 26,000 employees. Governed by a Board of community members, the System services Southeast Texas and the Greater Houston community with more than 300 care delivery sites including 19 hospitals; the country's busiest Level 1 trauma center; an academic medical center affiliated with McGovern Medical School at UTHealth; one of the nation's top rehabilitation and research hospitals; and numerous specialty programs and services.


Memorial Hermann has been a trusted healthcare resource for more than 110 years and as Greater Houston's only full-service, clinically integrated health system, we continue to identify and meet our region's healthcare needs. Among our diverse portfolio is Life Flight, the largest and busiest air ambulance service in the United States; the Memorial Hermann Physician



Network, MHMD, one of the largest, most advanced, and clinically integrated physician organizations in the country; and, the Memorial Hermann Accountable Care Organization, operating a care delivery model that generates better outcomes at lower costs to consumers, while providing residents of the Greater Houston area broad access to health insurance through the Memorial Hermann Health Insurance Company. Specialties span burn treatment, cancer, children's health, diabetes and endocrinology, digestive health, ear, nose and throat, heart and vascular, lymphedema, neurosurgery, neurology, stroke, nutrition, ophthalmology, orthopedics, physical and occupational therapy, rehabilitation, robotic surgery, sleep studies, transplant, weight loss, women's health, maternity and wound care. Supporting the System in its impact on overall population health is the Community Benefit Corporation. At a market share of 26.1% in the 'expanded' greater Houston area of 12 counties, our vision is that Memorial Hermann will be a preeminent integrated health system in the U.S. by advancing the health of those we serve.

Memorial Hermann - Texas Medical Center Service Area

Since MH TMC serves a large number of cities and towns, the scope of this CHNA has been narrowed to reporting data primarily at the county level. The service area for MH TMC includes twelve counties in Texas: Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker, Waller, and Wharton. The geographic boundaries of the service area are shown in Figure 1.



Consultants

Memorial Hermann Health System commissioned Conduent Healthy Communities Institute (HCI) to conduct its 2019 Community Health Needs Assessment. HCI works with clients across the nation to drive community health outcomes by assessing needs, developing focused strategies, identifying appropriate intervention programs, establishing monitoring systems, and implementing performance evaluation processes. To learn more about Conduent Healthy Communities Institute, please visit https://www.conduent.com/community-population-health.



Evaluation of Progress Since Prior CHNA

The CHNA process should be viewed as a three-year cycle. An important part of that cycle is revisiting the progress made on priority topics from previous CHNAs. By reviewing the actions taken to address priority areas and evaluating the impact of these actions in the community, an organization can better focus and target its efforts during the next CHNA cycle.




Figure 2. CHNA Process

Priority Health Needs and Impact from Prior CHNA

MH TMC's last CHNA was conducted in 2016. The priority areas in FY16-18 were:

- **Healthy Living**: Encourage and foster healthy lifestyles through education, awareness and early detection to prevent illness.
- **Healthcare Access:** Improve community knowledge about healthcare access points and reduce perceived barriers to care.
- Behavioral Health: Ensure that all community members who are experiencing a mental
 health crisis have access to appropriate psychiatric specialists at the time of their crisis,
 are redirected away from the ER, are linked to a permanent, community based mental
 health provider, and have the necessary knowledge to navigate the system, regardless
 of their ability to pay.

Each of the above health topics correlates well with the priorities identified for the current CHNA (detailed below); thus MH TMC will be building upon efforts of previous years. A detailed



table describing the strategies/action steps and indicators of success for each of the preceding priority health topics can be found in Appendix A. MH TMC's preceding CHNA was made available to the public via the website and community feedback directed to Memorial Hermann's Community Benefit Department:

http://www.memorialhermann.org/locations/texas-medical-center/community-health-needs-assessment-tmc/. No comments or feedback were received on the preceding CHNA at the time this report was written.



Methodology

Overview

Two types of data were used in this assessment: primary and secondary data. Primary data are data that have been collected for the purposes of this community assessment. Primary data were obtained through a community survey and key informant interviews. Secondary data are health indicator data that have already been collected by public sources such as government health departments. Each type of data was analyzed using a unique methodology. Findings were organized by health topics and then synthesized for a comprehensive overview of the health needs in MH TMC's service area.

Secondary Data Sources & Analysis

Secondary data used for this assessment were collected and analyzed from HCI's community indicator database. This database, maintained by researchers and analysts at HCI, includes over 100 community indicators from at least 15 state and national data sources. HCl carefully evaluates sources based on the following three criteria: the source has a validated methodology for data collection and analysis; the source has scheduled, regular publication of findings; and the source has data values for small geographic areas or populations.

Secondary Data Scoring

HCl's Data Scoring Tool® was used to systematically summarize multiple comparisons in order to rank indicators based on highest need. For each indicator, the community value was compared to a distribution of Texas and US counties, state and national values, Healthy People 2020, and significant trends were noted. These comparison scores range from 0-3, where 0 indicates the best outcome and 3 the worst. Availability of each type of comparison varies by indicator and is dependent upon the data source, comparability with data collected for other communities, and changes in methodology over time. The comparison scores were summarized for each indicator, and indicators were then grouped into topic areas for a systematic ranking of community health needs. Please see Appendix B for further details on the quantitative data scoring methodology as well as secondary data scoring results.




Figure 3. Summary of Topic Scoring Analysis



Disparities Analysis

When a given indicator has data available for subgroups like race/ethnicity, age or gender – and values for these subgroups include confidence intervals – significant differences between the subgroups' value and the overall value can be determined. A significant difference is defined as two values with non-overlapping confidence intervals. Only significant differences in which the value for a subgroup is worse than the overall value are identified. Confidence intervals are not available for all indicators. In these cases, there are not enough data to determine if two values are significantly different from each other.

Primary Data Methods & Analysis

Community input for Memorial Hermann Health System was collected to expand upon the information gathered from the secondary data. Primary data used in this assessment consisted of a community survey in English and Spanish as well as key informant interviews. See Appendix C for the survey and interview questions.

Community Survey

Input from community residents was collected through an online survey. This survey consisted of 11 questions related to top health needs in the community, individuals' perception of their overall health, and weekly exercise habits. The community survey was distributed online through SurveyMonkey® from October 23rd through November 27th of 2018. The survey was made available in both English and Spanish. Paper surveys were also made available and answers to the paper survey were entered into the SurveyMonkey tool. A total of 285 responses were collected. Results in this report are based on the service area for Memorial Hermann Health System. This was a convenience sample, which means results may be vulnerable to selection bias and make the findings less generalizable to the population as a whole.

Table 1. Community Survey Outreach

	<u> </u>
Community Event	Description
Step Health Event –	Community event hosted by Memorial Hermann providing park activation,
Moody Park, 77009	walking tours, Zumba instruction, and (through a partnership with Houston Food
	Bank) food distribution to low-income, at-risk, and mostly uninsured residents.
Step Health Event –	Community event hosted by Memorial Hermann providing park activation,
Castillo Park, 77009	walking tours, Zumba instruction, and (through a partnership with Houston Food
	Bank) food distribution to low-income, at-risk, and mostly uninsured residents.
Memorial Hermann	10 school-based health clinics in 5 school districts (74 schools) in Harris and Fort
Health Centers for	Bend Counties, providing medical, mental health, and dental care, along with
Schools	nutrition, navigation, and summer boot camp programs to uninsured and
	underinsured children throughout the Greater Houston area.
West Orem YMCA,	A community-centered organization that brings people together to bridge the
77085	gaps in community needs (underserved residents), nurtures residents' potential
	to learn, grow, and thrive, and mobilizes the local community to effect lasting,
	meaningful change.
Spring Branch	A Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) providing quality, affordable
Community	healthcare services to the underserved and uninsured communities of Spring



HealthCenter, 77080	Branch and West Houston.
Wesley Community Center, 77009	A multi-purpose social service agency providing residents of Houston: short-term rent, utility, and food assistance to prevent homelessness and maintain family financial stability; a career and personal financial service center; and Early Head Start, a child development program serving infants to toddlers to promote school readiness.
Complete Communities, Houston	Program initiated by the Mayor of Houston in five communities - all historically under-resourced, each with a base level of community involvement and support, and with diverse populations. The program is designed to enhance access to quality affordable homes, jobs, well-maintained parks and greenspace, improved streets and sidewalks, grocery stores and other retail, good schools and transit options. Communities: Acres Homes [77018, 77088, 77091], Gulfton [77056, 77057, 77081], Near Northside [77009, 77022, 77026], Second Ward [77003, 77011, 77020], and Third Ward [77003, 77004, 77204].
Healthy Living Matters	A Houston/Harris County Childhood Obesity Collaborative - A collaborative of multi-sector leaders that promote policy aimed at system-level and environmental change to reduce the incidence of childhood obesity. Priority communities were selected due to the lack of access to healthy food options and opportunities to engage in physical activity as well as for their community assets and readiness for change. Priority Communities: City of Pasadena [77058, 77059, 77502, 77503, 77504, 77505, 77506, 77507, 77536, 77571, 77586], Near Northside [77009, 77022, 77026], and Fifth Ward/Kashmere Gardens [77020, 77026, and 77028]
Greater Northside Health Collaborative	Non-profit collaborative whose goal is to expand active living resources and increase access to quality healthcare and healthy food by promoting resident leadership and civic participation.

The race/ethnicity make-up of survey respondents is shown in Figure 4. The largest proportion of respondents identified as Hispanic/Latino (47.2%), 22.4% as White, 20.8% as Black/African American, and the remaining 9.6% of respondents as Asian/Pacific Islander, Other and Native American.



3.60% 1.20%

Hispanic

White

African American

Asian/Pacific Islander

Other

Native American

Figure 4. Survey Respondents by Race/Ethnicity

Survey respondents were asked to select top issues most affecting the community's quality of life. As shown in Figure 5, the majority of respondents identified Diabetes, Obesity/Overweight, Substance Abuse, and Mental Health & Mental Disorders as top issues in the community.




Figure 5. Top Issues Affecting Quality of Life (Survey)

Key Informant Interviews

Community input was also collected through key informant interviews.

Memorial Hermann Health System joined with the Episcopal Health Foundation (EHF) in their key informant interview initiative supporting four Greater Houston area hospital systems in preparing their community health needs assessments. The collaborating hospitals of this initiative include Memorial Hermann, CHI St. Luke's Health, Houston Methodist, and Texas Children's (Table 2). Through this partnership, a total of 53 interviews were conducted with



stakeholders from a range of sectors such as government, healthcare, business, and community service organizations. Community leaders with specific experience working with priority populations, such as women, children, people of color, the disabled, and more, were also interviewed.

Table 2. Memorial Hermann Collaborative Partners

Episcopal Health Foundation's mission is to advance the Kingdom of God with specific focus on human health and well-being through grants, research, and initiatives in support of the work of the Diocese, spanning 57 counties. Through informed action, collaboration, empowerment, stewardship, transparency, and accountability the foundation strives for the transformation of human lives and organizations with compassion for the poor and powerless.

CHI St. Luke's Health, a part of Catholic Health Initiatives (CHI), one of the nation's largest health systems, is dedicated to a mission of enhancing community health through high-quality, cost-effective care. Through partnerships with physicians and community partners, CHI St. Luke's Health serves Greater Houston with its commitment to excellence and compassion in caring for the whole person while creating healthier communities.

Houston Methodist is a nonprofit health care organization serving Greater Houston, dedicated to excellence in research, education, and patient care. Houston Methodist brings compassion and spirituality to all its endeavors to help meet the health needs of the community through the system's I CARE values: integrity, compassion, accountability, respect, and excellence.

Texas Children's Hospital is a not-for-profit organization whose mission is to create a healthier future for children and women throughout Greater Houston and the global community by leading in patient care, education, and research. Texas Children's is committed to creating a healthy community for children by providing the best pediatric care possible, through groundbreaking research and emphasis on education, while also offering a full continuum of family-centered care for women, from obstetrics to well-woman care.

In total, 64 key informant interviews were conducted by phone from August through November 2018; 53 key informant interviews were conducted through the collaborative and 11 interviews were conducted by HCI.

Interviewees who were asked to participate were recognized as having expertise in public health, special knowledge of community health needs and/or represented the broad interest of the community served by the hospital, and/or could speak to the needs of medically underserved or vulnerable populations. Efforts were made to identify interviewees working in and/or knowledgeable about the counties in Memorial Hermann Health System's service area. As seen in Table 3, some interviewees were identified with knowledge of multiple counties.

Table 3. Key Informants by County

County	Key Informants
Austin	Included in Multiple Counties
Brazoria	3
Chambers	2



County	Key Informants
Fort Bend	10
Galveston	7
Harris	28
Liberty	1
Montgomery	4
San Jacinto	Included in Multiple Counties
Walker	Included in Multiple Counties
Waller	2
Wharton	2
Multiple Counties*	5
Total	64

^{*}Five (5) of the Key Informant Interviews represented 2 or more counties, including: Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker, Waller, and Wharton counties.

Interviews were transcribed and analyzed using the qualitative analytic tool, Dedoose¹. Interview excerpts were coded by relevant topic areas and key health themes. Three approaches were used to assess the relative importance of the needs discussed in these interviews. These approaches included: the frequency by which a health topic was discussed across all interviews; the frequency by which a topic was described by the key informant as a barrier/challenge; and the frequency by which a topic was mentioned per interviewee.

Data Considerations

Several limitations of data should be considered when reviewing the findings presented in this report. Although the topics by which data are organized cover a wide range of health and health-related areas, data availability varies by health topic. Some topics contain a robust set of secondary data indicators, while others may have a limited number of indicators or limited subpopulations covered by those specific indicators.

Data scores represent the relative community health need according to the secondary data for each topic and should not be considered to be a comprehensive result on their own. In addition, these scores reflect the secondary data results for the population as a whole, and do not represent the health or socioeconomic need that is much greater for some subpopulations. Moreover, many of the secondary data indicators included in the findings are collected by survey, and though specific methods are used to best represent the population at large, these measures are subject to instability, especially for smaller populations. The Index of Disparity is also limited by data availability, where indicator data varies based on the population groups and service areas being analyzed.

¹ Dedoose Version 8.0.35, web application for managing, analyzing, and presenting qualitative and mixed method research data (2018). Los Angeles, CA: SocioCultural Research Consultants, LLC www.dedoose.com



Race/Ethnic Groupings

The secondary data presented in this report derive from multiple sources, which may present race and ethnicity data using dissimilar nomenclature. For consistency with data sources throughout the report, subpopulation data may use different terms to describe the same or similar groups of community members.

Zip Codes and Zip Code Tabulation Areas

This report presents both ZIP Code and ZIP Code Tabulation Area (ZCTA) data. ZIP or Zone Improvement Plan Codes were created by the U.S. Postal Service to improve mail delivery service. They are based on postal routes, which factor in delivery-area, mail volume and geographic location. They are not designed to be used for statistical reporting and may change frequently. Some ZIP Codes may only include P.O. boxes or cover large unpopulated areas. ZCTAs or ZIP Code Tabulation Areas were created by the U.S. Census Bureau and are generalized representations of ZIP Codes that have been assigned to census blocks. Therefore, ZCTAs are representative of geographic locations of populated areas. In most cases, the ZCTA will be the same as its ZIP Code. ZCTAs will not necessarily exist for ZIP Code areas with only businesses, single or multiple addresses, or for large unpopulated areas. Since ZCTAs are based on the most recent Census data, they are more stable than ZIP Codes and do not change as frequently.

Demographics for this report are sourced from the United States Census Bureau, which presents ZCTA estimates. Tables and figures in the Demographics section of this report reference ZIP Codes in title (for purposes of familiarity) but show values of ZCTAs. Data from other sources is representative by ZIP Codes and are labeled as such.

Prioritization

In order to focus efforts on a smaller number of the most significant community issues, sixteen representatives from the Memorial Hermann Health System (one or more representing each facility) participated in an online prioritization process to prioritize the fifteen significant health needs identified through the secondary and primary data analyses. The prioritized health needs will be under consideration for the development of an implementation plan that will address some of the community's most pressing health issues.

Prioritization Process

To prioritize significant health needs, Memorial Hermann stakeholders participated in an online webinar on March 7, 2019 to review data synthesis results followed by completion of a prioritization matrix listing significant health needs and four criteria by which to rate each need. Participants scored each need for each of the criteria on a scale from 1-5, with 1 meaning the respondent strongly disagrees to 5 meaning the respondent strongly agrees that the health need meets the criterion. Respondents were also able to select "Don't Know/Unsure" for each health need.

The criteria for prioritization included to what extent an issue:

- Impacts many people in the community
- Significantly impacts subgroups in the community (gender, race/ethnicity, LGBTQ, etc.)



- Has inadequate existing resources in the community
- Has high risk for disease or death

Completion of the prioritization matrix in Appendix D resulted in numerical scores for each health need that corresponded to how well each health need met the criteria for prioritization. The scores were ranked from highest to lowest (Table 4).

Table 4. Results from Memorial Hermann Prioritization Matrix

Significant Health Need	Impact on		Inadaguata	High Risk	Average
Significant nearth Need	Impact on	Impact on	Inadequate	nigii kisk	Average
	Community	Subgroups	Resources		Score
Obesity (Exercise,	4.69	4.00	3.19	4.50	4.09
Nutrition and Weight)					
Mental Health	4.44	3.44	4.50	3.75	4.03
Diabetes	4.50	4.00	3.25	4.19	3.98
Lack of Health Insurance	4.31	4.19	3.38	4.00	3.97
Low-	4.19	4.19	3.44	4.00	3.95
Income/Underserved					
Heart Disease/ Stroke	4.44	3.82	2.81	4.44	3.88
Substance Abuse	3.56	3.88	3.63	4.19	3.81
Access to Health	4.00	3.94	3.25	3.88	3.77
Services					
Older Adults and Aging	4.38	3.81	3.13	3.75	3.76
Food Insecurity	3.88	4.00	3.44	3.50	3.70
Cancers	4.19	3.19	3.00	4.31	3.67
Education	3.88	3.81	3.00	3.13	3.45
Transportation	4.00	3.88	2.81	3.00	3.42
Children's Health	4.00	3.50	3.00	3.19	3.42
Economy	3.31	3.31	2.69	2.88	3.05


In addition to rating each need in the matrix, prioritization participants were asked to rate the level of importance of Memorial Hermann's 4 strategic pillars.

- 1. Improving **Access to Healthcare** through programming, education, and social service support;
- 2. Addressing **Emotional Well-being** (mental and behavioral health) through innovative access points;
- 3. Promoting the importance of a healthy diet through screening and creating access to nutritious **Food as Health**; and,
- 4. Fostering improved health through **Exercise Is Medicine** with culturally appropriate activities.

Each of these intersecting pillars connect to each other through various points in Memorial Hermann programs and initiatives advancing the health of our communities (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Memorial Hermann's Four Pillars for Community Health



Over 93% of participants responded that the 4 pillars were important or very important. The Memorial Hermann Community Benefit team reviewed these findings, and taking into account the alignment of top needs with Memorial Hermann's strategic focus areas, a decision was made to integrate:

- Lack of Health Insurance, Low-Income/Underserved, and Access to Health Services into Pillar 1: Access to Healthcare
- Mental Health and Substance Abuse into Pillar 2: Emotional Well-Being
- Diabetes, Food Insecurity and Heart Disease/Stroke into Pillar 3: Food as Health
- Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) into Pillar 4: Exercise Is Medicine

Through this system-wide prioritization process, the following four priorities for Memorial Hermann Health System are:

- Access to Healthcare (addressing Access to Health Services, Lack of Health Insurance, and Low-Income/Underserved)
- Emotional Wellbeing (addressing Mental Health and Substance Abuse)
- Food as Health (addressing Diabetes, Food Insecurity, and Heart Disease/Stroke)
- Exercise Is Medicine (addressing Obesity)

These four health topics will be explored further in order to understand how findings from the secondary and primary data analyses resulted in each issue being a high priority health need for Memorial Hermann Health System.




Demographics

The following section explores the demographic profile of MH TMC's service area, including the following 12 counties: Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker, Waller, and Wharton. The demographics of a community significantly impact its health profile. Different race/ethnic, age, and socioeconomic groups have unique needs and require different approaches to health improvement efforts. All demographic estimates are sourced from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2013-2017 American Community Survey unless otherwise indicated. Since the facility serves all 12 counties in the region, demographics figures in this section show information on all counties.

Population

According to the U.S. Census Bureau's 2013-2017 American Community Survey, the 12 counties in MH TMC's service area had populations ranging from 28,270 to 4,652,980. Figure 7 illustrates the population size by county. The most populous county in MH TMC's service area is Harris County (over 4.6 million residents), while the least populous is San Jacinto County (28,270 residents).



Age

Figure 8 shows the population within MH TMC's service area that is under 18 years old. As shown, 28% of Chambers County's population and nearly 28% of Fort Bend County's population is under 18. Chambers, Fort Bend, Harris, Brazoria, Montgomery, and Wharton counties all have higher proportions of residents under 18 compared to both the state and national values (26% and 22.6%, respectively). At 15.3%, Walker County has the lowest proportion of residents under the age of 18.




Figure 8. Population Under 18

As shown in Figure 9, five counties have smaller proportions of older adults compared to Texas (12.3%): Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Harris, and Waller counties. Nine counties have smaller proportions compared to the U.S. (15.6%); all, but Austin, San Jacinto and Wharton counties. In Harris County, 10.2% of the population is over 65 years old, which is the lowest proportion in the region; in San Jacinto County, 21.4% of residents are over 65, which is the highest proportion in the region.



21.4% 16.7%

Figure 9. Population Over 65

18.6% 20% 15.6% 13.8% 12.7% 11.8% 15% 12.8% 12.9% 11.5% 11.4% 10.6% 12.3% 10.2% 10% 5% liberty Northoused Paristo Maker Maller Marcon 0% w Fort Bend

Figure 10 shows that Harris County has a larger proportion of residents under 5 years old (7.7%) compared to Texas and the U.S. (7.2% and 6.1%, respectively). Compared to the state and national values, Walker and San Jacinto counties have lower proportions of residents under 5 (4.5% and 5.5%, respectively).




Figure 10. Population Under 5

Race/Ethnicity

25%


The race and ethnicity composition of a population is important in planning for future community needs, particularly for schools, businesses, community centers, health care and



child care. Race and ethnicity data are also useful for identifying and understanding disparities in housing, employment, income, and poverty.

Figure 11, Figure 12, Figure 13, and Figure 14 show the racial composition of residents across all twelve counties within MH TMC's service area. In 11 of the 12 counties, the largest proportion of residents identify as White, non-Hispanic (ranging between 34.4% and 74.9% of the population). Harris County is the only county in the service area with a larger proportion of residents identifying as Hispanic or Latino (42.2%) compared to White, non-Hispanic residents (30.6%). In addition, over 10% of residents identify as Black or African American in 8 of the 12 counties. Also, over 5% of residents identify as Asian in 3 of the 12 counties (Brazoria, Fort Bend and Harris counties).

Figure 11. Race/Ethnicity by County (Austin, Brazoria, and Chambers)



Fort Bend Harris Galveston 2.0% 2.3% 1.9% White, non-Hispanic Black or African 24 2% 23.9% 30.6% 34.4% American 42.2% Asian 58.0% 19.2% Hispanic or Latino 12.5% 3.3% 18.5% (of any race) 20.2% Other


Figure 12. Race/Ethnicity by County (Fort Bend, Galveston, and Harris)

Liberty San Jacinto Montgomery 1.8% 1.9% 2.8% White, non-Hispanic 0.0% 12.5% Black or African 23.0% American 10.7% Asian

Figure 13. Race/Ethnicity by County (Liberty, Montgomery, and San Jacinto)

Figure 14. Race/Ethnicity by County (Walker, Waller, and Wharton)

67.9%



Language

1.7%

65.8%

4.5%

21.9%

10.0%

0.6%

Language is an important factor to consider for outreach efforts in order to ensure that community members are aware of available programs and services.




Figure 15. Language Other than English Spoken at Home

Hispanic or Latino

(of any race) Other

74.9%

Figure 15 shows the proportion of residents who speak a language other than English at home. Approximately 38% of residents in Fort Bend County and almost 44% of residents in Harris County speak a language other than English as compared to 35.3% in Texas and 21.3% in the U.S. This is an important consideration for the effectiveness of services and outreach efforts, which may be more effective if conducted in languages other than English alone.

Table 5. Language Other than English Spoken at Home: Trend Over Time

	· -unguage oure	than English Spok	en at momer mena	Over Time
County	2014	2015	2016	2017
Austin	19.8%	19.9%	20.1%	20.2%
Brazoria	25.9%	25.8%	25.9%	26.0%
Chambers	18.4%	19.7%	19.1%	18.2%
Fort Bend	38.1%	38.2%	38.4%	38.4%
Galveston	19.5%	20.0%	20.2%	20.1%
Harris	42.9%	43.1%	43.4%	43.7%
Liberty	17.1%	17.7%	18.5%	18.9%
Montgomery	19.3%	19.5%	20.0%	21.0%
San Jacinto	8.9%	9.6%	10.7%	10.5%
Walker	15.4%	16.2%	16.6%	16.5%
Waller	24.8%	24.3%	24.6%	26.1%
Wharton	26.1%	26.4%	25.0%	24.6%
Texas	34.9%	35.0%	35.2%	35.3%
United States	20.9%	21.0%	21.1%	21.3%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

As shown in Table 5, the proportion of residents who speak a language other than English at home has remained relatively consistent between 2014 and 2017. Most counties experienced a slight increase over time, except for Chambers and Wharton counties. San Jacinto County has the lowest proportion across the service area, with only 10.5% of its residents speaking a language other than English at home.

Social and Economic Determinants of Health

This section explores the economic, environmental, and social determinants of health in MH TMC's service area. Social determinants are the conditions in which people are born, grow, work, live, and age, and the wider set of forces and systems shaping the conditions of daily life.

Income

Median household income reflects the relative affluence and prosperity of an area. Areas with higher median household incomes are likely to have a greater share of educated residents and lower unemployment rates.

Figure 16 compares the median household income values for all 12 counties in MH TMC's service area to the median household income value for Texas and the U.S. (\$57,051 and \$57,652, respectively). Fort Bend County's median household income of \$93,645 is the highest of the 12 counties, while Walker County's median household income of \$41,456 is the lowest. Harris County's median household income (\$57,791) is similar to the state and national values.






Figure 16. Median Household Income

Table 6 shows the trend in median household income for all counties within MH TMC's service area. All, but one county experienced an increase in median household income between 2014 and 2017. San Jacinto County is the only exception, decreasing from \$46,969 to \$43,421.

Table 6. Median Household Income: Trend Over Time

County	2014	2015	2016	2017
Austin	\$54,603	\$53,687	\$56,681	\$62,614
Brazoria	\$69,092	\$69,749	\$72,006	\$76,426
Chambers	\$72,239	\$70,544	\$70,396	\$74,368
Fort Bend	\$86,407	\$89,152	\$91,152	\$93,645
Galveston	\$61,744	\$62,313	\$63,064	\$65,702
Harris	\$53,822	\$54,457	\$55,584	\$57,791
Liberty	\$47,722	\$48,729	\$49,655	\$48,344
Montgomery	\$68,840	\$68,838	\$70,805	\$74,323
San Jacinto	\$46,969	\$43,029	\$44,878	\$43,421
Walker	\$38,903	\$37,666	\$40,090	\$41,456
Waller	\$50,939	\$51,348	\$53,508	\$53,506
Wharton	\$41,992	\$45,176	\$46,445	\$50,145
Texas	\$53,482	\$53,889	\$54,727	\$57,051
United States	\$52,576	\$53,207	\$55,322	\$57,652

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Poverty

Federal poverty thresholds are set every year by the Census Bureau and vary by size of family and ages of family members. A high poverty rate is both a cause and a consequence of poor economic conditions.



Figure 17 shows the proportion of residents living below the poverty level in all 12 counties of MH TMC's service area compared to the state of Texas and the U.S. (16% and 14.6%, respectively). The percentage of residents living below the poverty level in Walker County is 24.3%, which is three times greater than that of Fort Bend County (8.1%). The counties of Harris (16.8%), Liberty (16.2%), San Jacinto (17.2%), Waller (18%), and Wharton (17.5%) also stand out, with values greater than the state and U.S.




Figure 17. People Living Below Poverty Level

Table 7 shows the proportion of residents living below the poverty level by race/ethnicity. Poverty rates for Hispanic/Latino residents were the highest out of all the race/ethnicity groups in 7 of the 12 counties. Poverty rates were the highest for Black/African American residents in 3 counties (Galveston, Walker and Waller counties) and for Asian residents in 2 counties (Liberty and Wharton counties). White, non-Hispanic residents did not have higher poverty rates compared to other race/ethnicity groups in any of the counties.



Table 7. People Living Below Poverty Level by Race/Ethnicity

County	White, non- Hispanic	Black or African American	Asian	Hispanic or Latino
Austin	5.9%	27.2%	0.0%	27.7%
Brazoria	7.7%	10.2%	3.3%	15.1%
Chambers	8.7%	11.5%	21.2%	27.0%
Fort Bend	3.8%	9.4%	5.9%	14.8%
Galveston	8.2%	23.6%	11.4%	23.2%
Harris	7.0%	21.8%	11.4%	22.6%
Liberty	12.4%	15.5%	33.8%	27.2%
Montgomery	6.7%	13.1%	5.6%	21.5%
San Jacinto	14.5%	24.1%	0.0%	27.9%
Walker	19.3%	34.2%	28.1%	29.9%
Waller	7.3%	35.7%	15.7%	22.1%
Wharton	7.2%	37.2%	42.1%	22.2%
Texas	8.8%	21.4%	10.6%	23.0%
U.S.	10.3%	25.2%	11.9%	22.2%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Table 8 reveals the change in rates of people living below poverty level across 4 time periods. Mirroring the trends for the state of Texas and the U.S. as a whole, most of the counties in MH TMC's service area exhibited a decline in poverty rates over time. Exceptions include Austin, Chambers and Galveston counties, which all experienced a relative increase in their poverty rate.

Table 8. People Living Below Poverty Level: Trend Over Time

Tu	ble 8. Feople Living	Below I overty Le	vei: Trend Over Till	
County	2010-2014	2011-2015	2012-2016	2013-2017
Austin	10.2%	11.5%	14.5%	13.4%
Brazoria	11.2%	11.2%	10.5%	9.9%
Chambers	10.9%	10.6%	11.7%	12.9%
Fort Bend	8.7%	8.2%	8.2%	8.1%
Galveston	13.5%	13.9%	13.6%	13.9%
Harris	18.4%	18.0%	17.4%	16.8%
Liberty	18.3%	17.6%	17.3%	16.2%
Montgomery	12.3%	12.0%	11.0%	10.3%
San Jacinto	20.2%	20.2%	17.2%	17.2%
Walker	25.4%	25.8%	24.0%	24.3%
Waller	19.3%	18.2%	19.0%	18.0%
Wharton	18.2%	17.6%	17.7%	17.5%
Texas	17.7%	17.3%	16.7%	16.0%
United States	15.6%	15.5%	15.1%	14.6%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Food Insecurity

The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) is a federal assistance program that provides low-income families with electronic benefit transfers (EBTs) that can be used to



purchase food. The goal of the program is to increase food security and reduce hunger by increasing access to nutritious food.

Table 9 shows the percentage of households with children that participate in SNAP in the 12 counties within MH TMC's service area. Over 75% of the households with children in Chambers County receive SNAP. Fort Bend (73.5%) and Harris (67.7%) counties also have values greater than the state of Texas (64.3%). San Jacinto County has the lowest proportion of households with children receiving SNAP in the service area (49.8%).

Table 9. Households with Children Receiving SNAP

County	Households with Children Receiving SNAP
Austin	58.3%
Brazoria	63.1%
Chambers	75.7%
Fort Bend	73.5%
Galveston	59.9%
Harris	67.7%
Liberty	57.4%
Montgomery	61.7%
San Jacinto	49.8%
Walker	60.3%
Waller	58.7%
Wharton	61.3%
Texas	64.3%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Unemployment

The unemployment rate is a key indicator of the local economy. Unemployment occurs when local businesses are not able to supply enough appropriate jobs for local employees and/or when the labor force is not able to supply appropriate skills to employers. A high rate of unemployment has personal and societal effects. During periods of unemployment, individuals are likely to feel severe economic strain and mental stress. Unemployment is also related to access to health care, as many individuals receive health insurance through their employer. A high unemployment rate places strain on financial support systems, as unemployed persons qualify for unemployment benefits and food stamp programs.

Table 10 displays the rate of unemployment in the 12 counties of MH TMC's service area between May 2017 and November 2018. In all 12 counties, the unemployment rate exhibited a decrease during the time period. In November 2018, the Fort Bend County rate was equivalent to the state and the U.S. (3.5%) while the rate in Austin, Montgomery and Wharton counties were lower. However, the unemployment rates in Brazoria, Chambers, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, San Jacinto, Walker, and Waller counties remained higher than Texas and the U.S.



Table 10. Unemployment Rate per County (U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2017-2018)

County	May 2017	November	May 2018	November
		2017		2018
Austin	4.7%	3.5%	3.5%	3.2%
Brazoria	5.3%	4.6%	4.4%	3.9%
Chambers	6.6%	5.7%	5.3%	4.5%
Fort Bend	4.8%	4.0%	3.9%	3.5%
Galveston	5.3%	4.6%	4.5%	4.1%
Harris	5.1%	4.3%	4.3%	3.8%
Liberty	7.3%	6.0%	5.7%	5.0%
Montgomery	4.6%	3.7%	3.6%	3.4%
San Jacinto	5.8%	5.0%	4.7%	4.5%
Walker	4.6%	4.0%	4.1%	3.7%
Waller	5.0%	4.3%	4.0%	3.8%
Wharton	4.5%	4.0%	3.5%	3.3%
Texas	4.4%	3.7%	3.7%	3.5%
United States	4.1%	3.9%	3.6%	3.5%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Education

Graduating from high school is an important personal achievement and is essential for an individual's social and economic advancement. Graduation rates can also be an important indicator of the performance of an educational system. Having a bachelor's degree opens up career opportunities in a variety of fields and is often a prerequisite for higher-paying jobs.

Figure 18 displays the proportion of residents in all 12 counties within MH TMC's service area who are 25 years and older with at least a high school degree. Nearly 90% of residents 25 years and older in Fort Bend and Galveston counties have at least a high school degree compared to 77.1% in Liberty County. Waller and Wharton counties also have rates lower than 80%, compared to Texas (82.8%) and the U.S. (87.3%).



92% 89.7% 90% 88.0% 87.6% 87.5% 87.3% 88% 85.8% 86% 82.9% 83.6% 82.8% 84% 82.2% 80.5% 82% 78.3% 78.9% 80% 77.1% 78% 76% 74% 72% 70% nery scinto water fort Bend Chambers Bratoria Whaton Waller *Letas*

Figure 18. People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher

Figure 19 shows the proportion of residents in the 12 counties of MH TMC's service area who are 25 years and older with a bachelor's degree or higher. With nearly 46% of residents 25 and older having a bachelor's degree, Fort Bend County has an economic advantage compared to the other 11 counties in MH TMC's service area, the state (28.7%), and the U.S. (30.9%). The proportion of residents 25 and older with a bachelor's degree in Montgomery County (33.7%) is also higher than the state and the U.S. On the other hand, the proportion of residents 25 and older with a bachelor's degree for Liberty County (9.3%) and San Jacinto County (10.1%) are approximately three times lower.




Figure 19. People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher

Table 11 and Table 12 display the educational attainment indicators for residents 25 years and older across 4 time periods for MH TMC's service area. For high school degree attainment, most counties exhibited an increase over time. The notable exceptions were Austin and Waller counties, which decreased slightly across the four time periods. For attainment of a bachelor's degree, 11 of the 12 counties saw modest improvements as well. The sole county that showed a decrease over time was San Jacinto County (from 12.3% to 10.1%).

Table 11. People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher: Trend Over Time

County	2010-2014	2011-2015	2012-2016	2013-2017
Austin	86.0%	84.4%	82.4%	82.2%
Brazoria	85.5%	86.0%	86.7%	87.5%
Chambers	84.0%	83.1%	83.8%	85.8%
Fort Bend	88.5%	88.9%	89.2%	89.7%
Galveston	87.4%	87.5%	87.6%	88.0%
Harris	79.1%	79.6%	80.2%	80.5%
Liberty	76.6%	76.2%	76.2%	77.1%
Montgomery	86.4%	86.3%	86.8%	87.6%
San Jacinto	81.0%	81.5%	82.8%	82.9%
Walker	82.9%	81.9%	82.6%	83.6%
Waller	79.4%	78.1%	78.4%	78.3%
Wharton	75.7%	76.5%	78.0%	78.9%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Table 12. People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher: Trend Over Time

County	2010-2014	2011-2015	2012-2016	2013-2017
Austin	19.5%	20.1%	21.0%	22.3%
Brazoria	27.9%	28.6%	28.7%	29.7%
Chambers	18.8%	18.7%	21.1%	20.5%
Fort Bend	42.3%	43.7%	44.6%	45.7%
Galveston	28.3%	29.3%	28.9%	29.5%
Harris	29.0%	29.5%	30.1%	30.5%
Liberty	8.8%	9.6%	10.0%	9.3%
Montgomery	31.7%	32.2%	33.0%	33.7%
San Jacinto	12.3%	11.4%	10.0%	10.1%
Walker	18.4%	18.9%	19.5%	20.3%
Waller	18.4%	18.8%	18.7%	19.2%
Wharton	13.8%	14.1%	14.5%	15.0%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Transportation

There are numerous ways in which transportation may influence community health. Public transportation offers mobility, particularly to people without cars. Transit can help bridge the spatial divide between people and jobs, services, and training opportunities. Public transportation also reduces fuel consumption, minimizes air pollution, and relieves traffic congestion. Walking to work helps protect the environment, while also providing the benefit of daily exercise.






Figure 20. Households Without a Vehicle

Figure 20 shows the percentage of households without a vehicle for the 12 counties in MH TMC's service are. As shown, Wharton County has the greatest proportion of households without a vehicle (6.5%) while Fort Bend County has the smallest proportion (2.4%).



Table 13. Modes of Commuting

County	Commute by Walking	Commute by Biking	Commute by Driving Alone	Commute by Public Transportation
Austin	1.9%	0.0%	81.6%	0.1%
Brazoria	0.8%	0.0%	86.3%	0.2%
Chambers	0.9%	0.0%	88.9%	0.2%
Fort Bend	0.5%	0.1%	82.0%	1.6%
Galveston	2.0%	0.6%	80.9%	0.9%
Harris	1.5%	0.3%	79.3%	2.7%
Liberty	1.0%	0.3%	88.9%	0.3%
Montgomery	0.9%	0.2%	82.1%	1.2%
San Jacinto	1.1%	0.0%	80.1%	0.0%
Walker	3.9%	0.1%	82.0%	0.1%
Waller	3.9%	0.2%	78.7%	0.9%
Wharton	0.5%	0.1%	84.4%	0.4%
Texas	1.6%	0.3%	80.5%	1.5%

American Community Survey, 2013-2017

Table 13 displays the different modes of commuting used by residents across the 12 counties of MH TMC's service area. In all counties, the majority of residents commute by driving alone, between 78.7% and 88.9%. Walker and Waller counties have the largest proportion of residents who commute by walking (both 3.9%). Relatively few people commute by biking; less than 1% use this method of commuting in each of the counties. A greater proportion of residents in Fort Bend, Harris, and Montgomery counties use public transportation (1.6%, 2.7% and 1.2%, respectively) as compared to the other counties in the service area.

SocioNeeds Index®

Conduent Healthy Communities Institute developed the SocioNeeds Index® to easily compare multiple socioeconomic factors across geographies. This index incorporates estimates for six different social and economic determinants of health – income, poverty, unemployment, occupation, educational attainment, and linguistic barriers – that are associated with poor health outcomes including preventable hospitalizations and premature death.

Counties are assigned an index value from 0 (low need) to 100 (high need), based on how those counties compare to others in the U.S.






Figure 21. SocioNeeds Index by County

As shown in Figure 21, there is a wide range in SocioNeeds Index values across the 12 counties within MH TMC's service area. Fort Bend County has the lowest SocioNeeds Index value (2.8) and Wharton County has the highest value (75.7). Two counties have SocioNeeds Index values below 10 (Fort Bend and Montgomery counties) and five counties have values above 50 (Harris, Waller, San Jacinto, Liberty, and Wharton counties).

Figure 22 shows SocioNeeds Index values broken down by zip code. Each zip code is ranked from 1 (low need) to 5 (high need) to identify the relative level of need in the service area. Zip codes with populations under 300 persons are excluded. The ten zip codes with the highest socioeconomic need include: 77011, 77060, 77093, 77020, 77039, 77076, 77036, 77026, 77037, and 77506 (all within Harris County).






Figure 22. SocioNeeds Index by Zip Code



Data Synthesis

All forms of data have their own strengths and limitations. To gain a comprehensive understanding of the significant health needs for Memorial Hermann Health System, the findings from both the primary data and the secondary data were compared and studied together.

The secondary data, key informant interviews and community survey were treated as three separate sources of data. The secondary data were analyzed using data scoring, which identified health areas of need based on the values of indicators for each topic area (Appendix B). The following tables display the data scores for Health and Quality of Life Topics for Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker, Waller, and Wharton counties.

Table 14. Austin County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Access to Health Services	1.97
Transportation	1.77
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.69
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.67
Education	1.48
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.48
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.45
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.43
Social Environment	1.42
Children's Health	1.40
Older Adults & Aging	1.40
Economy	1.32
Environment	1.26
Other Chronic Diseases	1.26
Respiratory Diseases	1.26
Cancer	1.20
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.18
Mortality Data	1.07
Prevention & Safety	0.99
Women's Health	0.94
Public Safety	0.81
Substance Abuse	0.81

Table 15. Brazoria County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Transportation	2.11
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.80
Older Adults & Aging	1.56
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.53
Public Safety	1.46



Topic	Score
Access to Health Services	1.42
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.41
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.37
Environment	1.36
Other Chronic Diseases	1.31
Respiratory Diseases	1.28
Substance Abuse	1.28
Children's Health	1.23
Cancer	1.21
Mortality Data	1.16
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.12
Prevention & Safety	1.11
Social Environment	1.10
Women's Health	1.10
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.06
Economy	1.04
Men's Health	1.01
Education	0.96

Table 16. Chambers County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Cancer	2.11
Transportation	2.06
Access to Health Services	2.04
Respiratory Diseases	1.94
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.90
Mortality Data	1.71
Older Adults & Aging	1.70
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.65
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.65
Environment	1.52
Social Environment	1.37
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.36
Substance Abuse	1.35
Children's Health	1.33
Education	1.32
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.31
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.21
Economy	1.20
Other Chronic Diseases	1.15
Prevention & Safety	1.04
Public Safety	0.89

Table 17. Fort Bend County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
-------	-------



Topic	Score
Transportation	1.83
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.47
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.45
Other Chronic Diseases	1.44
Public Safety	1.37
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.32
Environment	1.27
Substance Abuse	1.24
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.23
Older Adults & Aging	1.19
Access to Health Services	1.18
Children's Health	1.15
Social Environment	1.03
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	0.95
Economy	0.91
Education	0.83
Prevention & Safety	0.78
Men's Health	0.75
Women's Health	0.71
Wellness & Lifestyle	0.68
Respiratory Diseases	0.63
Mortality Data	0.61
Cancer	0.53

Table 18. Galveston County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.86
Other Chronic Diseases	1.72
Men's Health	1.71
Transportation	1.69
Older Adults & Aging	1.62
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.61
Children's Health	1.58
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.58
Substance Abuse	1.55
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.50
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.50
Public Safety	1.48
Cancer	1.45
Mortality Data	1.45
Environment	1.44
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.44
Respiratory Diseases	1.41
Economy	1.34
Access to Health Services	1.32



Topic	Score
Social Environment	1.32
Women's Health	1.28
Prevention & Safety	1.19
Education	1.13

Table 19. Harris County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Transportation	1.82
Women's Health	1.81
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.78
Other Chronic Diseases	1.78
Public Safety	1.65
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.64
Prevention & Safety	1.58
Social Environment	1.58
Education	1.56
Economy	1.55
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.54
Children's Health	1.52
Older Adults & Aging	1.50
Access to Health Services	1.48
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.48
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.42
Men's Health	1.38
Diabetes	1.34
Environment	1.34
Substance Abuse	1.33
Cancer	1.31
Mortality Data	1.29
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.26
Respiratory Diseases	0.99

Table 20. Liberty County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Transportation	2.28
Respiratory Diseases	2.25
Access to Health Services	2.08
Heart Disease & Stroke	2.08
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.98
Older Adults & Aging	1.95
Women's Health	1.89
Education	1.88
Other Chronic Diseases	1.85
Mortality Data	1.83
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.81



Topic	Score
Prevention & Safety	1.76
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.76
Cancer	1.75
Economy	1.75
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.71
Children's Health	1.70
Social Environment	1.66
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.56
Environment	1.46
Public Safety	1.46
Men's Health	1.32
Substance Abuse	1.08

Table 21. Montgomery County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Transportation	1.93
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.65
Access to Health Services	1.56
Other Chronic Diseases	1.52
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.50
Substance Abuse	1.49
Children's Health	1.37
Older Adults & Aging	1.36
Women's Health	1.35
Public Safety	1.33
Environment	1.32
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.32
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.31
Education	1.11
Social Environment	1.10
Respiratory Diseases	1.08
Cancer	1.06
Economy	1.04
Mortality Data	1.00
Men's Health	0.95
Wellness & Lifestyle	0.93
Prevention & Safety	0.85
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	0.83

Table 22. San Jacinto County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Access to Health Services	2.31
Respiratory Diseases	2.13
Heart Disease & Stroke	2.03
Transportation	2.02



Topic	Score				
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	2.01				
Older Adults & Aging	1.96				
Education	1.86				
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.81				
Public Safety	1.78				
Economy	1.70				
Other Chronic Diseases	1.70				
Prevention & Safety	1.67				
Women's Health	1.66				
Children's Health	1.65				
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.63				
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.61				
Mortality Data	1.61				
Cancer	1.59				
Social Environment	1.58				
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.36				
Environment	1.34				
Men's Health	1.29				
Substance Abuse	1.26				

Table 23. Walker County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Economy	1.80
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.80
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.76
Public Safety	1.74
Access to Health Services	1.73
Social Environment	1.69
Respiratory Diseases	1.64
Older Adults & Aging	1.58
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.57
Education	1.55
Substance Abuse	1.55
Women's Health	1.53
Children's Health	1.47
Men's Health	1.47
Environment	1.46
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.43
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.38
Transportation	1.35
Cancer	1.33
Other Chronic Diseases	1.31
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.21
Mortality Data	1.20
Prevention & Safety	0.91



Table 24. Waller County Topic Scores

Topic	Score				
Access to Health Services	2.38				
Heart Disease & Stroke	2.01				
Prevention & Safety	1.93				
Education	1.75				
Economy	1.71				
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.69				
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.65				
Environment	1.62				
Older Adults & Aging	1.61				
Social Environment	1.60				
Other Chronic Diseases	1.54				
Transportation	1.51				
Women's Health	1.47				
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.46				
Children's Health	1.42				
Respiratory Diseases	1.26				
Mortality Data	1.23				
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.19				
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.02				
Substance Abuse	0.99				
Cancer	0.88				
Public Safety	0.80				

Table 25. Wharton County Topic Scores

Topic	Score
Heart Disease & Stroke	2.22
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	2.17
Access to Health Services	1.96
Women's Health	1.92
Children's Health	1.83
Older Adults & Aging	1.82
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.81
Education	1.68
Transportation	1.66
Other Chronic Diseases	1.65
Social Environment	1.65
Mortality Data	1.60
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.57
Public Safety	1.57
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.56
Economy	1.54
Cancer	1.35
Environment	1.35



Topic	Score
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.33
Prevention & Safety	1.27
Respiratory Diseases	1.08
Men's Health	1.01
Substance Abuse	0.79

This methodology was applied to each of the 12 counties within Memorial Hermann Health System's primary service area and then data scores calculated for the region in order to determine significant health needs across the system. Table 26 lists the resulting data scores for Health & Quality of Life Topic Areas.


Table 26. Memorial Hermann Region Topic Scores

Topic	Score				
Transportation	1.84				
Heart Disease & Stroke	1.82				
Access to Health Services	1.79				
Older Adults & Aging	1.60				
Exercise, Nutrition, & Weight	1.56				
Other Chronic Diseases	1.52				
Mental Health & Mental Disorders	1.50				
Children's Health	1.47				
Immunizations & Infectious Diseases	1.43				
Education	1.43				
Women's Health	1.42				
Social Environment	1.42				
Wellness & Lifestyle	1.41				
Maternal, Fetal & Infant Health	1.41				
Respiratory Diseases	1.41				
Economy	1.41				
Environment	1.40				
Public Safety	1.36				
Cancer	1.31				
Prevention & Safety	1.26				
Substance Abuse	1.23				
Men's Health	1.21				

The analysis of key informant interviews occurred using the qualitative software: Dedoose¹. For the community survey, HCI performed a simple review and analysis to identify top health needs. Overall, each method produced individual results that represent the community input in this report. This consolidated input leads to the prioritized heath needs in this report. This triangulated approach is shown in Figure 23.




Figure 23. Visual of Data Synthesis Approach



The team used the triangulated approach to identify significant health needs for Memorial Hermann Health System. Figure 24 displays the results of this synthesis. For many of the health topics evidence of need was present across multiple data sources, including Obesity, Mental Health, Access to Health Services, Transportation, and Uninsured. For other health topics the evidence was present in just one source of data, however it should be noted that this may be reflective of the strength and limitations of each type of data that was considered in this process.



Figure 24. Data Synthesis Results



Prioritized Significant Health Needs

Prioritization Results

Upon completion of the online prioritization survey, four health areas were identified for subsequent implementation planning by Memorial Hermann Health System. These four health priorities are: Access to Care, Emotional Well-Being, Exercise Is Medicine, and Food as Health.

The following section will dive deeper into each of these health topics in order to understand how findings from the secondary and primary data led to each health topic becoming a priority health issue for Memorial Hermann Health System. For each prioritized health need, key issues are summarized; secondary data scores are noted for indicators of concern; and community input is described.

Secondary Data Scoring Methodology

For each indicator, each county in MH TMC's service area was assigned a score based on its comparison to other communities, whether health targets have been met, and the trend of the indicator value over time. These comparison scores range from 0-3, where 0 indicates the best outcome and 3 the worst. Availability of each type of comparison varied by indicator and was dependent upon the data source, comparability with data collected for other communities, and changes in methodology over time. Please see Appendix B for further information on HCI Data Scoring methodology.

Access to Healthcare

Key Issues:

- Range of barriers, including transportation, access to specialty care, lack of awareness, and fear or stigma
- Lack of health insurance
- Low income and vulnerable groups

Secondary Data

Access to Health Services, Lack of Insurance and Low-Income/Underserved were identified as significant needs for Memorial Hermann Health System. Access to Health Services was among the top 5 highest-ranking topics in the secondary data scoring results for Austin, Chambers, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker, Waller, and Wharton counties. As shown in Table 27, there are several indicators related to Access to Health Services with data scores equal to or greater than 2.

The proportion of Adults Unable to See A Doctor is an indicator of concern for Harris County, at 22.1% compared to the proportion in Texas (18.3%) and the U.S. (12.1%). In Waller County, over 28% of adults do not have health insurance. Dentist Rate is an indicator of concern for Chambers, San Jacinto and Waller counties, with values of 5, 4 and 16 dentists per 100,000 population, respectively, compared to 66.3 in Harris County. Mental Health Provider Rate is a concerning indicator for 9 out of 12 counties in the service area. Non-Physician Primary Care Provider Rate is concerning for Austin, Liberty, San Jacinto, and Waller counties, while Primary Care Provider Rate is an indicator of need for 7 out of 12 counties in the service area.



Additionally, in Waller County, there are almost 24% of persons without health insurance, which is twice as much as Fort Bend's value.

Table 27. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Access to Health Services

	1	1	Table 27. S	secondary	Data Stor	ing Result	s. Access t	o Health Ser	vices		1	1
Adults Unable to Afford to	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
See a Doctor [10] (2015)						22.1%						
percent						2						
[10] Texas Be	[10] Texas Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System											
Adults with Health	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Insurance: 18-64 [9] (2016)	78.3%	82.0%	81.6%	85.4%	81.3%	74.7%	75.0%	79.7%	74.6%	79.7%	71.5%	74.2%
percent	1.64	1.47	1.47	0.92	1.47	1.75	1.75	1.47	1.75	1.25	2.14	1.75
[9] Small Area	a Health I	nsurance	Estimate	s								
Children with	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Health Insurance [9] (2016)	89.3%	91.3%	90.0%	93.3%	91.4%	89.4%	88.7%	90.2%	88.5%	89.4%	86.6%	87.7%
percent	1.58	1.14	1.53	0.97	1.36	1.81	1.81	1.53	1.81	1.81	1.97	1.97
[9] Small Area	a Health I	nsurance	Estimate	S								
Dentist Rate [4]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2016) dentists/ 100,000	37	52.2	5	51.8	42.8	66.3	26.9	45.5	4	43.4	16	45.5
population	1.89	1.17	2.61	1.17	1.5	0.5	1.83	1.56	2.61	1.56	2.5	1.56

[4] County He	ealth Ranl	kings				T			Ī		Ī	
Mental Health Provider	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Rate [4] (2017) providers/	26.9	56.5	17.5	59.8	95.9	103.7	14.7	69.4	7	47.6	33.9	33.5
100,000 population	2.44	2	2.67	2.11	1.78	1.44	2.61	2	2.83	1.89	2.44	2.44
[4] County He	alth Ranl	kings										
Non- Physician Primary Care	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Provider Rate [4] (2017)	37	44.3	47.6	52.2	77.4	72.2	39.2	55	7	40.6	4	50.3
providers/ 100,000 population	2.22	1.67	1.67	1.67	0.67	1	2	1.67	2.83	1.83	2.83	1.89
[4] County He	alth Ranl	kings										
Persons with	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Health Insurance [9] (2016)	81.7%	85.0%	84.3%	88.0%	84.3%	79.3%	79.4%	83.1%	78.5%	82.1%	76.1%	78.5%
percent	1.64	1.25	1.47	1.08	1.47	1.75	1.75	1.47	1.97	1.42	2.14	1.75
[9] Small Area	a Health I	nsurance	Estimate	s								
Primary Care Provider	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Rate [4] (2015) providers/	23.7	65.3	10.3	80.3	71.7	57.2	23.9	61.8	15	31.1	10.3	41
100,000 population	2.39	1.22	2.83	0.33	1	1.61	2.83	1.22	2.39	2.33	2.61	2.33
[4] County He	alth Ranl	kings										

When considering Access to Health Services, it is important to take into account the economy and how financial barriers impact community residents' ability to access care. Economy rose to the top of the secondary data scoring results for Walker County and was the fifth highest-ranking topic for Waller County. As shown in Table 28, there are several economic indicators with data scores equal to or greater than 2 across the service area.

Child Food Insecurity Rate is concerning for Austin, Liberty, San Jacinto, Waller, and Wharton counties with proportions ranging between 24% and 26%. Children Living Below Poverty Level is concerning for Austin and Wharton counties with proportions over 25%. In Harris and Wharton counties, over 14% of families are living below the poverty level. In half of the service area counties, another indicator to note is Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force, while Food Insecurity Rate is an indicator with score equal to or greater than 2 in 7 of 12 counties.

Homeowner Vacancy Rate is concerning for Galveston, Walker and Waller counties. Homeownership is lower in Galveston, Harris and Walker counties compared to other counties in the service area. Median Household Gross Rent is relatively high in 5 out of 12 counties (Brazoria, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, and Montgomery counties). Median Household Income is lower in San Jacinto and Walker counties (\$44,878 and \$40,090, respectively, compared to \$91,152 in Fort Bend County). Median Housing Unit Value is an indicator of concern for San Jacinto County. In 7 of 12 counties, Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage is a concerning indicator. In 5 of 12 counties, another indicator to note is Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs.

In Chambers and Wharton counties, People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level is a concerning indicator with over 12% of residents 65 years and older living below the poverty level. In San Jacinto County, 57.5% of people are living 200% above the poverty level compared to 79.4% in Fort Bend County. People Living Below Poverty Level is concerning for Walker and Waller counties (24% and 19%, respectively). Per Capita Income is below \$23,000 in San Jacinto and Walker counties. Persons with Disability Living in Poverty is an indicator of concern for San Jacinto and Waller counties.

Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force is concerning for Liberty, San Jacinto and Walker counties. Harris, Liberty and Waller counties have Severe Housing Problems (20.9%, 18.5% and 22.4%, respectively). The proportion of SNAP Certified Stores is concerning in Brazoria, Galveston, Harris, and Waller counties. In 5 of 12 counties, an indicator to note is Students Eligible for the Free Lunch Program. Total Employment Change is an indicator of concern for Austin and Liberty counties. Unemployed Workers in Civilian Labor Force is concerning for 7 of 12 counties.



Table 28. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Economy

		1	Tabi	e 28. Seco	ndary Dat	a Scoring I	Results: Ec	onomy	1	1	1	1
Child Food Insecurity Rate [5]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2016) percent	24.2%	20.1%	21.6%	19.1%	22.4%	23.5%	26.0%	21.2%	25.1%	24.7%	24.0%	24.1%
	2.17	1	1.39	0.67	1.33	1.67	2.17	1.17	2.06	1.83	2.06	2.06
[5] Feeding Am	erica											
Children Living Below Poverty	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Level [1] (2012-2016)	25.3%	13.2%	13.7%	11.2%	19.2%	26.0%	23.3%	14.8%	19.7%	23.4%	25.1%	28.6%
percent	2.33	0.39	0.5	0.39	1.11	1.67	1.39	0.17	0.89	1.56	1.89	2.28
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Families Living Below Poverty	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Level [1] (2012-2016)	11.2%	7.9%	7.7%	6.4%	10.2%	14.4%	12.4%	8.3%	11.7%	13.4%	12.0%	14.4%
percent	1.44	0.56	0.61	0.39	1.11	2.06	1.72	0.56	1.22	1.67	1.17	2.06
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey		T			T	T	T	T	T	Γ
Female Population 16+ in Civilian	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Labor Force [1] (2012-	54.4%	58.9%	46.4%	59.3%	58.1%	59.8%	39.5%	53.6%	43.7%	49.5%	50.6%	53.0%
2016) percent	1.78	1.33	2.61	1.17	1.5	0.94	2.83	2	2.61	2.44	2.06	1.78
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey		T			T	T	T	T	T	
Food Insecurity Rate [5]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2016) percent	15.8%	14.5%	15.2%	14.8%	17.0%	16.6%	18.7%	14.6%	18.7%	23.3%	19.2%	15.3%
	2.06	1.33	1.72	1.56	2	2.06	2.39	1.33	2.39	2.39	2.39	1.72
[5] Feeding Am	erica											



Homeowner Vacancy Rate [1]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2012-2016) percent	1.6%	1.6%	1.4%	1.1%	2.7%	1.5%	1.2%	1.2%	2.1%	3.2%	2.0%	0.9%
	0.89	0.89	0.72	0.17	2.28	0.67	0.61	0.17	1.83	2.67	2.28	0.5
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Homeowner ship [1]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2012-2016) percent	63.5%	64.3%	72.3%	74.4%	54.7%	49.6%	64.8%	65.6%	62.7%	44.5%	59.6%	58.4%
	0.56	0.83	0.61	0.39	2.17	2.44	0.83	0.61	0.56	2.61	1.06	1.22
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey		ı			ı		ı	ı	ı	
Households with Cash Public Assistance	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Income [1] (2012-2016)	1.5%	0.8%	0.9%	1.1%	1.0%	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%	1.8%	1.8%	0.9%	0.9%
percent	1.11	0.17	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.89	1.61	0.56	1.39	1.61	0.56	0.78
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey		T			T		T	T	T	
Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Store [17] (2015)	3.5%	6.9%	5.2%	7.1%	7.8%	6.3%	7.7%	5.9%	2.9%	12.3%	11.3%	6.8%
percent	1	1.5	1.17	1.5	1.5	1.33	1.5	1.33	1	1.83	1.83	1.5
[17] U.S. Depar	tment of	Agricultu	re - Food	Environn	nent Atlas	;	П		П	П	Т	
Median Household Gross Rent	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[1] (2012- 2016) dollars	852	951	867	1252	941	937	801	1077	697	809	825	699
	1.53	2.25	1.58	2.58	2.08	2.08	1.42	2.58	0.92	1.58	1.53	1.03
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										



Median Household Income [1]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2012-2016) dollars	56681	72006	70396	91152	63064	55584	49655	70805	44878	40090	53508	46445
	0.72	0.17	0.83	0.17	0.39	0.5	1.33	0.39	2.28	2.22	1.17	1.67
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Median Housing Unit	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Value [1] (2012-2016) dollars	164300	157100	158700	217600	161100	145600	89100	190000	88000	123600	153800	107000
	1.14	0.92	0.92	0.42	0.92	1.08	1.75	0.58	2.19	1.64	1.08	1.58
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Median Monthly Owner Costs for	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Households without a	448	490	501	712	523	534	414	531	396	433	494	416
Mortgage [1] (2012- 2016) dollars	1.58	2.03	2.25	2.36	2.58	2.14	1.08	2.58	0.75	1.69	2.03	1.14
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Household Costs [1]	1512	1645	1549	1884	1648	1504	1160	1635	1111	1281	1435	1204
(2012-2016) dollars	2.03	2.14	1.81	2.25	2.14	1.81	0.97	2.19	1.08	1.36	1.58	1.19
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
People 65+ Living Below Poverty	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Level [1] (2012-2016)	7.6%	8.7%	12.1%	6.9%	8.0%	11.3%	10.6%	7.7%	10.8%	7.2%	4.9%	14.5%
percent	0.56	0.89	2.17	0.39	0.72	1.89	1.94	0.78	1.72	0.39	0.17	2.39

[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
People Living 200% Above Poverty	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Level [1] (2012-2016)	68.2%	75.0%	71.7%	79.4%	70.7%	61.6%	60.5%	73.0%	57.5%	56.7%	59.3%	57.2%
percent	1.11	0.39	1	0.17	0.56	1.33	1.72	0.56	2.11	1.89	1.67	1.89
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
People Living Below Poverty	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Level [1] (2012-2016)	14.5%	10.5%	11.7%	8.2%	13.6%	17.4%	17.3%	11.0%	17.2%	24.0%	19.0%	17.7%
percent	1.33	0.39	0.61	0.39	1.11	1.67	1.67	0.17	1.89	2.39	2.06	1.89
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey				T	T	T	T	T		
Per Capita Income [1]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2012-2016) dollars	28351	31180	29729	37134	32756	29850	22065	35912	22563	16419	23338	23245
	0.67	0.33	1.11	0.17	0.33	0.5	1.83	0.17	2.28	2.5	1.83	1.83
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Persons with Disability Living in	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Poverty [1] (2016)		19.4%		16.2%	22.7%	22.9%	28.0%	17.9%		26.0%		
percent		0.64		0.64	0.97	0.97	1.81	0.86		1.31		
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
year) [1] (2012-2016)	24.0%	21.8%	17.7%	15.6%	23.2%	25.4%	28.4%	19.1%	31.6%	23.6%	30.5%	27.3%
· ·												
percent	1.08	0.92	0.75	0.75	1.08	1.42	1.92	0.75	2.25	1.08	2.08	1.58



Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[1] (2012- 2016)	62.1%	64.6%	59.2%	66.9%	64.2%	68.3%	51.3%	63.7%	52.7%	39.3%	60.5%	61.5%
percent	1.44	1.17	1.61	1.17	1.33	0.94	2.83	1.5	2.67	2.61	1.39	1.44
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Renters Spending 30% or More of Household	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Income on Rent [1]	42.3%	36.1%	28.2%	40.1%	45.6%	46.8%	35.1%	39.4%	43.2%	43.8%	46.8%	29.9%
(2012-2016) percent	1.44	0.67	0.78	1.06	1.5	1.5	0.72	1.06	1.61	1.33	1.83	0.33
[1] American C	ommunit	y Survey										
Severe Housing Problems [4]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2010-2014) percent	12.3%	14.6%	11.0%	14.8%	16.3%	20.9%	18.5%	16.0%	15.3%	18.3%	22.4%	15.3%
•	0.72	1.5	0.39	1.06	1.06	2.39	2.11	1.28	1.28	1.72	2.61	1.17
[4] County Hea	lth Rankii	ngs					T					
SNAP Certified Stores [17] (2016)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
stores/ 1,000	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.9
population	1.22	2	1.89	1.89	2.11	2.11	1.78	1.89	1.78	1.89	2.11	1.22
[17] U.S. Depar	tment of	Agricultu	re - Food	Environm	nent Atlas		T					
Students Eligible for the Free Lunch	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Program [8] (2015-2016)	42.9%	38.5%	28.2%	26.7%	40.5%	58.2%	55.5%	35.1%	59.6%	49.0%	65.8%	59.4%
percent	0.83	0.5	0.39	0.17	0.72	2.22	2.11	0.56	2.39	1.56	2.39	2.5
[8] National Ce	nter for E	ducation	Statistics									



Total Employment Change [16]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2014-2015) percent	-0.7%	3.6%	11.6%	6.2%	3.9%	2.4%	-3.7%	3.5%	4.0%	4.1%	3.2%	1.7%
	2.17	0.67	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.67	2.5	1	0.5	0.5	1.17	1.83
[16] U.S. Censu	ıs - Count	y Busines	s Patterns	S								
Unemployed Workers in Civilian Labor Force	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[15] (July					4.70/	4.40/	6.1%	3.8%	4.9%	4.7%	4.8%	4.00/
	3.7%	4.7%	5.6%	4.1%	4.7%	4.4%	0.1%	3.0%	4.5%	4.7%	4.8%	4.0%
2018) percent	1.28	2.44	2.61	1.78	2.44	1.94	2.61	1.28	2.44	2.44	2.44	1.44

Primary Data

During the key informant interview process, Access to Health Services was discussed over 160 times and was raised by participants almost 50 times in relation to barriers or challenges to achieving health in the community. The primary themes related to barriers or challenges were limitations to procuring specialty care services, transportation to services and hours of operation. In addition to the primary themes, two additional barriers or challenges stood out as key factors impacting access to health care services, lack of knowledge and stigma or fear preventing people from seeking care.

The issue that interview participants were most concerned with was patients being able to access follow up care with specialty care providers. Multiple participants raised concerns that even if patients are able to access preventative or primary care services, they may not be able to access the appropriate follow up care with a specialty care provider. Some participants raised this concern in context of patients not living near a specialist and others raised in context of patients not being able to afford the cost of follow up care.

"...specialty care is a huge problem. They have specialists here in the county, but trying to help our Coastal patients get in to see specialists or just people out in the community trying to see specialists, if they're not insured, and they don't have private funds to pay for it, they just don't get that care. And we see that in the public health programs."

"We can take care of helping them control their diabetes, and keep their blood pressure in check, and we can treat them for that common cold, we can provide that annual pap smear for the woman and provide that mammogram, but it's when the person experiences something of a more significant issue—say they have a gallbladder attack, or they have a hernia—that is just a resource that we simply don't have. Or someone needs to see an orthopedic surgeon. We try to take care of them the best that we can in the setting that we have, but that is a huge barrier that we face with our patients, or that our patients face.



So, what happens is it becomes an urgent situation, and they end up in the emergency room, and they're given surgery on an emergency basis."

A concern brought up by a few participants, that for serious chronic conditions, patients would ultimately end up seeking care from emergency services instead. Another common concern raised by interview participants, was transportation to services and hours of operation of services limiting patients' access to care. Participants described how these factors determine whether patients decide to take off from work and seek services in the first place.

"I would think that our lower-income folks, it definitely becomes more of a challenge for them, when you don't have facilities that are located close-by in proximity."

A few participants described the many services and resources that are available to the community but that many may not be aware how they can access or benefit from them. One participant described resources being concentrated in certain geographic areas and more remote locations not being well connected or knowledgeable about how they may also benefit from these resources. Participants described the potential for more collaboration and partnership to connect communities to one another.

"I would love to see somebody from the public assistance, and I'll just call it Medicaid, or the public benefits, maybe have an office inside the hospital. That would be phenomenal. I've worked in a different state as a hospital social worker previously, and there was someone from a public assistance, from the Department of Human Health and Welfare Services in the hospital, and that person was able to connect the people there with emergency Medicaid, with Medicaid, which also helps the hospital. Have their bills paid and whatnot, and maybe it would cut down on outsourcing and some of the collections and whatnot. I think that that would be wonderful, would be to have someone from the state public benefits program housed inside the hospital."

Several participants described a down-turn in people seeking preventative care service and hypothesized that one of the factors may be related to the immigrant community in the region experiencing fear or stigma related to having to show identification or proof of citizenship.

"Even though we at the Health District do not ask for proof of immigration status, people don't understand that, particularly since we're a government agency, and it's been a real challenge to get some of these folks to come in for services."

There were almost 80 references to the uninsured population in the key informant interviews and lack of health insurance was raised as a barrier or challenge to achieving health in the community 19 times. Lack of health insurance was most often brought up in context of patients having limited financial resources and a factor to not accessing health care services. Participants discussed patients not having the ability to pay fees for multiple appointment co-pays or not seeking care due to competing financial priorities. While health care services may be available in the community, for those who are lacking health insurance, accessing health care services is not necessarily an option. Lack of health insurance creates a particular challenge for those who require specialty care services.



"I think those are the biggest two—access, again, with the majority of our adult population being uninsured, having them try to find a provider that, again, will take sliding fee scale, or reduced rates. Once they're able to access those services, then it becomes a matter of paying for the things that are needed. The patient comes in and we diagnose them with diabetes, then comes the cost of medications, and if that patient is needing specialty care outside of the scope of primary care, access to specialists."

Participants brought up issues related to low income or groups who may be underserved in the community 115 times during the key informant interview process. Particular groups that participants felt may experience added challenges accessing health care services included the immigrant population, individuals with disabilities, families with young children, and the elderly. Several participants raised fees related to co-pays or out of pocket expenses as a barrier to patients seeking initial preventative services or ongoing treatment for chronic conditions. Participants identified several groups they felt were underserved in the community. Multiple participants discussed the unique and specific challenges with providing culturally appropriate care for a diverse and recent immigrant population in the community. Participants felt that families with young children and the elderly population are particularly vulnerable groups in the community that experience barriers and challenges accessing health care services. Specifically, participants discussed these groups experiencing high levels of poverty placing them at higher risk for poor health outcomes.

"Most of them are extremely low income and they fall in those categories where we have a significant number of elderly disabled, single moms and their children, so vulnerable folks here in Houston."

Emotional Well-Being

Key Issues:

- Mental health as part of overall health
- Need for more behavioral health services and providers, and services to support local communities
- Alcohol and substance abuse
- Alzheimer's and dementia

Secondary Data

Mental Health and Substance Abuse were identified as significant needs for Memorial Hermann Health System. Mental Health & Mental Disorders was the fifth highest-ranking topic in the secondary data scoring results for both Liberty and San Jacinto counties. As shown in Table 29, there are several indicators related to Mental Health & Mental Disorders with data scores equal to or greater than 2 for certain counties. Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease is a concern for Chambers and Liberty counties, with values of 42.3% and 38.5%, respectively. Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide is an indicator to note for 9 out of 12 counties in the service area. Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia in the Medicare Population is concerning for Chambers and Galveston counties (12.2% and 11.1%, respectively). Depression in the Medicare Population is an indicator of concern for Galveston and San Jacinto counties (18.2% and 18.8%, respectively). Mental Health Provider Rate is concerning for 9 of 12 counties, with the average



number of poor mental health days being an indicator of need for San Jacinto County specifically (just under 4 days on average in the past 30 days).

Table 29. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Mental Health & Mental Disorders

	1	Table 25	e. Seconda	ry Data So	oring Kesi	uits: ivient	al Health	& ivientai i	Jisoraers	ı	ı	
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease [12]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2010-2014) deaths/	22.8	26	42.3	20.6	21.4	17.9	38.5	18.8	15.5	17.9	16.8	16.8
100,000 population	1.25	1.08	2.14	0.64	0.42	0.64	2.36	0.64	0.64	0.42	0.64	0.86
[12] Texas Depa	rtment o	f State He	ealth Serv	ices								
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide [12]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2010-2014) deaths/	16.6	12.4	15.1	7.3	12.9	10.3	14	14.6	14.6	14.9	15.8	13.8
100,000 population	2.17	2	2.17	0.94	1.67	0.94	2.28	2.28	2.06	2.17	2.28	2.17
[12] Texas Depa	rtment o	f State He	alth Serv	ices								
Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Population [3] (2015)	9.9%	10.7%	12.2%	10.2%	11.1%	11.4%	10.9%	10.7%	11.0%	10.4%	10.5%	11.4%
Percent	1	1.67	2.28	1.33	2.11	1.89	1.67	1.67	1.94	1.39	1.78	1.89
[3] Centers for N	Medicare	& Medica	id Servic	es								
Depression: Medicare Population	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[3] (2015) Percent	14.4%	15.5%	14.3%	12.2%	18.2%	14.8%	17.5%	15.9%	18.8%	15.3%	14.6%	13.6%
	1	1.5	0.67	0.61	2.33	0.94	1.94	1.28	2.61	1.33	0.94	0.61
[3] Centers for I	Medicare	& Medica	aid Servic	es			,	,			,	
Frequent Mental Distress [4] (2016)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Percent	10.9%	9.9%	10.5%	9.0%	10.7%	11.2%	11.8%	10.2%	12.2%	11.6%	11.3%	12.0%

	1.17	0.67	0.67	0.5	0.83	1.17	1.5	0.67	1.83	1.33	1.17	1.5
[4] County Heal	th Rankin	gs										
Mental Health Provider Rate [4] (2017)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
providers/ 100,000	26.9	56.5	17.5	59.8	95.9	103.7	14.7	69.4	7	47.6	33.9	33.5
population	2.44	2	2.67	2.11	1.78	1.44	2.61	2	2.83	1.89	2.44	2.44
[4] County Heal	th Rankin	gs										
Poor Mental Health: 5+ Days [10]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2016) Percent						80.0%						
						1.53						
[10] Texas Beha	vioral Ris	k Factor S	urveillan	ce System)							
Poor Mental Health: Average	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Number of Days [4]	3.6	3.2	3.5	3	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.3	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.8
(2016) Days	1.33	0.67	1	0.5	1.33	1.5	1.5	0.67	2.17	1.5	1	1.5
[4] County Heal	th Rankin	gs										

Substance Abuse is another topic of concern in the service area. Adults who Drink Excessively is concerning for Chambers, Montgomery, Walker, and Waller counties (ranging between 20% and 21%). The proportion of Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths is high in Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Montgomery, San Jacinto, and Walker counties. Liquor Store Density is an indicator with data score greater than 2 for Chambers County.

Table 30. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Substance Abuse

Adults who Drink Excessively	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[4] (2012- 2016)	18.3%	19.4%	20.9%	18.3%	18.8%	18.1%	19.4%	21.0%	17.0%	20.0%	20.5%	17.0%
Percent	1.5	1.67	2.17	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.67	2.17	0.83	2.17	2.17	0.83



[4] County Hea	lth Rankir	ngs										
Alcohol- Impaired Driving	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Deaths [4] (2012-2016)	18.2%	34.3%	17.7%	36.0%	31.8%	37.8%	21.8%	32.9%	40.4%	36.4%	21.8%	27.8%
Percent	0.33	2.22	0.56	2	1.67	2.17	0.72	2.06	2.39	2.67	0.5	1.22
[4] County Heal	lth Rankir	ngs										
Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning [4] (2012-2016)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
deaths/ 100,000	5.6	8.1	8.6	5.6	15.6	10.2	12.9	10.6	13.4	5.3	8.9	7
population	0.5	0.64	0.42	0.86	1.58	1.19	1.31	1.08	1.42	0.75	0.81	0.5
[4] County Heal	lth Rankir	ngs										
Liquor Store Density [16] (2015)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
stores/ 100,000	6.8	2.3	12.9	5.2	8.7	6.3	3.8	6.3	3.6	5.7	2.1	4.8
population	0.89	0.61	2.28	0.61	1.44	0.89	0.61	0.67	0.39	0.61	0.5	0.61
[16] U.S. Censu	s - County	y Business	s Patterns	;								

Primary Data

Approximately 50% of community survey respondents cited Mental Health as one of the top issues most affecting the quality of life in their community and 52% of respondents noted Substance Abuse. In interviews with key informants, Mental Health was discussed 113 times and was raised by participants 33 times as a needs or concern for the health of the community. The primary themes related to Mental Health were treating mental health as part of overall health, address behavioral health in school, need for behavioral health providers and services and older adults with Alzheimer's and dementia.

Some participants discussed a recent shift in care delivery and the continued need to address mental health as part of a person's total health similarly to how chronic disease is managed. One particularly vulnerable population that would benefit from a broader approach to treatment, inclusive of mental health, is the homeless population. Several participants brought up issues regarding a need for more behavioral health providers and services in the community.



"We here see a huge gap in mental health, there's just not a lot of supportive services for mental health, and we're seeing that to really hit our young adults, our adults who are in their 20s. We see a lot of people having a lot of PTSD or even having a psychotic break, and we only have one agency that would support somebody maybe without insurance that's having mental health issues, which is causing so much trauma for them from that point forward. I would say one of the huge indicators for us would be mental health, it's huge for us."

"(...) I think there needs to be more work around funding for behavioral health but also funding for recruiting and training therapists and behavioral health specialists to address substance abuse, anxiety, depression and suicidality."

Of particular concern for some participants is the need to have mental health services that will support local communities having experienced traumatic events.

"And I think mental health is another concern. Especially when you're talking about Harvey, the recovery efforts there. This community also had the Sante Fe [school] shooting, so I think that mental health has to be up there as well, from a standpoint of concerns."

One participant observed recent increases and changes within the local population. From the participant's perspective, there should be more programs or services to address the growing need for addressing mental health in the community. Another participant suggested solutions for addressing the need for more behavioral health providers in the community such as expanding residency programs for psychiatrists and developing comprehensive telemedicine programs to provide services more efficiently.

Furthermore, participants recommended addressing behavioral health with younger populations in the schools. Schools that provide behavioral health services through telemedicine have been received well in the community and the perception is that they are effective. Some participants believe that these programs should be expanded and available across the community.

"We're also looking to bring in some non-profit organizations working in the school setting of community schools, Boys and Girls Club, also, looking at working with our mental health task forces and the mental health deputies."

"There [are] the mental health units that have gone out into the schools. They're not school-based but that's the venue they will drive to with their mobile units. They have a big impact. They're seeing thousands of kids. They've done some telemedicine with mental health, behavioral health, with some of the high schools. From what I've heard, (...) it's been pretty effective and well received."

A challenge that health care providers identified for the medical community is adequately addressing dementia and Alzheimer's within the geriatric population.

"Dementia's a terminal illness. (...) Much more needs to be done with healthcare systems around routine screening and identification of it as an issue. (...) So, that is the first thing that needs to happen. Then



there needs to be an understanding that there are things – there are medications that can be helpful to the systems of the dementia. (...) But you can affect it by addressing some of the symptoms."

Substance Abuse was discussed 55 times and was raised by participants 15 times as a need or concern for the health of the community. Multiple unique themes emerged from the key informant interviews related to Substance Abuse: funding for treatment programs, invisibility of alcoholism, overcoming stigma of seeking treatment, and emerging shifts in outreach models.

Participants identified funding for programs and availability of services for those who may not be able to afford treatment out-of-pocket as issues the community is facing to address substance abuse. One participant discussed the difficulty of making a connection between the jail system and the health care system for those struggling with substance abuse issues.

"We still deal daily with a lot of drug use in our county, and (...) a lot of folks that come to our jail system are being arrested on drug offenses, and that continues to be a challenge to get these people where they need to be, from a healthcare standpoint, especially mental health, and I think this goes for any county in the state of Texas."

One participant raised alcohol abuse specifically as an issue in the community that does not get the amount of attention of other substance abuse topics but may in fact be impacting a larger proportion of the population and connected to many other health issues. Multiple participants identified cultural stigma as a barrier for those who may benefit from seeking treatment. Stigma or fear may be unique and vary from population to population in the community.

"With substance abuse, it's culture and stigma. Nobody goes to substance abuse treatment on their own. They may not be adjudicated but someone is really, really pushing them, family member, boss. No one goes to treatment if they're not under duress."

A few participants described unique approaches to outreach and substance abuse treatment in the community that would support removing barriers for people having to take the first step on their own.

"For instance, it's pretty new, but there's an initiative that's called the Heroes Project that's looking at overdoses, so when an overdose happens, they're sending a team to the ER. So, it's got a peer support specialist, the EMP is involved – but they actually go in to the ER and they do an intervention there to try to help with linkage to treatment so that we can assist the patients."

Food as Health

Key Issues:

- Food insecurity and limited access to healthy foods
- Diabetes and heart disease linked to socioeconomic factors
- Sedentary lifestyle and driving culture
- Food deserts



Secondary Data

The topics of Diabetes and Heart Disease & Stroke emerged as significant health needs. Heart Disease & Stroke rose to the top of the secondary data scoring results for Memorial Hermann Health System and was in the top 5 highest-ranking topics in the secondary data scoring results for Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Galveston, Liberty, Montgomery, San Jacinto, Walker, Waller, and Wharton counties.

Table 31 displays diabetes indicators for the twelve counties in the service area. As shown, Diabetes in the Medicare Population received a score greater than 2 for Brazoria, Fort Bend, Liberty, San Jacinto, Waller, and Wharton counties.

Table 31. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Diabetes

			101	ne 31. 3ec	ondary Da							
Age- Adjusted Death Rate due to	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Diabetes [12] (2010- 2014)	16.3	15.1	14.7	13	17.5	20.2	19.4	13.4	21.1	22.7	20.6	29.2
deaths/ 100,000 population						0.92						-
[12] Texas De	partment	of State I	Health Se	rvices								
Diabetes: Medicare	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Population [3] (2015) percent	25.0%	29.4%	28.3%	30.8%	27.8%	28.1%	31.4%	24.8%	31.3%	28.3%	29.6%	30.3%
	0.67	2.5	1.72	2.22	1.78	1.67	2.83	0.94	2.83	1.94	2.06	2.28
[3] Centers fo	r Medicar	e & Medi	caid Serv	ices								

As shown in Table 32, additional indicators of concern include: Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (Walker and Wharton counties); Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Heart Disease (Chambers, Liberty and San Jacinto counties); Atrial Fibrillation in the Medicare Population (in 7 of 12 counties); Heart Failure in the Medicare Population (in 8 of 12 counties); Hyperlipidemia in the Medicare Population (Austin, Fort Bend and Liberty counties); Hypertension in the Medicare Population (San Jacinto, Waller and Wharton counties); and Ischemic Heart Disease in the Medicare Population (in 5 of 12 counties). Stroke in the Medicare Population is a very concerning indicator for all 12 counties in the service area, with indicator scores all greater than 2.



Table 32. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Heart Disease & Stroke

		Table	32. Secon	dary Data	Scoring F	esuits: He	eart Disea	se & Stroi	(e			
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[12] (2010-2014) deaths/ 100,000	36.7	38.6	38.2	35.4	44.4	41.5	41.1	38.5	35.9	55.5	38.3	47.7
population	0.86	1.25	1.19	0.64	1.75	1.42	1.75	1.25	0.64	2.08	1.08	2.36
[12] Texas Departm	ent of Sta	te Healt	h Service	<u> </u>								
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Heart Disease [12] (2010-2014)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
deaths/ 100,000	176.7	173	194.7	135	170.7	167.6	257.6	173.2	201.5	160.2	194.8	179.6
population	1.25	1.08	2.19	0.42	0.92	0.92	2.14	1.25	2.08	0.75	1.75	1.47
[12] Texas Departm	ent of Sta	ite Healt	h Service	s								
Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population [3]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2015) Percent	8.7%	8.0%	8.5%	6.9%	8.0%	7.3%	8.2%	8.8%	7.8%	8.1%	8.4%	8.8%
	2.28	1.78	2.28	0.94	1.78	1.5	2	2.44	1.78	2.11	2.17	2.44
[3] Centers for Med	icare & N	1edicaid	Services		•				•			
Heart Failure:	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Medicare	¥	Br	5	<u> </u>	0			_				
	15.1	16.6	19.5	13.9	17.6	16.0	20.4	14.6	20.6	16.8	17.5	19.1
Medicare Population [3]	15.1 %	16.6 %	19.5	13.9 %	17.6 %	%	20.4 %	14.6	20.6 %	%	17.5 %	19.1 %
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent	15.1 % 1.56	16.6 % 2.11	19.5 % 2.22	13.9	17.6		20.4	14.6	20.6		17.5	19.1
Medicare Population [3]	15.1 % 1.56	16.6 % 2.11	19.5 % 2.22 Services	13.9 % 1.06	17.6 % 2.44	%	20.4 %	14.6 % 1.22	20.6 %	%	17.5 %	19.1 %
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	15.1 % 1.56	16.6 % 2.11	19.5 % 2.22	13.9 %	17.6 %	%	20.4 %	14.6	20.6 %	%	17.5 %	19.1 %
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population [3]	15.1 % 1.56 icare & M itsn 46.8	16.6 % 2.11 ledicaid	19.5 % 2.22 Services Under the control of the contr	13.9 % 1.06	17.6 % 2.44 uotsayou 42.0	% 1.89 si.r.is H	20.4 % 2.39	14.6 % 1.22 wogthow 46.3	20.6 % 2.5	% 2 2 Marker 42.7	17.5 % 2.44	19.1 % 2.33 undata Wharton 47.6
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	15.1 % 1.56 icare & M 	16.6 % 2.11 1edicaid : ipioze einoze au 44.9 %	19.5 % 2.22 Services supplied to the services 42.2 %	13.9 % 1.06 46.6 %	17.6 % 2.44 Unotsspon 42.0 %	% 1.89 Harris 43.2	20.4 % 2.39 A6.0 %	14.6 % 1.22 w W A6.3 %	20.6 % 2.5 Pacinto 47.5	% 2 Nanker 42.7	17.5 % 2.44 Laurent St. 18.18 St. 18.18 46.1 %	19.1 % 2.33 Wharton 47.6 %
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent	15.1 % 1.56 icare & M 	16.6 % 2.11 ledicaid s eirozeia 44.9 % 1.78	19.5 % 2.22 Services 42.2 % 1.17	13.9 % 1.06	17.6 % 2.44 uotsayou 42.0	% 1.89 si.r.is H	20.4 % 2.39	14.6 % 1.22 wogthow 46.3	20.6 % 2.5	% 2 2 Marker 42.7	17.5 % 2.44	19.1 % 2.33 undata Wharton 47.6
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population [3]	15.1 % 1.56 icare & M 	16.6 % 2.11 ledicaid s eirozeia 44.9 % 1.78	19.5 % 2.22 Services 42.2 % 1.17	13.9 % 1.06 46.6 %	17.6 % 2.44 Unotsspon 42.0 %	% 1.89 Harris 43.2	20.4 % 2.39 A6.0 %	14.6 % 1.22 w W A6.3 %	20.6 % 2.5 Pacinto 47.5	% 2 Nanker 42.7	17.5 % 2.44 Laurent St. 18.18 St. 18.18 46.1 %	19.1 % 2.33 Wharton 47.6 %
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hypertension: Medicare Population [3]	15.1 % 1.56 icare & M 	16.6 % 2.11 ledicaid s eirozeia 44.9 % 1.78	19.5 % 2.22 Services 42.2 % 1.17	13.9 % 1.06 46.6 %	17.6 % 2.44 Unotsspon 42.0 %	% 1.89 Harris 43.2	20.4 % 2.39 A6.0 %	14.6 % 1.22 w W A6.3 %	20.6 % 2.5 Pacinto 47.5	% 2 Nanker 42.7	17.5 % 2.44 Laurent St. 18.18 St. 18.18 46.1 %	19.1 % 2.33 Wharton 47.6 %
Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent [3] Centers for Med Hypertension: Medicare	15.1 % 1.56 icare & M 	16.6 % 2.11 1edicaid 	19.5 % 2.22 Services 42.2 % 1.17 Services	13.9 % 1.06 pugg trog 46.6 % 2.17	17.6 % 2.44 Undeston 42.0 %	% 1.89 Siziana 43.2 % 1.44	20.4 % 2.39 Xt.aqi1 46.0 %	14.6 % 1.22 Eogune W 46.3 % 1.94	20.6 % 2.5 ucinto 47.5 % 1.94	% 2 2 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	17.5 % 2.44 Ee X 46.1 % 1.94	19.1 % 2.33 uotauton 47.6 %

	1.94	1.94	1.72	1.61	1.94	1.22	1.83	1.61	2.33	1.78	2.06	2.61
[3] Centers for Medi	icare & N	1edicaid S	Services									
Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Population [3] (2015) Percent	26.9	31.0	35.0	28.0	29.2	28.8	33.2	28.6	34.4	30.2	31.0	32.8
(2015) Percent	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	1.22	1.83	2.39	1.22	1.72	1.33	2	1.17	2.39	1.67	2.06	2.33
[3] Centers for Medi	icare & N	1edicaid S	Services									
Stroke: Medicare Population [3] (2015) Percent	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2013) Percent	4.7%	5.1%	4.6%	4.7%	5.6%	5.2%	5.8%	4.6%	5.3%	4.8%	6.0%	4.8%
	2.28	2.61	2.06	2.5	2.83	2.61	2.5	2.28	2.61	2.28	2.61	2.28
[3] Centers for Medi	icare & N	1edicaid S	Services									

Table 33 reveals food-related indicators of concern (with scores equal to or greater than 2), including: Child Food Insecurity Rate (in 5 of 12 counties); Fast Food Restaurant Density (Wharton County); Food Environment Index (Walker and Waller counties); Food Insecurity Rate (in 7 of 12 counties); Grocery Store Density (Brazoria and Waller counties); Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store (San Jacinto County); and SNAP Certified Stores (Brazoria, Galveston, Harris, and Waller counties).

Table 33. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Nutrition

Child Food Insecurity Rate	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[5] (2016) Percent	24.2	20.1	21.6	19.1	22.4	23.5	26.0	21.2	25.1	24.7	24.0	24.1
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	2.17	1	1.39	0.67	1.33	1.67	2.17	1.17	2.06	1.83	2.06	2.06
[5] Feeding America												
Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
0.000.700.0												
[17] (2015) Percent	2.5%	6.2%	5.3%	7.4%	8.1%	5.4%	4.3%	5.6%	1.8%	4.1%	1.9%	4.8%
	2.5% 1.17	6.2% 1.67	5.3% 1.5	7.4% 1.83	8.1% 1.83	5.4% 1.5	4.3% 1.33	5.6% 1.67	1.8%	4.1% 1.33	1.9%	4.8% 1.5

Fast Food Restaurant Density [17]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2014) restaurants/	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.8
1,000 population	1.22	1.44	1.78	1.33	1.67	1.67	1.33	1.5	1	1.44	1.17	2.11
[17] U.S. Departmer	t of Agric	culture -	Food Env	ironmen	t Atlas							
Food Environment	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Index [4] (2018)	7.7	7.5	7.6	7.4	6.9	7.2	6.6	7.5	6.9	5.3	6.3	7.4
	0.72	1.22	1.06	1.22	1.56	1	1.72	1.22	1.67	2.39	2.11	1
[4] County Health Ra	ankings											
Food Insecurity Rate [5] (2016)	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Percent	15.8 %	14.5 %	15.2 %	14.8 %	17.0 %	16.6 %	18.7 %	14.6 %	18.7 %	23.3 %	19.2 %	15.3 %
	2.06	1.33	1.72	1.56	2	2.06	2.39	1.33	2.39	2.39	2.39	1.72
[5] Feeding America			I	I			I	I			I	
Grocery Store Density [17]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2014) stores/ 1,000 population	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2
	1.39	2	1.94	1.83	1.39	1.5	1.94	1.83	1.39	1.83	2	1.5
[17] U.S. Departmer	t of Agri	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas		П	П			П	П
Households with No Car and Low Access to a	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Grocery Store [17] (2015)	2.4%	1.5%	1.8%	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%	3.4%	1.5%	4.9%	1.5%	2.8%	2.9%
Percent	1.5	1	1.33	1	1	1	1.83	1.17	2	1.17	1.67	1.67
[17] U.S. Departmer	nt of Agric	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas	•						



Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store [17] (2015) Percent	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
	3.5%	6.9%	5.2%	7.1%	7.8%	6.3%	7.7%	5.9%	2.9%	12.3 %	11.3 %	6.8%
	1	1.5	1.17	1.5	1.5	1.33	1.5	1.33	1	1.83	1.83	1.5
[17] U.S. Departmen	[17] U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas											
People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[17] (2015) Percent	2.4%	2.2%	1.8%	1.9%	3.5%	1.4%	2.2%	2.5%	1.3%	3.0%	0.8%	1.8%
	1.33	1.33	1.17	1.17	1.67	1	1.33	1.33	1	1.5	1	1.17
[17] U.S. Departmer	nt of Agri	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas							
SNAP Certified Stores [17] (2016) stores/ 1,000 population	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.9
	1.22	2	1.89	1.89	2.11	2.11	1.78	1.89	1.78	1.89	2.11	1.22
[17] U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas												

Primary Data

Food-related topics emerged in the community input gathered through the survey and key informant interviews. Food Insecurity, Food Programs and Food Knowledge issues were discussed over 170 times during the key informant interviews and were raised by participants 34 times in relation to barriers or challenges to achieving health in the community. The primary themes related to barriers or challenges that emerged in the interviews were access to healthy foods and affordability, knowledge gaps and limited food familiarity and program limitations.

The most common issue raised by key informant participants related to food insecurity was community members not being able to access healthy foods in their community. Multiple participants believed that in many communities, healthy food options were not available to people within a five-mile radius from their home or work. Participants described 'food deserts' as a top issue affecting health in the community and how limited access to healthy foods also was closely associated with people also being not being able to afford healthy foods.



"We have a really large county. (...) The west doesn't cater to the east very easily and vice versa. (...)

Once you get off of that interstate, you start getting into the east county and west county you'll go miles and miles and miles without grocery stores, so there are food deserts in our community."

"I think the neighborhood conditions can be barriers to healthy living as well. [In] some of the neighborhoods, if they don't have a car, they are on a bus, then there is no market. There might be a dollar store that has some foods available, but there are neighborhoods that do not have healthy food choices, they have a neighborhood little store, and 7-Eleven type of things..."

"Then we realized we needed to do something for the parents, so we created an exercise program for them in the evening as well as that Recipe for Success to not only come into the school but come into the park and recreation center to help the parents with nutritional meals and then we realized that there wasn't a grocery store near. There were several mom-and-pop stores, so we worked with the mom and pop stores to develop a healthier variety of foods for the community."

Participants also discussed the imbalance of healthy food options for those communities with lower housing prices and in general, lower average incomes. One participant described the link between people having to work multiple jobs and having time to shop for and prepare healthy foods.

"We have a grocery store on every corner but not every corner in the poor neighborhoods. It's been my personal experience that eating healthy is expensive. It costs more money to buy healthy fruits and vegetables and more healthy food, in general than it does to buy food that's not so healthy, that's high fat, high carb, high sugar.... It costs more money. It takes longer to prepare. When you have a mom and a dad or either and they're trying to handle two jobs, if not three. They've got kids of varying ages. The mechanics of shopping and preparing meals is probably an activity that gets let go."

Some participants had direct experience with educating the community about healthy foods and eating. These participants shared that some community members have limited knowledge of fresh fruits and vegetables and would benefit from early education for parents and children in schools.

"And there are places which we are really concerned about, which is east of I45 where there's this food insecurity, food desert, and all other problems that are happening, and we're seeing increasing incidence of child obesity in those areas, and those zip codes. So definitely education is the key. It starts from probably prenatal care of mom, and it goes on to school."

In Memorial Hermann's community survey, 67% of respondents selected Diabetes as one of the top issues most affecting the quality of life in their community. During key informant interviews, Diabetes was discussed 64 times and was raised by participants 32 times as a health need or concern in the community. For those participants who raised Diabetes as a top health issue in the community, unique themes emerged regarding how diabetes is impacting specific groups in the community and the way a sedentary lifestyle impacts diabetes. Multiple participants attributed the surge in obesity and diabetes in general in the U.S. to a shift to a more sedentary lifestyle while others specifically identified the local climate and driving culture as key factors leading to an increase in sedentary lifestyles impacting the region.



Heart Disease & Stroke was discussed 34 times during the key informant interviews and was raised by participants 16 times as a health need or concern in the community. For those participants who raised Heart Disease & Stroke as a top health issue in the community, the unique themes that emerged in the interviews were chronic disease risk related to socioeconomic status and challenges with managing heart-related conditions.

"You have so many communities that are food deserts so, of course, I think we are all at risk for things like diabetes and hypertension, obesity, stroke – but, I think in addition to that, those that are most are already marginalized. People who are low income. Low socioeconomic status. So, education, and all of those indicators are probably even more at risk for chronic diseases than someone, for example, who has access to care and insurance. So, they probably are doubly at risk."

Exercise Is Medicine

Key Issues:

- · Obesity and convenience of fast food
- Walkability of communities
- Safety of outdoor spaces and places to exercise
- School-based programs

Secondary Data

Exercise, Nutrition & Weight was in the top 5 highest-ranking topics from the secondary data scoring results for Brazoria, Fort Bend and Montgomery counties, and was the fifth highest-ranking topic for Memorial Hermann Health System overall. As shown in Table 34, indicators of concern related to exercise specifically include: Access to Exercise Opportunities (in Austin, Chambers, Liberty, and Waller counties) and Workers Who Walk to Work (in 9 of 12 counties).

Table 34. Secondary Data Scoring Results: Exercise , Nutrition & Weight

Access to Exercise Opportunities [4] (2018) Percent	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
	66.2	79.0	58.8	83.8	88.1	90.4	59.5	82.7	74.5	77.9	45.2	75.2
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	2	1.33	2.17	0.83	0.83	0.67	2.17	1	1.67	1.33	2.33	1.5
[4] County Health Ra	ankings											
Adults (18+ Years) Who Are Obese [10] (2016) Percent	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
						32.0 %		-				
						1.67						



[10] Texas Behaviora	al Risk Fa	ctor Surv	eillance S	System								
Child Food	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Insecurity Rate [5] (2016) Percent	24.2	20.1	21.6	19.1	22.4	23.5	26.0	21.2	25.1	24.7	24.0	24.1
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	2.17	1	1.39	0.67	1.33	1.67	2.17	1.17	2.06	1.83	2.06	2.06
[5] Feeding America			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			1			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[17] (2015) Percent	2.5%	6.2%	5.3%	7.4%	8.1%	5.4%	4.3%	5.6%	1.8%	4.1%	1.9%	4.8%
	1.17	1.67	1.5	1.83	1.83	1.5	1.33	1.67	1	1.33	1	1.5
[17] U.S. Departmer	t of Agri	culture -	Food Env	vironmen	t Atlas		•					
Fast Food Restaurant Density [17]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2014) restaurants/	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.8
1,000 population	1.22	1.44	1.78	1.33	1.67	1.67	1.33	1.5	1	1.44	1.17	2.11
[17] U.S. Departmer	t of Agri	culture -	Food Env	vironmen	t Atlas	ı	1	ı		1	ı	ı
Food Environment	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Index [4] (2018) Percent	7.7	7.5	7.6	7.4	6.9	7.2	6.6	7.5	6.9	5.3	6.3	7.4
	0.72	1.22	1.06	1.22	1.56	1	1.72	1.22	1.67	2.39	2.11	1
[4] County Health Ra	ankings											
Food Insecurity Rate [5] (2016) Percent	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
	15.8 %	14.5 %	15.2 %	14.8 %	17.0 %	16.6 %	18.7 %	14.6 %	18.7 %	23.3 %	19.2 %	15.3 %
	2.06	1.33	1.72	1.56	2	2.06	2.39	1.33	2.39	2.39	2.39	1.72
[5] Feeding America												



Grocery Store Density [17]	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
(2014) stores/ 1,000 population	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2
	1.39	2	1.94	1.83	1.39	1.5	1.94	1.83	1.39	1.83	2	1.5
[17] U.S. Departmer	t of Agri	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas	1	1	1				
Households with No Car and Low Access to a	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
Grocery Store [17] (2015)	2.4%	1.5%	1.8%	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%	3.4%	1.5%	4.9%	1.5%	2.8%	2.9%
Percent	1.5	1	1.33	1	1	1	1.83	1.17	2	1.17	1.67	1.67
[17] U.S. Departmer	nt of Agric	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas	П	П	П				
Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[17] (2015) Percent	3.5%	6.9%	5.2%	7.1%	7.8%	6.3%	7.7%	5.9%	2.9%	12.3 %	11.3 %	6.8%
	1	1.5	1.17	1.5	1.5	1.33	1.5	1.33	1	1.83	1.83	1.5
[17] U.S. Departmer	nt of Agri	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas	T	T	T				
People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
[17] (2015) Percent	2.4%	2.2%	1.8%	1.9%	3.5%	1.4%	2.2%	2.5%	1.3%	3.0%	0.8%	1.8%
	1.33	1.33	1.17	1.17	1.67	1	1.33	1.33	1	1.5	1	1.17
[17] U.S. Departmer	nt of Agric	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas	Г	Г	Г				
Recreation and Fitness Facilities [17] (2014) facilities/1,000 population	Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0	0	0	0.1
	0.89	1.33	1.78	1.33	1.17	1.33	1.67	1.33	1.67	1.5	1.78	1.06
[17] U.S. Departmer	[17] U.S. Department of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas											



Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
0.9	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.9
1.22	2	1.89	1.89	2.11	2.11	1.78	1.89	1.78	1.89	2.11	1.22
nt of Agric	culture -	Food Env	rironmen	t Atlas							
Austin	Brazoria	Chambers	Fort Bend	Galveston	Harris	Liberty	Montgom ery	San Jacinto	Walker	Waller	Wharton
1.7%	0.8%	0.7%	0.6%	2.0%	1.5%	1.0%	1.0%	1.4%	4.7%	4.7%	1.1%
	0.9 1.22 at of Agric	0.9 0.5 1.22 2 at of Agriculture -	O.9 O.5 O.6 1.22 2 1.89 It of Agriculture - Food Env	O.9 O.5 O.6 O.4 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Purple	0.9 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.7 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 2.11 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas Ogalive St. D.	0.9 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.7 0.6 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 2.11 2.11 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas Harris Harris Harris	0.9 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.7 0.6 0.8 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 2.11 2.11 1.78 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas Harris H	0.9 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.7 0.6 0.8 0.5 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 2.11 2.11 1.78 1.89 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas Wountgom W	0.9 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.7 0.6 0.8 0.5 0.7 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 2.11 2.11 1.78 1.89 1.78 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas Output The state of Agriculture -	0.9 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.7 0.6 0.8 0.5 0.7 0.6 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 2.11 2.11 1.78 1.89 1.78 1.89 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas Walker Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas	0.9 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.7 0.6 0.8 0.5 0.7 0.6 0.6 1.22 2 1.89 1.89 2.11 2.11 1.78 1.89 1.78 1.89 2.11 It of Agriculture - Food Environment Atlas Walker Marker Walker

Primary Data

Over 60% of Memorial Hermann's community survey respondents noted Obesity as a top issue affecting the quality of life in their community. In key informant interviews, Exercise, Nutrition & Weight was discussed almost 170 times and was raised by participants 42 times as a need or concern for achieving health in the community. The primary barriers related to Exercise, Nutrition & Weight identified by participants were walkability, access to safe outdoor spaces and programming that may not meet the needs of communities facing financial limitations, and the convenience of unhealthy foods. Participants also discussed a need to develop nutritional programming for youth in the schools.

Several participants discussed barriers to healthy lifestyle changes and described communities where sidewalks are limited or pedestrian pathways are not available. The ability for community members to make small shifts in their daily lives, such as walking regularly, may be more feasible than undertaking an exercise regimen. The limitations of pedestrian pathways and safer walking spaces prevent those in some sections of the community from making these shifts.

For individuals who may not be able to afford gym memberships nor attend classes due to work schedules, outdoor activities and fitness areas offer a free alternative. Participants felt that in many neighborhoods, these outdoor spaces are not available due to disrepair or unsafe environments.

"And for the activity part of it, it's also an access factor for a lot of people. When we did our survey, one of the responses we had [was that] people couldn't afford gym memberships, and they may not have a safe place to exercise in their communities, if they live in a higher crime area, the kids don't go outside, they stay in the house where their parents feel that they're safe."



"I think the built environment is huge, too. If you live out in a planned community, they usually have walking trails, or they have a pretty fountain area for you to walk around it. They have those little exercise things that you stop on part way around the trail and you do your little push-ups and your situps and your pull-ups (...) You go into these poorer areas and there's no sidewalks. There's no lights at night. There's a park—it's all rusted equipment."

Participants also described programs and facilities that are either limited or lacking. These programs included free exercise programs with child care options, youth sports leagues and recess in the schools and free or low-cost options for air-conditioned facilities during times of the year when the weather does not permit outdoor activities.

"In poor areas of Houston, there's just not a lot of parks. There's no little league, and there's no soccer leagues, and so, there's not a lot of recess in the schools. There's just not—the culture among the kids is just not being created around physical activity."

A challenge that several participants raised is the convenience and low cost of unhealthy foods. For families that may have financial or time limitations, the convenience of inexpensive, less healthy foods is difficult to contend against.

"We have the big chain grocery stores here in the community, but a lot of times, because our population is limited with funds, the bad foods are the ones that are the cheapest and most accessible, so they go in and buy the cheapest/fastest thing they can get."

Key informants also discussed the opportunity to bring exercise and nutrition programs into schools to address childhood obesity and also help with students' overall performance.

"When we launched the CAN DO project, it was (...) to address childhood obesity. We were looking at physical activity, we were looking at providing healthy food and the nutrition guidelines and that sort of thing. We looked at doing it through schools and we actually identified three schools that we would work with and actually end up with one that we could really do something with."

"...the principal and the leadership of the schools were so focused on test scores. We can convince them that test scores would be improved if their students had a healthy diet and physical activity, in terms of connecting the dots."



Non-Prioritized Significant Health Needs

The following additional significant health needs emerged from a review of the primary and secondary data. With the need to focus on the prioritized health needs described above, these topics are not specifically prioritized efforts in the 2019-2022 Implementation Strategy. However, due to the interrelationships of social determinant needs many of these areas fall, tangentially, within the prioritized health needs and will be addressed through the upstream efforts of the prioritized health needs. Additionally, many of them are addressed within ongoing programs and services. Examples of these efforts are provided below by topic area.

Older Adults and Aging

Secondary Data

The secondary data scoring results revealed the topic of Older Adults and Aging as a significant health need. Older Adults and Aging was within the top 5 highest-ranking topics for Brazoria and Galveston counties and within the top 6 highest-ranking topics for Chambers, Liberty, San Jacinto, and Wharton counties.

Although Older Adults and Aging was not one of the highest-ranking secondary data scoring topics for Austin County, there are 4 indicators of concern (with scores equal to or greater than 2). The topic was a high-ranking one for Brazoria County, with concerning indicators: Stroke, Diabetes, Chronic Kidney Disease, and Heart Failure (all in the Medicare Population). Older Adults and Aging was also a high-ranking topic for Chambers County and there are 8 indicators with scores greater than 2, including People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level. The topic was not high-ranking for Fort Bend County; however, indicators of concern include Stroke, Diabetes, Chronic Kidney Disease, and Hyperlipidemia (all in the Medicare Population). The topic was the fifth highest-ranking topic for Galveston County and concerning indicators include: Chronic Kidney Disease, Stroke, Heart Failure, Depression, and Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia (all in the Medicare Population). Although not a high-ranking topic in Harris County's secondary data scoring results, indicators of note include: Chronic Kidney Disease and Stroke (both in the Medicare Population) as well as Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls. For Liberty County, Older Adults and Aging was the sixth highest-ranking topic and there are 10 indicators with scores equal to or greater than 2 (most in the Medicare Population). For Montgomery County, there are a few indicators to note (all in the Medicare Population): Atrial Fibrillation, Stroke and Chronic Kidney Disease. Older Adults and Aging ranked sixth in San Jacinto's secondary data scoring results and there are 9 indicators with scores above 2, out of which seven are equal to or greater than 2.5 and all of which are within the Medicare Population. For Walker County, there are 5 indicators with scores equal to or greater than 2. Waller County has 8 concerning indicators related to Older Adults and Aging. Wharton County has 10 indicators equal to or greater than 2, including People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level (with high disparities) and People 65+ Living Alone.

Primary Data

Key informants and stakeholders discussed Older Adults and Aging. Over 62% of participants in Memorial Hermann Health System's prioritization process cited Older Adults as one of the



groups most affected by poor health outcomes. Interviews with key informants noted the growing population of older adults and needs related to specialized care, financial assistance and outreach. Participants also mentioned existing senior programs and services.

"...[W]e are going to watch the literal doubling of the number of Americans over the age of 65 in the next 25 years. Every day, between now and 2030, day after day, 10,000 Americans will turn 65, so we are watching an extraordinary expansion of challenges of aging. (...) [M]ore and more Americans are going to be getting old, so caring for this massive increase in the aging population is going to be one of the great challenges I think."

"UTMB has a senior citizens' center, and they have an institute for life-long learning, where they offer a lot of informational and educational classes for seniors."

Efforts

Memorial Hermann Health System includes two freestanding Rehabilitation Hospitals (TIRR and Katy) as well as a senior living facility (University Place), featuring independent living, personal assistance services, and a separate, but attached, nursing center.

Additional community outreach includes health education on: Alzheimer's disease, Discounted Diabetes Education, Education/outreach for Seniors, Injury Prevention, Fall Prevention, and support groups for various populations, including: Alzheimer's, Amputees, Cardiac patients, Chronic disease, Diabetics, Grief, Parkinson's disease, Stroke, Survivorship, and more.

Cancers

Secondary Data

Cancer was the highest-ranking topic for Chambers County. Although it did not rank high for the other counties in the service area, there are certain indicators to note. Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate was a concerning indicator for Austin County (with score above 2). Chambers County has 5 indicators of concern (the first four with scores of 2.78): Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer, Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer, Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer, Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate, and Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate. In Galveston County, indicators with scores greater than 2 include: Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer, Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer, and Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer. In Harris County, two concerning indicators are Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate and Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer (both with indicator scores above 2). Liberty County has 6 indicators with scores greater than 2: Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer, Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate, Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate, Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer, Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate, and Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer. San Jacinto County has 5 concerning indicators: Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer, Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer, Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer, Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate, and Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate. Walker County has one indicator with score above 2: Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate. Wharton County has 4 indicators to note:



Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer, Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate, Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer, and Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate.

Primary Data

In Memorial Hermann's community survey, over one third of respondents noted Cancer as a top issue affecting the quality of life in their community. Interviews with key informants revealed the importance of early detection and making cancer screening services and specialty care available and accessible (e.g., telehealth, mobile mammography).

Efforts

As leading providers of cancer treatment in Houston, Memorial Hermann Cancer Centers are committed to cancer treatment, prevention, and research. Their broad geographical coverage makes cancer treatment extremely accessible and convenient to where patients live or work. All eight Memorial Hermann Cancer Centers are approved by the American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer (ACoS CoC); only 25 percent of hospitals across the country have received this special recognition. With guaranteed access to comprehensive care, collaborative team approach for coordinating the best available treatment options, state-of-the-art equipment and services, education and support, and lifelong patient follow-up through the Cancer Registry, patients are able to access a full menu of therapies and treatment options.

Additional outreach includes education and support groups for cancer patients: Art, Self-guided Art Therapy, Lymphedema, Brest Cancer, Oncology Nutrition Therapy, Stress Relief, Look Good Feel Better, Yoga, Meditation, and Healthy Eating Advices.

Education

Secondary Data

Education was the fourth highest-ranking topic in the secondary data scoring results for Waller County. People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher is an indicator scoring above 2 for Waller County. Although Education did not rank as high for other counties in the service area, there are indicators to note. Liberty County has two indicators with scores above 2 and a disparity exists for People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher. San Jacinto County has 2 indicators to note, one of which is People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher; a high disparity exists for Black or African American residents as well as Hispanic or Latino residents. The Student-to-Teacher Ratio is an indicator of concern for Galveston and Walker counties. Wharton County has one indicator with score above 2: People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher; moreover, there are disparities for certain race/ethnicity groups.

Primary Data

During key informant interviews, the topic of Education came up frequently and in relation to different focus areas and target audiences, including children, general community members as well as providers. The link between individuals' level of education and quality of life was emphasized. Key informants recommended finding opportunities to expand the availability of education (related to health and non-health topics) as well as integrating health education into existing activities in both clinical and non-clinical settings, such as schools and churches. Key



informants noted that education (for example, related to healthy eating) must be provided to both children and adults in order for the information to be applied successfully. Opportunities were also pointed out to educate healthcare providers (and provide continuing education) on available community linkages and resources and on how to initiate conversations with patients regarding different health topics.

"I think it comes down to education because probably 75% of our diagnosed diabetes are type 2 diabetes, and that is something that with proper diet, proper exercise, and education that many patients can overcome, and so we have worked, and we continue to provide (...) the proper education."

"We want to go into different groups and educate them on what they should be doing or shouldn't be doing. (...) I think education is a huge component but we've got to figure out how to integrate that. The education, without the integration into somebody's lifestyle, doesn't do them any good."

"The kids do receive education in school, but that doesn't necessarily go back home to their parents, nor do they listen to their children when they do bring it up..."

"...it is the whole family. The mom might be the one who's cooking, and the kids are eating, dad's buying fast food—it involves everybody."

Efforts

Memorial Hermann operates ten Health Centers for Schools, established in 1996, offering access to primary medical, dental and mental health services to underserved children at 82 schools in the Greater Houston Area. Research shows that school-based health centers increase educational success by providing medical and mental health care that allows students to stay in school and learn. The primary goal of the program is to keep children healthy and feeling well so that they stay in school and can perform well academically, creating a foundation for a brighter future. By providing improved access to health care to at-risk children across the region, Memorial Hermann has demonstrated success in creating healthier outcomes for kids, including improvements in their physical health, their mental wellbeing, and even their attendance rate at school.

Transportation

Secondary Data

Transportation rose to the top of the secondary data scoring results for Brazoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty and Montgomery counties and was the second highest-ranking topic for both Austin and Chambers counties. Transportation was the fourth highest-ranking topic for Galveston and San Jacinto counties.

Austin County has 4 indicators with scores greater than 2. Brazoria and Chambers counties each have 5 indicators of concern (including some disparities in Brazoria County). Fort Bend has 3 indicators with scores above 2. There are 2 transportation indicators of concern for Galveston County. Harris County has 3 indicators of concern, including Solo Drivers with a Long Commute, Mean Travel Time to Work, and Workers who Walk to Work (and some disparities related to race/ethnicity and age). Liberty County has 5 indicators with scores above 2 and disparities for



certain race/ethnicity groups. Montgomery County has 4 indicators of concern: Workers who Walk to Work, Mean Travel Time to Work, Solo Drivers with a Long Commute, and Workers who Drive Alone to Work. There are 5 indicators of concern for San Jacinto County as well. Workers Commuting by Public Transportation is an indicator of concern for Walker County, with an indicator score greater than 2. In Waller County, Mean Travel Time to Work and Solo Drivers with a Long Commute are indicators to observe. Wharton County has 3 indicators to note: Workers who Walk to Work, Workers who Drive Alone to Work, and Workers Commuting by Public Transportation.

Primary Data

Participants raised the topic of Transportation 59 times in relation to barriers or challenges to achieving health in the community – more than any other topic. Key informants repeatedly noted that the Houston region has significant transportation issues (including availability, accessibility) that impact community members' ability to access health programs and services, and noted the challenges of residents living in rural counties. In addition to limited options for public transportation, travel cost and time were brought up. Moreover, for certain populations, like older adults or people with disabilities, public transportation is not a feasible option.

"This remarkable spread-out city, the size of Massachusetts, is the Greater Houston Metropolitan Area. (...) This is not a city and a suburb anymore, it's a metropolitan region with eight to ten centers of activity that are larger than downtown San Diego, spread out over this massive area, but getting from one place to another is an increasing challenge. Poverty also means inadequate transportation, we have no really good transit system because it's almost impossible to develop a good transit system for a city so lacking in density and so spread out as Houston is. We haven't solved that problem, and a lot of the healthcare issues come because people [are] without a car trying to get to a hospital, or to healthcare..."

"Houston is really spread out (...) and it can go from city to rural very quickly. The families in the rural communities that really are within a 20-mile radius of the city, so really still within the Houston address, I think that transportation for them is a huge barrier. That's a tough one, because Houston is so big, and it can go quickly to rural, very quickly, and your zip code is still reflecting Houston."

"...we live in a rural community and the lack of transportation to get from one place to another is very difficult."

"You and I have cars, but not everybody has a car, and the bus system here is complicated so if you are trying to go from one end of town to the next, it might take you two or three buses. Transportation can be an issue."

"For some individuals that don't have transportation, usually it's a huge challenge. (...) we do partner with Colorado Valley Transit Authority, and they can provide transportation services. Again, the challenge is making sure people are even aware of that, that they know about the program, that they know who to call to arrange that transportation."

Efforts

Memorial Hermann provides bus and taxi tokens as required for discharge and continuity of care needs.



One Memorial Hermann strategic effort to not only provide the right care at the right time in the right place, but also provide the opportunity to access help/care via the telephone is the Memorial Hermann Nurse Health Line. Established in 2014, the Nurse Health Line is a free telephone service for Greater Houston residents who are experiencing a health concern and are unsure of what to do or where to go. Experienced, bilingual nurses use their training and expertise to conduct assessments by phone, and are available to answer calls 24 hours a day, seven day a week for any resident living in Harris or surrounding counties. They help callers decide when and where to go for medical care and assist with social service referrals and transportation needs.

Children's Health

Secondary Data

Children's Health was the fifth highest-ranking topic in Wharton County's secondary data scoring results, but did not rank as high for the other counties in the service area. In Wharton County, an indicator to note is Child Food Insecurity Rate. While the topic was not high-ranking in the secondary data scoring results for most counties in the service area, Child Food Insecurity Rate is a concerning indicator for Austin, Liberty, San Jacinto, and Waller counties.

Primary Data

When discussing Children's Health, key informants pointed out specific issues such as childhood obesity, access to services, childcare, and being uninsured. Some participants advised efforts to engage children, families and communities more comprehensively.

"A lot of people wont go to a FQHC to get their kid immunized because it's a huge doctor visit that requires a lot of paperwork and time/effort."

"Texas ranks very low in dollars spent on health for children. We rank low in our ranking, generally, in children's health. We're not putting enough money and resources into it. I think we need to shift our attention and (...) give more attention to children's health and how important it is for early childhood development and for brain development and ongoing health in the rest of their lives. I would say put that as a priority. Put children's health as a priority. Not just saying the early years, not just saying zero to five but also throughout early adolescence, pre-adolescence, early adolescence and into the teens."

Efforts

Children's Memorial Hermann Hospital, licensed under Memorial Hermann Texas Medical Center, was founded in 1986 and is the primary teaching hospital for the pediatric and obstetrics/gynecology programs at The University of Texas Medical School at Houston. Children's Memorial Hermann offers care in more than thirty pediatric and women's related specialties including the latest advances in maternal-fetal medicine and neonatal critical care services, and renowned programs in pediatric trauma, neurosciences, pulmonology and cardiac care. More than 37,000 children come to Children's Memorial Hermann Hospital each year. In addition to Memorial Hermann's school-based health efforts described above, Memorial Hermann is an on-going financial collaborator with Children at Risk, a 501 non-profit



organization that drives change for children through research, education, and influencing public policy.

Economy

Secondary Data

Economy was the highest-ranking topic for Walker County; there are 9 concerning indicators for Walker County, including several with high disparities. Economy was also the fifth highest-ranking topic for Waller County, which has 11 indicators with scores greater than 2 and high disparities existing for the measure People Living Below Poverty Level.

Although Economy did not rank as high for other counties in the service area, there are indicators to note. There are 5 indicators equal to or greater than 2 in Austin and Brazoria counties (with disparities for Children Living Below Poverty Level in Austin County). Chambers County has 4 indicators with scores above 2, including People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level (and a disparity for Hispanic or Latino residents). Fort Bend has 3 economic indicators of concern. Both Galveston and Harris counties have 8 concerning indicators related to Economy; moreover, in Harris County race/ethnicity disparities exist for Families Living Below Poverty Level. There are 8 indicators with scores above 2 for Liberty County. Montgomery County has 4 indicators to note (with scores equal to or greater than 2). San Jacinto has 11 indicators with scores greater than 2 and there are disparities for certain race/ethnicity groups. Wharton County has 5 economic indicators with scores greater than 2.

Primary Data

Key informants discussed food insecurity and food deserts as factors related to poor health outcomes. They pointed out that, although individuals might understand that eating healthy foods is recommended, they may not have access to grocery stores or be able to afford healthier food options. Key informants noted the importance of addressing socioeconomic barriers to improve health and wellbeing. One participant noted that while many residents commute to Houston for employment, some opportunities are emerging with larger employers along US-290 and Interstate-10 freeways. Existing programs and services for uninsured and poor community members were mentioned along with events such as the Annual Poverty Summit.

"(...) That's a matter of money. You can educate [a] woman all day long, but if she's got a couple of kids to feed and she can feed them all for seven dollars as opposed to 25, she's going to go to McDonald's."

"I think in some of the lower income neighborhoods, the options for buying food are limited and do not offer a lot of healthy choices, and that a lot of time healthier food costs more. And so the ability to easily get and afford healthy food, whether you're eating at home or eating out, are just more limited for some people and in some neighborhoods..."

Efforts

It's a daunting task in a region like Greater Houston, which has an estimated 7 million people and one of the highest rates of uninsured and underinsured in the country. But Memorial Hermann believes that we can ONLY impact the health of our community, and the health of



individuals, by focusing on the multiple determinants of health that play the greatest role in influencing a person's overall health and wellbeing.



Other Findings

Critical components in assessing the needs of a community are identifying barriers and disparities in health care. The identification of barriers and disparities helps inform and focus strategies for addressing prioritized health needs. The following section outlines barriers across Memorial Hermann Health System and disparities as they pertain to MH TMC's service area.

Barriers to Care

Community input revealed a wide range of barriers to care and wellbeing. As discussed in the previous section, transportation was the most frequently cited barrier in the community, followed by other barriers such as access to health services, healthy food and exercise options, low income, and food insecurity. Overall, the secondary and primary data confirmed that socioeconomic factors impact community members' ability to achieve good health.

"Many things come back to poverty and lack of disposable income."

Key informants described the influence of social determinants of health (including income, poverty, language, education, employment) on health outcomes. Participants discussed the importance of addressing social and economic factors to get at the root causes of poor health and wellbeing.

"I think you have to understand that a lot of folks work from paycheck to paycheck, so if they actually end up at one of these medical centers and they require a thirty dollar copay or ten dollars or fifteen dollars, then they're not going to have it. So, they're going to walk away until they do have that money and that could be months later. So, if they are sick, they're just going to become sicker. So, that's one of the big barriers."

Disparities

Significant community health disparities are assessed in both the primary and secondary data collection processes. The following tables identify the number of secondary data health indicators with a health disparity for MH TMC's service area. See Appendix B for the specific indicators with significant disparities.

Table 35. Number of Health Disparities Identified in Secondary Data Analysis (Austin, Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend)

Austin County	Brazoria County	Chambers County	Fort Bend County
Hispanic or Latino (6) Black or African American (4) Other Race (4)	Hispanic or Latino (6) Other Race (6)	Hispanic or Latino (4) American Indian or Alaska Native (3) Other Race (3)	Hispanic or Latino (7) Other Race (7) Black or African American (6)
()			White (4)
Male (1)	Male (5)	N/A	Male (5)
<6 years of age (1) 65+ years of age (1)	65+ years of age (2)	6-11 years of age (1)	65+ years of age (2)



Table 36. Number of Health Disparities Identified in Secondary Data Analysis (Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery)

Galveston County	Harris County	Liberty County	Montgomery County
Black or African	Black or African	Hispanic or Latino (5)	Other Race (8)
American (12)	American (13)		Black or African
Other Race (6)	White (8)		American (6)
Hispanic or Latino (5)	Hispanic or Latino (8)		Hispanic or Latino (6)
	Other Race (7)		American Indian or
	American Indian or		Alaska Native (3)
	Alaska Native (6)		Two or More Races (3)
Male (5)	Male (10)	Male (4)	Male (5)
	Female (3)		
60-64 years of age (2)	<6 years of age (2)	45-54 years of age (1)	<6 years of age (1)
65+ years of age (2)	25-44 years of age (2)		65+ years of age (1)
	45-64 years of age (2)		
	65+ years of age (2)		

Table 37. Number of Health Disparities Identified in Secondary Data Analysis (San Jacinto, Walker, Waller, Wharton)

	•		
San Jacinto County	Walker County	Waller County	Wharton County
Asian (5)	Black or African	Black or African	Black or African
Hispanic or Latino (3)	American (7)	American (6)	American (7)
Black or African	Other Race (3)	Other Race (3)	Other Race (4)
American (2)		Hispanic or Latino (3)	
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
18-24 years of age (1)	55-59 years of age (2)	60-64 years of age (2)	<6 years of age (1)
45-54 years of age (1)			6-11 years of age (1)
			65+ years of age (1)

Geographic disparities were identified using the SocioNeeds Index. As shown earlier in Figure 21, there is a wide range in SocioNeeds Index values across the 12 counties within MH TMC's service area. Fort Bend County has the lowest SocioNeeds Index value (2.8) and Wharton County has the highest value (75.7). Two counties have SocioNeeds Index values below 10 (Fort Bend and Montgomery counties) and five counties have values above 50 (Harris, Waller, San Jacinto, Liberty, and Wharton counties). Figure 22 displayed the ten zip codes with the highest socioeconomic need: 77011, 77060, 77093, 77020, 77039, 77076, 77036, 77026, 77037, and 77506 (all within Harris County).



Conclusion

The Community Health Needs Assessment for MH TMC utilized a comprehensive set of secondary data indicators to measure the health and quality of life needs for MH TMC's service area. Furthermore, this assessment was informed by input from knowledgeable and diverse individuals representing the broad interests of the community. Memorial Hermann's system-wide prioritization process resulted in four focus areas or pillars: Access to Healthcare, Emotional Well-Being, Food as Health, and Exercise Is Medicine. MH TMC will review these priorities more closely during the Implementation Strategy development process and design a plan for addressing these pillars moving forward.

In addition, MH TMC invites your feedback on this CHNA report to help inform the next Community Health Needs Assessment process. If you have any feedback or remarks, please send them to: Deborah.Ganelin@memorialhermann.org.



Appendix

Appendix A: Evaluation Since Prior CHNA

Appendix B. Secondary Data Methodology

Secondary Data Sources

Secondary Data Scoring

Data Scoring Results

Appendix C. Primary Data Methodology

Community Input Participants

Key Informant Interview Questionnaire (Episcopal Health Foundation)

Key Informant Interview Questionnaire (Conduent Healthy Communities Institute)

Community Survey (English)

Community Survey (Spanish)

Appendix D. Prioritization Tool

Prioritization Survey

Appendix E. Community Resources



Appendix A. MH TMC Impact Report

Evaluation Since Prior CHNA

Priority 1: Healthy Living

Priority 1: Healthy Living

Goal 1: Provide community members with the necessary information and resources to make informed choices for sustained healthy living.

Early Detection and Screening

Objective 1.1: Decrease mortality in the community, especially for vulnerable populations, through prevention, early detection and screening of key risk factors

Outcome Indicators:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target			
Total number of community members educated through programs	5,308	8,847	4641	5,838			
Number of free child safety seats distributed	100	81	74	150			

		7982-HIV	We do perform	
Number of Emergency Room (ER) patients screened for (Hepatitis C and Human Immunodeficiency Virus (Hep C and HIV)	5,354	5,510 – HEP C	routine screening for HIV in the ED for patients aged 18-65 who are able to opt out. We re-started the program in late 2017. 2017 tested = 2366 Jan 2018-May 2018 = 2411 Total: 4777 We previously performed HCV screening through a grant from Gilead Pharmaceuticals but not at this time.	5,621

Priority 1: Healthy Living Goal 1: Provide community members with the necessary information and resources to make informed choices for sustained healthy living.							
Percent of patients tested for (Hep C and HIV) referred for therapy	1.68%	100% of patients tested for Hep C and HIV referred for therapy	Percentages are calculated using total positives, not total tests done since that would lead to very small percentages and we don't refer negative results to therapy. 21.1% HIV patients referred to therapy 63.2% HIV patients found to already be in care and receiving therapy 84.3% HIV patients in total receiving therapy for diagnosis. 15.7% HIV patients not receiving any form of therapy	1.68%			

Priority 1: Healthy Living Goal 1: Provide community members with the necessary information and resources to make informed choices for sustained healthy living. Number of research studies related to prevention, early detection, or screening 26 48 45 29 Number of study subjects enrolled 1,798 No active studies 156 1,977 **Year 1 Notes Year 2 Notes** Timeline: **Strategies:** Year 1,2,3 Implement Live Your DREAMS, a multi-level, varying intensity approach, injury prevention program to 1.1.1: include community, classroom and hospital-based education and training. Collaboration with community 1,2,3 partners re: traffic safety (Graduated Drivers Licensing, increase seat belt use, reduce impaired and distracted driving among teens) 1.1.2: Coordinate child safety seat distribution and education program for low income families 1,2,3 Provide infant safety curriculum to University of Texas (UT) School of Nursing students in the Newborn School of 1.1.3: and New Parent Care program to educate pregnant and parenting teens to increase preventative Nursing request 2,3 behaviors (topics include: Safe sleep, water safety, child passenger safety, Period of Purple shaken baby to redirect syndrome/brain trauma, crying, postpartum depression, nutrition, etc.) program Test ER patients for HIV and HEP C for early identification and immediate treatment 1,2,3 1.1.4: 1.1.5: Continue education and outreach related to stroke prevention and early detection by conducting the following: monthly Stroke Support Group highlight Stroke Month in May every year - educating employees and patients on how to quickly identify and respond to stroke • create and distribute Stroke Prevention Brochures; 1,2,3 • provide financial support to Stomp Out Stroke (a community event focused on stroke education, screening, and prevention) • host healthy cooking classes, free to the community, to promote brain health (with Monica Pope and Food as Medicine) Host Yatsu Day – a Stroke Continuing Medical Education event for healthcare providers 1.1.6: Continue to support research activities to decrease mortality through prevention, early detection, and 1,2,3 screening of key risk factors **Monitoring/Evaluation Approach:** Participation lists for education programs • Roster and released signed for car seats • Release from parents on helmet distribution/inventory levels Clinical research database

• Documentation through Hep C and HIV grant program.

Priority 1: Healthy Living								
Goal 1: Provide community members with the necessary information and resources to make informed choices for sustained healthy living.								
	Potential Partners:							
	Texas Department of Transportation, Department of State Health Services (DSHS)							
	Child Fatality Review Team, Children at Risk							
	Local high schools							
	SouthEast Texas Regional Advisory Council (SETRAC), Governor's EMS & Trauma Advisory Council (GETAC)							
	Safe Kids Greater Houston							
	UT School of Nursing							
	Community partners for Traffic Safety (Watch UR BAC, Houston Fire Department (HFD), Department of Public Safety (DPS), Krysta's Karing Angels, Mothers Against Drunk Driving (MADD), State Farm, etc.)							

Obesity P	Preventi	on					
Objective	e 1.2:	Support efforts of	flocal community partners in preventing or	reducing obesity in adults	and children		
Outcome	Outcome Indicators:		Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target	
• Numb	ber of eve	ents supported		0		Planned for FY19	2
Strategie	Strategies:				Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline: Year 1,2,3
1.2.1:	1.2.1: Provide financial support and/or staffing for events hosted by community education and outreach in reducing or preventing obesity		partners that provide	Planned for FY19	Planned for FY19	2,3	
			Monitoring/Evaluation Approach: • Feedback from community partners				
			 Potential Partners: Children at Risk Houston Food Bank United States Department of Agriculture (Centers of Disease Control (CDC) Texas Department of Agriculture Texas Medicaid/Children's Health Insuran 				

Access to Healthy Food Objective 1.3: Support efforts of MH system and local community partners	s in improving the communit	y's access to healt	hy food	
Outcome Indicators:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 1	FY 2020 Target
 Number of ER patients screened for food insecurity via the ER Navigation program 	1,881	3081	2524	1,881
 Number of community health worker (CHW) referrals to community food pantries via the ER Navigation program 	189	524	792	189
 Number of ER Navigation program supported community events hosted by local partners 	0 (to be determined in Y1)	0	20	2
Strategies:		Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline: Year 1,2,3
1.3.1: Continue to participate in the MH ER Navigation program in which partici insecurity and referred to food pantries if necessary (See 2.4.2)	pants are screened for food			1,2,3
1.3.2: Collect food to support food pantries or special events hosted by commun	nity partners.	Special events associated with food pantries provided at the system level	Food Drive discontinued	1,2,3
Monitoring/Evaluation Approach: Patient activity documented and reporte Record of events attended Potential Partners: Houston Food Bank United Way Greater Grace Outreach Church Gulf Coast Community Services Association Interfaith Ministries Leonel Castillo Community Center DePelchin Children's Services		ronic record system		

	-	During Physical Activity				
Objectiv Outcome		Increase education and resources/tools to promote safety du stors:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target
• Total	l numbe	r of community members educated through programs	1,180	625	Planned for FY19	1,298
Strategies:					Year 2 Notes	Timeline: Year 1,2,3
1.4.1:	1.4.1: Provide community education outreach to elementary grade students highlighting bicycle helmet safety. Host annual event re: injury prevention for elementary grade students				Program did not occur due to lack of funding	2,3
1.4.2:	1.4.2: Provide education on safety and prevention topics to Boy Scouts as part of earning their safety merit badge				Planned for Year FY19	2,3
1.4.3:	1.4.3: Provide community education outreach and training program for parents on water sports safety, heat, and proper hydration			Planned for FY19	Planned for Year FY19	2,3
1.4.4:	Provi	de exercise instruction workshops for teens at local high schools		Planned for FY19	Planned for Year FY19	2,3
		Monitoring/Evaluation Approach: • Signed releases for helmets • Attendance rosters Potential Partners: • Boy Scouts of America				
		 Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC) Greater Houston Off Road Biking Associatie YMCA of Houston, Harris County Aquatic P Texas Drowning Alliance, USA Swimming for Houston Independent Pool and Spa Association 	Program oundation			

Chronic Disease Ma	nagement					
Objective 1.5: Cor	nnect community members to appropriate resources and o	care settings to facilitate chror	nic disease manag	gement.		
Outcome Indicators		Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target	
·	al's associated counties' calls to Nurse Health Line (Brazoria, Liberty, and Matagorda)	32,698	32,907	34,459	32,698	
30-day all-cause re	eadmission rate (excluding trauma patients)	6.70%	6.65	6.08%	5%	
Strategies:			Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline:	
					Year 1,2,3	
within the receive rec	1.5.1: Provide a 24/7 free resource via the Nurse Health Line that community members (uninsured and insured) within the Memorial Hermann Health System (MHHS) community can call to discuss their health concerns, receive recommendations on the appropriate setting for care, and get connected to appropriate resources (See 2.1.1 and 2.4.1)				1, 2, 3	
	Monitoring/Evaluation Approach:					
	 Report from the Nurse Health Line in TMC 	community				
	 Hospital readmission data from cost account 	Hospital readmission data from cost accounting system				
	Potential Partners:					
	 Memorial Hermann Care Management 					
	Memorial Hermann Community Benefit Co	rporation				

Priority 2: Access to Health Care

Priority 2: Health Care Access

Goal 2: Improve health care access to provide better health outcomes.

Availability of Primary Care and Specialty Providers

Objective 2.1: Ensure availability of primary care and specialty providers to maintain Level One Trauma Center status					
	Indicators:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target
	per of hospital's associated counties' calls to Nurse Health Line pria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, and Matagorda)	32,698	32,907	34,459	32,698
	ntage of inpatient and observation patients discharged by talist service	13.5%	13.35%	7.7%	15%
 Numb 	per of trauma divert hours	223	34 hours	14.5 hours	200
 Numb 	per of transfer denials due to capacity because services not available	105	88	194	84
			Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline:
Strategie	S:				Year 1,2,3
2.1.1:	Provide a 24/7 free resource via the Nurse Health Line that communinsured) within the MHHS community can call to discuss their health recommendations on the appropriate setting for care, and get connections (See 1.5.1 and 2.4.1)	concerns, receive			1, 2, 3
2.1.2:	Partner with Memorial Hermann Medical Group (MHMG) to increas care physicians in the region affiliated with MHHS. Includes success to fill vacancies based on volume/openings.		12 candidates were interviewed and placed around the TMC market in FY17		1

Priority	2: Health Care Access			
Goal 2:	Improve health care access to provide better health outcomes.			
2.1.3:	Maintain agreements with UT and other private practice physicians to ensure adequate number of specialty providers related to Level I trauma status serving under and uninsured populations	We renewed the FY17 Annual Funding Agreement (AFA) to ensure proper support to University of Texas for our Trauma Program. We've continued to mmet and maintain the guidelines of the American College of Surgeons for our Level 1 Trauma Designation	We renewed the FY17 Annual Funding Agreement (AFA) to ensure proper support to University of Texas for our Trauma Program. We've continued to meet and maintain the guidelines of the American College of Surgeons for our Level 1 Trauma Designation	1
	Monitoring/Evaluation Approach:			
	 University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston Memorial Hermann Medical Group 			

Health Insurance Coverage and Costs

Objective 2.2: Educate patients about and/or help them to acquire healthcare insurance to ensure needs are addressed, coverage is continuous, and

Outcome Indicators:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target	
 Number of Class D Prescriptions provided to the Lamar and Alief So Based Health Centers 	thool 1,324	1141	1065	1,324	
Number of length of stay outliers (outliers - over the total charge threshold defined by Medicare)	1,704	3,500 for all TMC, 1896 for adult, 753 for children	3,627 for all TMC, 1122 for children, 58 for trans care	1,534	
 30-day all-cause readmission rate (excluding trauma patients) 	6.70%	8.9%	10.4%	5%	
• Number of case conversions from unfunded to funded 5,600 3,981 3154					
Strategies:					
2.2.1: Provide Class D Prescriptions to the Lamar and Alief School Based Health Centers in support of primary medical care provided to uninsured children and teens at no cost					
2.2.2: Financial counseling team works with inpatients regarding site Medicaid workers and facilitate Medicaid applications	options re: Health Exchange, Co	OBRA, County Indigent, Crime Vi	ctim; also have on	1	
Monitoring/Evaluation	Approach:				
Patient experience so	cores re: coordination of care				
 Percentage of patients classified as "Self Pay" 					
Hospital case management length of stay outlier report					
Hospital case management case conversion report					
Potential Partners:					
Texas DSHS					
Centers of Medicare	& Medicaid Services (CMS)				
Memorial Hermann C	Community Benefit Corporation	1			

Outcome Indicators:		Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target	
• Cost	of subsidized transporta	tion	\$597,000	\$745,009	\$887, 434	\$597,000
Strategies:				Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline: Year 1,2,3
2.3.1:	Participate in system relationship with American Medical Response (AMR) ambulance company and Yellow Cab to triage transportation options based on patient needs (insurance benefit or subsidized by TMC)					1,2,3
2.3.2:	Provide Life Flight or other plane programs free of charge to transport long distance patients					1,2,3
2.3.3:	Provide bus tokens fo	r Greyhound and discharge home				1,2,3
		 Monitoring/Evaluation Approach Case Management non-resour Potential Partners: AMR Ambulance Yellow Cab 				

Outcom	e Indicators:		Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target
Number of hospital's associated counties' calls to Nurse Health Line			32,698	32,907	34,459	32,698
(Braz	zoria, Fort Bend, Harris, Liberty, an	d Matagorda)	32,030	32,307	34,433	32,030
• Num	ber of patients enrolled in the ER N	Navigation Program	2063	2929	2337	2063
• Num	ber of ER Navigation patient encou	ınters	4275	7784	6343	4275
• Num	ber of ER Navigation referrals to co	ommunity resources	3426	5605	4856	3426
• Num	ber of ER Navigation scheduled ap	pointments	380	292	171	380
Strategie	es:			Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline:
						Year 1,2,3
2.4.1: Provide a 24/7 free resource via the Nurse Health Line that community members (uninsured and insured) within the MHHS community can call to discuss their health concerns, receive recommendations on the appropriate setting for care, and get connected to appropriate resources (See 1.5.1 and 2.1.1)			th concerns, receive			1, 2, 3
2.4.2	Continue to participate in the M medical home (See 1.3.1)	IH ER Navigation program in which	patients are referred to a			1,2,3
Monitoring/Evaluation Approach: • Patient activity documented and reported within the ER Nav				igation electronic recor	d system	
Potential Partners:						
	 Memorial Hermann Community Benefit Corporation Memorial Hermann Care Management 					
		Central Care Community Health Commu				
		Legacy Community Health Co				
		Memorial Hermann Commun	nity Benefit Corporation			

Priority 3: Behavioral Health

The following tables provide strategies and outcome indicators that reflect an MHHS system-wide approach to Behavioral Health. Data is not specific to MH Texas Medical Center but to the community at large with the exception of reduction in ER encounters that result in a psychiatric inpatient stay through linkages with a network of behavioral partners.

Priority 3: Behavioral Health

Goal 3: Ensure that all community members who are experiencing a mental health crisis have access to appropriate psychiatric specialists at the time of their crisis, are redirected away from the ER, are linked to a permanent, community based mental health provider, and have the necessary knowledge to navigate the system, regardless of their ability to pay.

Objective 3.1: Create nontraditional access points around the community (crisis/ambulatory, acute care, and community-based chronic care management), and link those who need services to permanent providers and resources in the community

Outcome Indicators:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target
Decrease in number of ER encounters that result in psychiatric inpatient stay	1146	1,213	1,135	1089 5% reduction of baseline
 Decrease in number of ER encounters that result in psychiatric inpatient stay - Children's 	30	19	18	29
 Decrease in number of ER encounters that result in psychiatric inpatient stay - Hermann 	173	180	115	164
Number of Memorial Hermann Crisis Clinic (MHCC) total visits	5,400	5,590	5,154	5% over baseline
Number of Psychiatric Response Care Management total visits	1,200	1,103	1,259	5% over baseline
Strategies:		Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline: Year 1,2,3
3.1.1: Provide mental health assessment, care, and linkage to services in an at Children's and Hermann	acute care setting, 24x7	An uptick in acute care volume over the past fiscal year has contributed to a higher number of psychiatric transfers overall.	An increase in acute care volume and number of acute care sites over the past fiscal year have contributed to a higher number of psychiatric transfers overall.	1,2,3

Priority 3: Goal 3:	Ensure that all community members who are experiencing a mental health of at the time of their crisis, are redirected away from the ER, are linked to a per and have the necessary knowledge to navigate the system, regardless of the	ermanent, commur		
m	eate nontraditional community access to psychiatric providers for individuals experiencing a ental health crisis. Clinical Social Workers connect the target population to on-going chavioral health care		Recruiting mental health providers willing to commit to a non-traditional schedule remains a challenge. Continui ng this urgent care model of treatment remains a priority, due to limited mental health treatment access in the community	1,2,3
tre	gage individuals with a chronic mental illness and work to maintain engagement with eatment and stability in the community via enrollment in community-based mental health se management program	Staffing issues impeded year one target. Identifying appropriately licensed clinicians willing to consider a career that is community based with the requirement of making home visits and working non – traditional hours is an ongoing challenge.	Case Managers partner with their clients to identify specific recovery goals and utilize evidence-based practices to facilitate client achievement. We continue to partner with community providers to address the mental health needs of the Greater Houston Community.	1,2,3
	Monitoring/Evaluation Approach: • EMR/registration system (track and trend daily, weekly, m Potential Partners: • System acute care campuses	nonthly)	community.	

Outcome Indicators:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target
 Number of presentations/educational sessions for healthcare professionals within MHHS 	50 sessions per year	63	71	5% increase over baseline
 Number of presentations/educational sessions for corporations 	5	7	8	5% over baseline
Training on Acute Care Concepts - system nurse resident program	15 trainings (45 hours total/3 hours each)*	18	9	15 trainings (45 hours total/3 hours each)*
Training on Chief Medical Officer (CMO) Roundtable - system-wide	1 training (2 hours)*	0	4	1 training (2 hours)
*Total time includes training material development and implementation			531.6	
Strategies:		Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline: Year 1,2,3
3.2.1: Provide mental health education sessions within the MH health system physicians	em for nurses and			1,2,3
3.2.2: Work with employer solutions group to provide education and traini MH topics (stress, post-traumatic stress disorder)	ing with corporations on			1,2,3
Monitoring/Evaluation Appro	ach:			
Requests for presentations as	nd sessions tracked via caler	ndar/excel		
Potential Partners:				
System acute care campuses				
System Marketing and Comm	nunications			
Employer solutions group				

Outcome Indicators:	Annual Baseline	Year 1	Year 2	FY 2020 Target
 Number of Memorial Hermann Crisis Clinic follow-ups post discharge with clinic patients 	7,716	6,431	5,154	5% over baseline
Psychiatric Response Case Management reduction in system ER utilization	54.4%	53.0%	50%	5% increase over baseli
Strategies:		Year 1 Notes	Year 2 Notes	Timeline: Year 1,2,3
3.3.1: Social workers follow-up with discharged patients and their families t and connect them to community resources	o assess well-being	The goal is to continue to educate the community, including other health systems, about the crisis clinic level of care so that when someone is experiencing a mental health crisis or needs immediate access to a behavioral health provider, the clinic will be the identified referral source.	The System has seen an overall increase in patient acuity with complex physical and behavioral health needs requiring higher levels of care. The Crisis Clinic and Psych Response Case Management Programs continue to meet the needs of patients with behavioral health conditions by providing immediate access to a mental health provider.	1,2,3

Priority 3: Goal 3:	Behavioral Health Ensure that all community members who are experiencing a mental healt at the time of their crisis, are redirected away from the ER, are linked to and have the necessary knowledge to navigate the system, regardless of	permanent, community based mental l	
in	terventions (motivational interviewing, MH First Aid, CAMS, etc.) to reduce ER utilization r program enrollees	Case Managers continue to partner with community agencies in an effort to connect program enrollees to resources for ongoing wellness. Program clinicians continue to use evidence-based practice interventions to reduce ER utilization and improve quality of life.	1,2,3
	Monitoring/Evaluation Approach: • Social work logs (Excel spreadsheet)		
	Potential Partners: System acute care campuses Community-based clinical providers Network of public and private providers		

Appendix B. Secondary Data Methodology

Secondary Data Sources

The main source for the secondary data, or data that have been previously collected, is the community indicator database maintained by Conduent Healthy Communities Institute. The following is a list of both local and national sources used in Memorial Hermann - Texas Medical Center's Community Health Needs Assessment.

Austin County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 11. Texas Education Agency
- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Brazoria County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. American Lung Association
- 3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 4. County Health Rankings
- 5. Feeding America
- 6. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 7. National Cancer Institute
- 8. National Center for Education Statistics
- 9. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 10. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 11. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 12. Texas Education Agency
- 13. Texas Secretary of State
- 14. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 15. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 16. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas

106 Memorial Hermann – Texas Medical Center CHNA 2019

17. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Chambers County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 11. Texas Education Agency
- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Fort Bend County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 11. Texas Education Agency
- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Galveston County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. American Lung Association
- 3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 4. County Health Rankings
- 5. Feeding America
- 6. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation

- 7. National Cancer Institute
- 8. National Center for Education Statistics
- 9. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 10. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 11. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 12. Texas Education Agency
- 13. Texas Secretary of State
- 14. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 15. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 16. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 17. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Harris County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. American Lung Association
- 3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 4. County Health Rankings
- 5. Feeding America
- 6. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 7. National Cancer Institute
- 8. National Center for Education Statistics
- 9. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 10. Texas Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System
- 11. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 12. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 13. Texas Education Agency
- 14. Texas Secretary of State
- 15. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 16. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 17. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 18. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Liberty County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 11. Texas Education Agency

- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Montgomery County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. American Lung Association
- 3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 4. County Health Rankings
- 5. Feeding America
- 6. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 7. National Cancer Institute
- 8. National Center for Education Statistics
- 9. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 10. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 11. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 12. Texas Education Agency
- 13. Texas Secretary of State
- 14. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 15. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 16. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 17. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

San Jacinto County

- 1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 2. American Community Survey
- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 11. Texas Education Agency
- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas

Walker County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 11. Texas Education Agency
- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Waller County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services
- 11. Texas Education Agency
- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas
- 16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency


Wharton County

- 1. American Community Survey
- 2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- 3. County Health Rankings
- 4. Feeding America
- 5. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation
- 6. National Cancer Institute
- 7. National Center for Education Statistics
- 8. Small Area Health Insurance Estimates
- 9. Texas Department of Family and Protective Services
- 10. Texas Department of State Health Services

- 11. Texas Education Agency
- 12. Texas Secretary of State
- 13. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
- 14. U.S. Census County Business Patterns
- 15. U.S. Department of Agriculture Food Environment Atlas

Secondary Data Scoring

Data scoring is done in three stages:



For each indicator, each county in Memorial Hermann - Texas Medical Center's service area is assigned a score based on its comparison to other communities, whether health targets have been met, and the trend of the indicator value over time. These comparison scores range from 0-3, where 0 indicates the best outcome and 3 the worst. Availability of each type of comparison varies by indicator and is dependent upon the data source, comparability with data collected for other communities, and changes in methodology over time.

Indicators are categorized into topic areas and each topic area receives a score. Indicators may be categorized in more than one topic area. Topic scores are determined by the comparisons of all indicators within the topic.

Comparison to a Distribution of County Values: Within State and Nation

For ease of interpretation and analysis, indicator data on the Community Dashboard is visually represented as a green-yellow-red gauge showing how the community is faring against a distribution of counties in the state or the United States. A distribution is created by taking all county values within the state or nation, ordering them from low to high, and dividing them into three groups (green, yellow, red) based on their order. Indicators with the poorest comparisons ("in the red") scored high, whereas indicators with good comparisons ("in the green") scored low.

Comparison to Values: State, National, and Targets

Each county is compared to the state value, the national value, and target values. Target values include the nation-wide Healthy People 2020 (HP2020) goals. Healthy People 2020 goals are national objectives for improving the health of the nation set by the Department of Health and Human Services' (DHHS) Healthy People Initiative. For all value comparisons, the scoring depends on whether the county value is better or worse than the comparison value, as well as how close the county value is to the target value.

Trend Over Time

The Mann-Kendall statistical test for trend was used to assess whether the county value is increasing over time or decreasing over time, and whether the trend is statistically significant. The trend comparison uses the four most recent comparable values for the county, and statistical significance is determined at the 90% confidence level. For each indicator with values available for four time periods, scoring was determined by direction of the trend and statistical significance.

Missing Values

Indicator scores are calculated using the comparison scores, availability of which depends on the data source. If the comparison type is possible for an adequate proportion of indicators on the community dashboard, it will be included in the indicator score. After exclusion of comparison types with inadequate availability, all missing comparisons are substituted with a neutral score for the purposes of calculating the indicator's weighted average. When information is unknown due to lack of comparable data, the neutral value assumes that the missing comparison score is neither good nor bad.

Indicator Scoring

Indicator scores are calculated as a weighted average of all included comparison scores. If none of the included comparison types are possible for an indicator, no score is calculated and the indicator is excluded from the data scoring results.

Topic Scoring

Indicator scores are averaged by topic area to calculate topic scores. Each indicator may be included in up to three topic areas if appropriate. Resulting scores range from 0-3, where a higher score indicates a greater level of need as evidenced by the data. A topic score is only calculated if it includes at least three indicators.

Data Scoring Results

The following tables list each indicator by topic area for each of the counties in Memorial Hermann - Texas Medical Center's service area. Secondary data for this report are up to date as of November 2, 2018.

Austin County

CCORE	ACCESS TO HEALTH	LINUTC	AUSTIN	1102020	TEVAC	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCLI DICDA DITV	6
SCORE	SERVICES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/100,000 population	26.9		98.8	214.3	2017		3
2.44	Mental Health Provider Nate	providers/ 100,000	20.9		90.0	214.5	2017		3
2.39	Primary Care Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	23.7		59.9	75.5	2015		3
2.55	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000	23.7		33.3	73.3	2013		
2.22	Provider Rate	population	37		66.8	81.2	2017		3
		dentists/ 100,000							
1.89	Dentist Rate	population	37		55.9	67.4	2016		3
	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-								
1.64	64	percent	78.3	100	77.4		2016		8
1.64	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	81.7	100	81.4		2016		8
1.58	Children with Health Insurance	percent	89.3	100	90.3		2016		8
			AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		cases/ 100,000							
2.06	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 males	114.1		95.4	109	2011-2015		6
2.06 1.94	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Cancer: Medicare Population	· ·	114.1 7.6		95.4 7.1	109 7.8	2011-2015 2015		6
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population	males percent cases/ 100,000	7.6		7.1	7.8	2015		
		males percent		39.9					
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	males percent cases/ 100,000 population	7.6	39.9	7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	percent cases/ 100,000 population deaths/ 100,000	7.6 42.1		7.1	7.8	2015 2011-2015		6
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	males percent cases/ 100,000 population	7.6	39.9	7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer	percent cases/ 100,000 population deaths/ 100,000 population	7.6 42.1		7.1	7.8	2015 2011-2015		6
1.94 1.94 1.67	Cancer: Medicare Population Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	percent cases/ 100,000 population deaths/ 100,000 population cases/ 100,000	7.6 42.1 15.3		7.1 38.1 14.4	7.8 39.2 14.5	2015 2011-2015 2011-2015		6
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate	males percent cases/ 100,000 population deaths/ 100,000 population cases/ 100,000 population	7.6 42.1		7.1	7.8	2015 2011-2015		6
1.94 1.94 1.67	Cancer: Medicare Population Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	percent cases/ 100,000 population deaths/ 100,000 population cases/ 100,000	7.6 42.1 15.3		7.1 38.1 14.4	7.8 39.2 14.5	2015 2011-2015 2011-2015		6

		cases/ 100,000							
0.72	All Cancer Incidence Rate	population	377.7		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		6
0.72	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	3,7.7		101.5	11112	2011 2013		Ü
0.67	Cancer	population	150.6	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015		6
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
0.67	Incidence Rate	population	48.1		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
0.56	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	94		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
			AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.2		23	17.9	2016		4
1.58	Children with Health Insurance	percent	89.3	100	90.3		2016		8
	Children with Low Access to a	•							
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	2.5				2015		15
		cases/ 1,000							
0.67	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	2.1		8.5		2017		9
			AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Children Living Below Poverty							Hispanic or Latino,	
2.33	Level	percent	25.3		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Other	1
2.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.2		23	17.9	2016		4
	•	percent							
2.17	Total Employment Change	percent	-0.7		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
2.06	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	15.8		15.4	12.9	2016		4
	,	,							
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
2.03	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1512		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian								
1.78	Labor Force	percent	54.4		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
	Median Monthly Owner Costs for								
1.58	Households without a Mortgage	dollars	448		467	462	2012-2016		1

1.53	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	852	911	949	2012-2016		1
	Families Living Below Poverty						Hispanic or Latino,	
1.44	Level	percent	11.2	13	11	2012-2016	Other	1
	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor							
1.44	Force	percent	62.1	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
	Renters Spending 30% or More of							
1.44	Household Income on Rent	percent	42.3	48	47.3	2012-2016		1
							<6 Black or African	
4 22	Develo III in a Believe Develop		145	167	15.1	2012 2016	American, Hispanic	4
1.33	People Living Below Poverty Level Unemployed Workers in Civilian	percent	14.5	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	or Latino, Other	1
1.28	Labor Force	percent	3.7	4	4.1	July 2018		13
1.20	Labor Force	stores/ 1,000	3.7	4	4.1	July 2018		13
1.22	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.9			2016		15
1.14	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	164300	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
	Households with Cash Public		4.5	4.6	2.7	2012 2016		4
1.11	Assistance Income	percent	1.5	1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
1.11	People Living 200% Above Poverty Level	norcont	68.2	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
1.11	Level	percent	00.2	02.0	00.4	2012-2010		1
	Persons with Disability Living in							
1.08	Poverty (5-year)	percent	24	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
1.00	1 overty (o year)	percent	21	23.1	27.0	2012 2010		
	Low-Income and Low Access to a							
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	3.5			2015		15
0.89	·	naraant		1.0	1.8	2012 2016		1
0.89	Homeowner Vacancy Rate Students Eligible for the Free	percent	1.6	1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
0.83	Lunch Program	percent	42.9	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7
0.05	- Lancin i Togram	percent	72.3	52.5	72.0	2013 2010	Black or African	,
0.72	Median Household Income	dollars	56681	54727	55322	2012-2016	American	1
0.72	Severe Housing Problems	percent	12.3	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	-	·					Black or African	
							American, Hispanic	
							or Latino, Other,	
0.67	Per Capita Income	dollars	28351	27828	29829	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
0.56	Homeownership	percent	63.5	55	55.9	2012-2016		1

	People 65+ Living Below Poverty								
0.56	Level	percent	7.6		10.8	9.3	2012-2016		1
			AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.81	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	naraant	22.1		21.6	15.0	2012		10
1.61	rears Education	percent	22.1		21.6	15.9	2013		10
	People 25+ with a High School							65+ Hispanic or	
1.61	Degree or Higher	percent	82.4		82.3	87	2012-2016	Latino	1
	0 0	,						Black or African	
	People 25+ with a Bachelor's							American, Hispanic	
1.50	Degree or Higher	percent	21		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	or Latino	1
1.28	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	14		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		7
1.22	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	0.9		2		2016		11
	J .	•							
			AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	17.6		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
2.00	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	66.2		80.6	83.1	2018		3
	Recognized Carcinogens Released								
1.61	into Air	pounds	21				2017		16
		markets/ 1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0				2016		15
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.50	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.4				2015		15
1.50	recess to a Grocery Store	stores/ 1,000	۷.٦				2013		1.0
1.39	Grocery Store Density	population	0.2				2014		15
1.39	PBT Released	pounds	21				2017		16
		l	I	1			1		
1.33	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.4				2015		15

		restaurants/ 1,000							
1.22	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.5				2014		15
	,	stores/ 1,000							
1.22	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.9				2016		15
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	2.5				2015		15
1.00	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	3.5				2015		15
1.00	Grocery Store	stores/ 100,000	٠٠				2013		13
0.89	Liquor Store Density	population	6.8		6.8	10.5	2015		14
	·	facilities/ 1,000							
0.89	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		15
0.75	Drinking Water Violations	percent	0		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
0.72	Food Environment Index		7.7		6	7.7	2018		3
0.72	Severe Housing Problems	percent	12.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
0.72	Severe modsing moderns	percent	12.3		10.5	10.0	2010 2017		3
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	noroant	24.2		23	17.9	2016		4
2.17	Cilila rood insecurity Nate	percent	24.2			17.9	2016		4
		·							
2.11	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.7	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
2.11	Workers who Walk to Work Food Insecurity Rate	percent percent		3.1		2.8 12.9	2012-2016 2016		1 4
2.06	Food Insecurity Rate	,	1.7	3.1	1.6				
		percent	1.7 15.8	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016		4
2.06	Food Insecurity Rate	percent percent	1.7 15.8	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016		4
2.06	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density	percent percent markets/ 1,000	1.7 15.8 66.2	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018		4 3
2.06 2.00 1.50	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density Households with No Car and Low	percent percent markets/ 1,000 population	1.7 15.8 66.2	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018 2016		4 3 15
2.06	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density	percent percent markets/ 1,000 population percent	1.7 15.8 66.2	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018		4 3
2.06 2.00 1.50	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent percent markets/1,000 population percent stores/1,000	1.7 15.8 66.2 0	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018 2016 2015		4 3 15
2.06 2.00 1.50	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density Households with No Car and Low	percent percent markets/ 1,000 population percent	1.7 15.8 66.2	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018 2016		4 3 15
2.06 2.00 1.50	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent percent markets/1,000 population percent stores/1,000	1.7 15.8 66.2 0	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018 2016 2015		4 3 15
2.06 2.00 1.50	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store Grocery Store Density	percent percent markets/ 1,000 population percent stores/ 1,000 population	1.7 15.8 66.2 0	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018 2016 2015		4 3 15
2.06 2.00 1.50 1.50	Food Insecurity Rate Access to Exercise Opportunities Farmers Market Density Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store Grocery Store Density People 65+ with Low Access to a	percent percent markets/1,000 population percent stores/1,000 population	1.7 15.8 66.2 0 2.4	3.1	1.6 15.4	12.9	2016 2018 2016 2015 2014		4 3 15 15

		stores/ 1,000							
1.22	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.9				2016		15
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	2.5				2015		15
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	3.5				2015		15
0.00		facilities/ 1,000							4.5
0.89	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		15
0.72	Food Environment Index		7.7		6	7.7	2018		3
			AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare								
2.28	Population	percent	8.7		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.7		4.5	4	2015		2
	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare								
2.17	Population	percent	46.8		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	Hypertension: Medicare								
1.94	Population	percent	59.6		57.5	55	2015		2
4.56	Heart Failure: Medicare		45.4		15.5	12.5	2015		2
1.56	Population	percent	15.1		15.5	13.5	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.25	Heart Disease	population	176.7		173	171.9	2010-2014		10
1.23	Treat Conscase	рорашион	1,0.7		1/3	1/1.5	2010 2017		10
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
1.22	Population	percent	26.9		28.8	26.5	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.86	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	population	36.7	34.8	42	37.3	2010-2014		10
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.83	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	16 1		14.2	15.0	2010 2014		10
1.65	innuenza and Pheumonia	cases/ 100,000	16.1		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.61	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2.1	1	4.5		2012 2017		10
1.61	Tuberculosis incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	2.1	1	4.5		2013-2017		10
1.39	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	10.1		16.1		2016		10
1.39	THV Diagnosis Nate	cases/ 100,000	10.1		10.1		2010		10
1.28	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	238.4		511.6		2017		10
1.20	Chiamydia incluence Nate	cases/ 100,000	236.4		311.0		2017		10
1.28	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	3.4		40.6		2017		10
1.20	Syprillis incluence Nate	cases/ 100,000	3.4		40.0		2017		10
1.17	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	73.9		160.2		2017		10
1.17	GONOTTICA INCIACINCE NATO	роригинен	73.5		100.2		2017		10
	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT		AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.31	Preterm Births	percent	14.8	9.4	12	11.4	2013		10
	Mothers who Received Early								
1.97	Prenatal Care	percent	55.2	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
1.81	Years Education	percent	22.1		21.6	15.9	2013		10
1.75	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	8.2	7.8	8.3	8	2013		10
1.69	Teen Births	percent	3.6		2.8	4.3	2014		10
		deaths/ 1,000 live							
0.47	Infant Mortality Rate	births	3	6	5.8	6	2013		10
			A.I.C.T.				AAFAGUES: :5:/=		
66605	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL	LINUTO	AUSTIN		TEVAS		MEASUREMENT	111011 DISDADIT: "*	
SCORE	DISORDERS	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.44	Mantal Haalth Dravider Det-	providers/100,000	26.0		00.0	214.2	2017		
2.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	population deaths/ 100,000	26.9		98.8	214.3	2017		3
2.17	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide	population	16.6	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014		10
2.17	Suiciue	роритация	10.0	10.2	11./	12.5	2010-2014		10
	Poor Mental Health: Average								
1.33	Number of Days	days	3.6		3.4	3.8	2016		3
1.33	Number of Days	uays	3.0	1	٥.4	3.0	2010] 3

	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.25	Alzheimer's Disease	population	22.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
1.17	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	10.9		10.6	15	2016		3
1.00	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	9.9		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.00	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	14.4		17	16.7	2015		2
1.00	Depression. Wedicare Foundation	percent	1 11.1			10.7	2013		
CCODE	OLDED ADJULTS & ACING	LINUTC	AUSTIN	1102020	TEVAC	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCU DICDADITY	6
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.28	Population	percent	8.7		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.7		4.5	4	2015		2
	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare								_
2.17	Population Rheumatoid Arthritis or	percent	46.8		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	Osteoarthritis: Medicare								
2.00	Population	percent	32.2		31.6	30	2015		2
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.6		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.01	Hypertension: Medicare		F0.6		-7		2015		2
1.94	Population Heart Failure: Medicare	percent	59.6		57.5	55	2015		2
1.56	Population	percent	15.1		15.5	13.5	2015		2
	Developer with the state of								
1.33	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.4				2015		15
1.28	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	10.5		11.1	11.2	2015		2
1.20	co. D. Wedicare Fopulation	регсен	10.5		11.1	11.2	2013		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.25	Alzheimer's Disease	population	22.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
1.22	Population	percent	26.9		28.8	26.5	2015		2
1.17	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		8.2	8.2	2015		2

							1		
1.17	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	25.2		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
1.00	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	9.9		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.00	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	15.2		19.9	18.1	2015		2
1.00	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	14.4		17	16.7	2015		2
0.78	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	4.7		6.5	6	2015		2
0.67	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	25		28.2	26.5	2015		2
0.56	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	7.6		10.8	9.3	2012-2016		1
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	32.2		31.6	30	2015		2
2.00	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare	регсен	32.2		31.0	30	2015		
1.00	Population	percent	15.2		19.9	18.1	2015		2
0.78	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	4.7		6.5	6	2015		2
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.75	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries	deaths/ 100,000 population	48.5	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014		10
0.72	Severe Housing Problems	percent	12.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
0.50	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	5.6		9.4	12.4	2006-2012		3
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

		crimes/ 100,000							
1.44	Violent Crime Rate	population	240.1		407.6		2012-2014		3
		cases/ 1,000					2217		
0.67	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	2.1		8.5		2017		9
0.33	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	18.2		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.83	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	16.1		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.61	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	2.1	1	4.5		2013-2017		10
1.28	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	10.5		11.1	11.2	2015		2
1.17	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		8.2	8.2	2015		2
1.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	39.9	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		6
0.67	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	48.1		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	30.3		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.33	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	25.3		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
2.17	Total Employment Change	percent	-0.7		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
2.03	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1512		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.78	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	54.4		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.64	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	81.7	100	81.4		2016		8

									1
	People 25+ with a High School							65+ Hispanic or	
1.61	Degree or Higher	percent	82.4		82.3	87	2012-2016	Latino	1
1.58	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	448		467	462	2012-2016		1
	-								
1.53	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	852		911	949	2012-2016	Black or African	1
	People 25+ with a Bachelor's							American, Hispanic	
1.50	Degree or Higher	percent	21		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	or Latino	1
1 44	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor		62.1		64.2	62.1	2012 2016		1
1.44	Force	percent	62.1		64.2	63.1	2012-2016	<6 Black or African	1
								American, Hispanic	
1.33	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	14.5		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	or Latino, Other	1
1.33	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	63.7		58.8		2016		12
		•				4.5			
1.17	Linguistic Isolation	percent	3.5		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
1.17	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	25.2	1	23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
1.14	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	164300		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.83	Single-Parent Households	percent	29		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
								Black or African	
0.72	Median Household Income	dollars	56681		54727	55322	2012-2016	American	1
								Black or African American, Hispanic	
								or Latino, Other,	
0.67	Per Capita Income	dollars	28351		27828	29829	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
0.67	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	2.1		8.5		2017		9
				1					
0.56	Homeownership	percent	63.5	1	55	55.9	2012-2016		1
<u> </u>				1					
			AUSTIN				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.50	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	18.3	25.4	19.4	18	2016		3
0.89	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000	6.8		6.8	10.5	2015		14
0.09	'		0.8	1	0.0	10.5	2013		14

		population							
0.50	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	5.6		9.4	12.4	2006-2012		3
0.33	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	18.2		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	30.3		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.44	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	39.6		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3
2.17	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	0.1	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
2.11	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.7	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
1.50	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.4				2015		15
1.00	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	79.5		80.3	76.4	2012-2016		1
0.56	Households without a Vehicle	percent	4		5.6	9	2012-2016		1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.50	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.4		80.8	81.5	2014		5
1.50	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	16.9		18.2	16	2016		3
1.39	Life Expectancy for Males	years	76		76.2	76.7	2014		5
1.00	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.4		3.5	3.7	2016		3
0.83	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	10.6		10.8	15	2016		3
0.83	Insufficient Sleep	percent	30.2		32.7	38	2016		3

SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	AUSTIN COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.50	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.4		80.8	81.5	2014		5
0.75	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	18.3	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
0.56	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	94		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6

Brazoria County

SCORE	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	56.5		98.8	214.3	2017		4
2.00	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000	30.3		90.0	214.5	2017		4
1.67	Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	44.3		66.8	81.2	2017		4
	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-								
1.47	64	percent	82	100	77.4		2016		9
1.25	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	85	100	81.4		2016		9
1.22	Primary Care Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	65.3		59.9	75.5	2015		4
1.17	Dentist Rate	dentists/ 100,000 population	52.2		55.9	67.4	2016		4
1.14	Children with Health Insurance	percent	91.3	100	90.3		2016		9
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS cases/ 100,000	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.94	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	42.5	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		7
1.67	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer	deaths/100,000 population	15.3	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		7
1.56	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.4		7.1	7.8	2015		3
1.50	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	60.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		7
1.28	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	44.1	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		7
1.22	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	167.5	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015	Male	7
1.17	All Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	410.4		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		7
1.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 males	98.5		95.4	109	2011-2015		7

			T	T I		1	I		1
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.08	Breast Cancer	females	20.1	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		7
1.00		cases/ 100,000	1007			1017			
1.06	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	106.7		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		7
	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
0.94	Incidence Rate	population	10.7		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		7
0.60		cases/ 100,000	6.0	7.0	0.0	7.5	2044 2045		_
0.69	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	6.9	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		7
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.42	Prostate Cancer	males	17.2	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
SCORE	CILLI DDENIC HEALTH	LINUTC	BRAZORIA	1102020	TEVAC	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCU DICDADITV*	Cauras
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH Children with Low Access to a	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.67	Grocery Store	percent	6.2				2015		16
1.14	Children with Health Insurance	percent	91.3	100	90.3		2016		9
		cases/ 1,000							
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	6.4		8.5		2017		10
1.00	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	20.1		23	17.9	2016		5
CCODE	FCONOMY	LINUTC	BRAZORIA	1102020	TEVAC	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCLI DICDADITV*	6
SCORE	ECONOMY Unemployed Workers in Civilian	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.44	Labor Force	percent	4.7		4	4.1	July 2018		14
2.25	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	951		911	949	2012-2016		1
2 14	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1645		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
2.14	ivionthly household Costs	uollars	1045		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
	Median Monthly Owner Costs for								
2.03	Households without a Mortgage	dollars	490		467	462	2012-2016		1
2.00	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.5				2016		16
2.00	SIVAT CEITIIIEU STOLES	роритация	0.5			I .	2010		ТΩ

	I		<u> </u>		1 1		1	1
1.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	6.9			2015		16
1.50	Severe Housing Problems	percent	14.6	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
1.33	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	58.9	57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.33	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	14.5	15.4	12.9	2016		5
1.17	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	64.6	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.00	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	20.1	23	17.9	2016		5
0.92	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	157100	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.92	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year)	percent	21.8	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
0.89	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	1.6	1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
0.89	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	8.7	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
0.83	Homeownership	percent	64.3	55	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.67	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	percent	36.1	48	47.3	2012-2016		1
0.67	Total Employment Change	percent	3.6	3.2	2.5	2014-2015		15
0.64	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty	percent	19.4	24.2	26.6	2016		1
0.56	Families Living Below Poverty Level	percent	7.9	13	11	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.50	Students Eligible for the Free Lunch Program	percent	38.5	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		8
0.39	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	13.2	23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.39	People Living 200% Above Poverty Level	percent	75	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
0.39	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	10.5	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	18-24, 6-11 Hispanic or Latino, Other	1

0.33	Per Capita Income	dollars	31180		27828	29829	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
0.17	Households with Cash Public Assistance Income	percent	0.8		1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
0.17	Median Household Income	dollars	72006		54727	55322	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.50	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	16		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		8
1.22	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	0.9		2		2016		12
1.00	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	86.7		82.3	87	2012-2016	65+	1
0.67	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	28.7		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	65+ American Indian or Alaska Native, Other	1
0.42	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	13.5		21.6	15.9	2013		11
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Grocery Store Density	stores/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		16
2.00	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		16
1.75	Annual Ozone Air Quality	grade	F				2014-2016		2
1.67	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	6.2				2015		16
1.50	Farmers Market Density	markets/1,000 population	0				2016		16

		1 1				1			
	1 1								
4.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a		6.0				2045		4.6
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	6.9				2015		16
1.50	Severe Housing Problems	percent	14.6		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
		restaurants/1,000							
1.44	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.6				2014		16
1.42	Drinking Water Violations	percent	4.4		6.6		FY 2013-14		4
1.33	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	79		80.6	83.1	2018		4
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	2.2				2015		16
		facilities/ 1,000							
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		16
1.22	Food Environment Index		7.5		6	7.7	2018		4
1.17	PBT Released	pounds	4237				2017		17
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.00	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		16
		stores/ 100,000							
0.61	Liquor Store Density	population	2.3		6.8	10.5	2015		15
0.39	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	4.3		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		BRAZORIA				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.78	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	0.8	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
		stores/1,000]			
2.00	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1				2014		16
		stores/ 1,000							
2.00	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.5				2016		16
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.67	Grocery Store	percent	6.2				2015		16
		markets/1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0				2016		16

	1								
	l l								
1.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	naraant	6.9				2015		16
1.50	Grocery Store	percent restaurants/ 1,000	6.9				2015		10
1 44	Fast Food Bostourant Dansity		0.6				2014		1.0
1.44	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.6				2014		16
1.33	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	79		80.6	83.1	2018		4
1.33	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	14.5		15.4	12.9	2016		5
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	2.2				2015		16
		facilities/ 1,000							
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		16
1.22	Food Environment Index		7.5		6	7.7	2018		4
1.00	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	20.1		23	17.9	2016		5
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.00	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		16
			DD 470 DI 4				NACACLIDENACNIT		
CCODE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	LINUTC	BRAZORIA	1102020	TEVAC	11.0	MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITV*	C
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.1		4.5	4	2015		3
	Heart Failure: Medicare								
2.11	Population	percent	16.6		15.5	13.5	2015		3
	Hypertension: Medicare								
1.94									
	Population	percent	59.3		57.5	55	2015		3
		percent	59.3		57.5	55	2015		3
		percent	59.3		57.5	55	2015		3
1.83	Population	percent percent	59.3		57.5 28.8	55 26.5	2015 2015		3
1.83	Population Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare	,							
1.83	Population Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population	,							
	Population Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	percent	31		28.8	26.5	2015		3
	Population Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	percent	31		28.8	26.5	2015		3
1.78	Population Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	percent percent	31 8		28.8 7.4	26.5 8.1	2015 2015		3
1.78	Population Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent percent	31 8		28.8 7.4	26.5 8.1	2015 2015		3
1.78	Population Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	percent percent percent	31 8	34.8	28.8 7.4	26.5 8.1	2015 2015		3

	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.08	Heart Disease	population	173		173	171.9	2010-2014	Male	11
2.00	Treate Bisease	роришноп	173		173	171.3	2010 2017	Ividic	
666005	IMMUNIZATIONS &	LINUTO	BRAZORIA	1100000	TEV/4.6		MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.67	Contillatorial on a Data	cases/ 100,000	16		40.6		2017		1.1
1.67	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	16		40.6		2017		11
1 50	LIIV Diagrapia Data	cases/ 100,000	10.7		1.6.1		2016		11
1.50	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population cases/ 100,000	10.7		16.1		2016		11
1.44	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	392.3		511.6		2017		11
1.44	Chiamydia incidence kate	cases/ 100,000	392.3		511.0		2017		11
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2.7	1	4.5		2013-2017		11
1.33	Tuberculosis incluence Nate	cases/ 100,000	2.7	1	4.5		2013-2017		1,1
1.28	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	84.7		160.2		2017		11
1.20	Gonorniea incluence Nate	рориватоп	04.7		100.2		2017		11
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.17	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	13		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		11
1.17	innuenza and i neumoma	рориватоп	13		14.2	13.2	2010-2014		11
	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT		BRAZORIA				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.03	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	8.8	7.8	8.3	8	2013		11
2.00	Mothers who Received Early	perserie	0.0	7.10	0.0		2010		
1.86	Prenatal Care	percent	60.9	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		11
		,							
1.25	Preterm Births	percent	11.7	9.4	12	11.4	2013		11
=	Babies with Very Low Birth								
1.17	Weight	percent	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		11
0.64	Teen Births	percent	2.1		2.8	4.3	2014		11
		deaths/ 1,000 live							
0.47	Infant Mortality Rate	births	3.9	6	5.8	6	2013		11
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12			1					
0.42	Years Education	percent	13.5		21.6	15.9	2013		11
				1					

SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.44	Life Expectancy for Males	years	76.1		76.2	76.7	2014		6
1.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 males	98.5		95.4	109	2011-2015		7
0.42	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 males	17.2	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
SCORE	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL DISORDERS	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide	deaths/ 100,000 population	12.4	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014	Male White	11
2.00	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	56.5		98.8	214.3	2017		4
1.67	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	10.7		11.7	9.9	2015		3
1.50	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	15.5		17	16.7	2015		3
1.08	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/100,000 population	26		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		11
0.67	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	9.9		10.6	15	2016		4
0.67	Poor Mental Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.2		3.4	3.8	2016		4
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.1		4.5	4	2015		3
2.50	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	29.4		28.2	26.5	2015		3
2.17	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	19.7		19.9	18.1	2015		3

Population		Heart Failure: Medicare								
1.94 Population	2.11		percent	16.6		15.5	13.5	2015		3
1.83 Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population Percent 31 28.8 26.5 2015										
Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Atherwise Athe	1.94	Population	percent	59.3		57.5	55	2015		3
Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Atrial Fibrillation: Medicar										
1.78 Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population percent 8 7.4 8.1 2015 1.78 Hyperlipidemis: Medicare Population percent 44.9 46.1 44.6 2015 1.67 Medicare Population percent 10.7 11.7 9.9 2015 1.56 Cancer: Medicare Population percent 7.4 7.1 7.8 2015 1.50 Asthma: Medicare Population percent 7.5 8.2 8.2 2015 1.50 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.5 17 16.7 2015 1.30 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Geaths/ 100,000 population 7.3 7.2 7.4 8.3 2010-2014 People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store percent 2.2 2015 2015 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 0.94 Peop										
1.78 Population Percent 8 7.4 8.1 2015	1.83	•	percent	31		28.8	26.5	2015		3
Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population Percent Percent Population Percent				_						_
1.78 Population Percent 44.9 46.1 44.6 2015	1./8		percent	8		7.4	8.1	2015		3
Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:	1 70			44.0		46.1	44.6	2015		2
1.67 Medicare Population percent 10.7 11.7 9.9 2015 1.56 Cancer: Medicare Population percent 7.4 7.1 7.8 2015 1.50 Asthma: Medicare Population percent 7.5 8.2 8.2 8.2 2015 1.50 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.5 17 16.7 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Osteoarthritis: Medicare percent 2.2 7.4 8.3 2010-2014 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.89 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015	1./8	Population	percent	44.9		46.1	44.6	2015		3
1.67 Medicare Population percent 10.7 11.7 9.9 2015 1.56 Cancer: Medicare Population percent 7.4 7.1 7.8 2015 1.50 Asthma: Medicare Population percent 7.5 8.2 8.2 2015 1.50 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.5 17 16.7 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Osteoarthritis: Medicare deaths/100,000 population 7.3 7.2 7.4 8.3 2010-2014 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 1.11 Population percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.89 COPD: Medicare Population percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-20		Alzhaimar's Disassa ar Damantia								
1.56 Cancer: Medicare Population percent 7.4 7.1 7.8 2015 1.50 Asthma: Medicare Population percent 7.5 8.2 8.2 2015 1.50 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.5 17 16.7 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls deaths/100,000 population 7.3 7.2 7.4 8.3 2010-2014 People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store percent 2.2 2015 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 1.11 Population percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Populati	1 67		nercent	10.7		11 7	9 9	2015		3
1.50 Asthma: Medicare Population percent 7.5 8.2 8.2 2015 1.50 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.5 17 16.7 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Osteoarthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare 7.3 7.2 7.4 8.3 2010-2014 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.89 Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.80 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015			регсен							
1.50 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.5 17 16.7 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Population 7.3 7.2 7.4 8.3 2010-2014 People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store Percent 2.2 2015 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population People 65+ Medicare Population 29.4 31.6 30 2015 1.08 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 Population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone Percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level Percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population Percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015	1.56	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.4		7.1	7.8	2015		3
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population 1.11 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease Defent Def	1.50	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.5		8.2	8.2	2015		3
1.33 Falls population 7.3 7.2 7.4 8.3 2010-2014 People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store percent 2.2 2015 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015	1.50		percent	15.5		17	16.7	2015		3
People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store percent 2.2 2015		Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.33 Grocery Store percent 2.2 2015 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population 29.4 31.6 30 2015 1.11 Population 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 0.89 COPD: Medicare Population Percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015	1.33	Falls	population	7.3	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		11
1.33 Grocery Store percent 2.2 2015 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare 29.4 31.6 30 2015 1.11 Population percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015										
Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 Osteoporosis: Medicare										
Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 Osteoporosis: Medicare Osteoporosis: Medicare 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015	1.33	,	percent	2.2				2015		16
1.11 Population percent 29.4 31.6 30 2015 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 Osteoporosis: Medicare Osteoporosis: Medicare 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015										
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to 1.08 Alzheimer's Disease 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level 0.89 COPD: Medicare Population Percent 10.8 Death Rate due to population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 10.8 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 10.8 Osteoporosis: Medicare								2215		
1.08 Alzheimer's Disease population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 Osteoporosis: Medicare Osteoporosis: Medicare 10.8 1	1.11	Population	percent	29.4		31.6	30	2015		3
1.08 Alzheimer's Disease population 26 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 Osteoporosis: Medicare Osteoporosis: Medicare 10.8 1			1 11 /400 000							
0.94 People 65+ Living Alone percent 22.5 23.9 26.4 2012-2016 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population Osteoporosis: Medicare percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015	1.00			26		26.6	24.5	2010 2014		11
People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	1.08	Alzheimer's Disease	роригаціоп	26		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		11
0.89 Level percent 8.7 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 Hispanic or Latino 0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 Osteoporosis: Medicare Osteoporosis: Medicare 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 10.8	0.94		percent	22.5		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
0.83 COPD: Medicare Population percent 10.8 11.1 11.2 2015 Osteoporosis: Medicare 0 0 0 0 0										
Osteoporosis: Medicare	0.89	Level	percent	8.7		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
	0.83	·	percent	10.8		11.1	11.2	2015		3
0.67 Population percent 5.2 6.5 6 2015		•								
	0.67	Population	percent	5.2		6.5	6	2015		3

			BRAZORIA				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare		40 -				2215		
2.17	Population	percent	19.7		19.9	18.1	2015		3
	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare								
1.11	Population	percent	29.4		31.6	30	2015		3
1.11	Osteoporosis: Medicare	регсен	23.4		31.0	30	2013		3
0.67	Population	percent	5.2		6.5	6	2015		3
	·	·							
			BRAZORIA				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.50	Severe Housing Problems	percent	14.6		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
1.33	Falls	population	7.3	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		11
		1 11 /100 000							
0.97	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries	deaths/ 100,000 population	38.1	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014	Male White	11
0.37	Offiniteritional injuries	deaths/ 100,000	36.1	30.4	37.0	33.2	2010-2014	iviale vvilite	11
0.64	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	8.1		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
			BRAZORIA				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.22	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	34.3		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	6.4		8.5		2017		10
	Capatannatea Cinia / Isase nate	crimes/ 100,000	0		0.0		2017		10
1.06	Violent Crime Rate	population	175		407.6		2012-2014		4
			BRAZORIA				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.50	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.5		8.2	8.2	2015		3
1.50	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000	60.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		7

	Incidence Rate	population							
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	2.7	1	4.5		2013-2017		11
1.28	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	44.1	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		7
1.17	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	13		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		11
0.83	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	10.8		11.1	11.2	2015		3
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	29.4		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.25	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	951		911	949	2012-2016		1
2.14	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1645		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
2.03	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	490		467	462	2012-2016		1
1.33	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	58.9		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.28	Linguistic Isolation	percent	4		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
1.25	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	85	100	81.4		2016		9
1.22	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	61.5		58.8		2016		13
1.17	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	64.6		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	6.4		8.5		2017		10
1.00	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	86.7		82.3	87	2012-2016	65+	1
0.94	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	22.5		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1

0.92	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	157100		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.83	Homeownership	percent	64.3		55	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.67	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	28.7		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	65+ American Indian or Alaska Native, Other	1
0.67	Total Employment Change	percent	3.6		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		15
0.39	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	13.2		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other 18-24, 6-11	1
0.39	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	10.5		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.33	Per Capita Income	dollars	31180		27828	29829	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
0.17	Median Household Income	dollars	72006		54727	55322	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.17	Single-Parent Households	percent	25.3		33.3	33.6	2012-2016	Other	1
0.27	Single Furent Households	регест	23.3		33.3	33.0	2012 2010		
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.22	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	34.3		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4
1.67	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	19.4	25.4	19.4	18	2016		4
0.64	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/100,000 population	8.1		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
0.61	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	2.3		6.8	10.5	2015		15
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	29.4		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1

2.70	Marilla Marill		0.0	2.1	1.6	2.0	2012 2016		1
2.78	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	0.8	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	55-59 Black or	1
2.67	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	86.9		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	African American	1
	Solo Drivers with a Long								
2.61	Commute	percent	48.2		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		4
	Workers Commuting by Public								
2.28	Transportation	percent	0.2	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
1.00	Households with No Car and Low		1.5				2015		1.0
1.00	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		16
0.61	Households without a Vehicle	percent	3.4		5.6	9	2012-2016		1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	BRAZORIA COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
				111 2020				711617 81817 11111	
1.56	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.2		80.8	81.5	2014		6
1.44	Life Expectancy for Males	years	76.1		76.2	76.7	2014		6
1.00	Insufficient Sleep	percent	31.2		32.7	38	2016		4
1.00	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	16.1		18.2	16	2016		4
		,							
0.67	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	9.9		10.8	15	2016		4
	Poor Physical Health: Average								
0.67	Number of Days	days	3.3		3.5	3.7	2016		4
66005	WOMENIC HEALTH	LINUTO	BRAZORIA		TEVAC		MEASUREMENT	LUCIA DICDADITI	
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.56	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.2		80.8	81.5	2014		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
1.08	Breast Cancer	females	20.1	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		7
1.06	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	106.7		111.7	124.7			7
1.06	breast Cancer incidence kate	cases/ 100,000	106./		111./	124./	2011-2015		/

		females						
		cases/ 100,000						
0.69	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	6.9	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015	7

Chambers County

	ACCESS TO HEALTH		CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SERVICES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/ 100,000							
2.83	Primary Care Provider Rate	population	10.3		59.9	75.5	2015		3
		providers/ 100,000							
2.67	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	17.5		98.8	214.3	2017		3
		dentists/ 100,000							
2.61	Dentist Rate	population	5		55.9	67.4	2016		3
	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000							
1.67	Provider Rate	population	47.6		66.8	81.2	2017		3
1.53	Children with Health Insurance	percent	90	100	90.3		2016		8
	Adults with Health Insurance:								
1.47	18-64	percent	81.6	100	77.4		2016		8
1.47	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	84.3	100	81.4		2016		8
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.78	Cancer	population	193.6	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.78	Colorectal Cancer	population	19.5	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.78	Lung Cancer	population	62.8	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
2.78	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	49.4	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		6
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000					2211 2215		
2.22	Incidence Rate	population	71.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
	0 10 11 151 6	/400.000							
1 02	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000	12		10.0	11.6	2011 2015		_
1.83	Incidence Rate	population	13		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		6

		cases/ 100,000							
1.78	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	116.1		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
4.70	Company Marking Board Lating		7.4		7.1	7.0			2
1.78	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent cases/ 100,000	7.4		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.56	All Cancer Incidence Rate	population	428.7		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		6
1.50	All carreet metacrice Nate	cases/ 100,000	720.7		401.5	771.2	2011 2013		U
0.83	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	90.1		95.4	109	2011-2015		6
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
						0.0.		1110111 21017 111111	
1.53	Children with Health Insurance	percent	90	100	90.3		2016		8
1.50	Children with Low Access to a		F 3				2015		1.5
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	5.3				2015		15
1.39	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	21.6		23	17.9	2016		4
		cases/ 1,000							
0.89	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	5.9		8.5		2017		9
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian					500			
2.61	Labor Force	percent	46.4		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
2.61	Unemployed Workers in Civilian Labor Force	norcont	5.6		4	4.1	July 2018		13
2.01	Median Monthly Owner Costs	percent	٥.٥		4	4.1	July 2010		12
	for Households without a								
2.25	Mortgage	dollars	501		467	462	2012-2016		1
	People 65+ Living Below Poverty								_
2.17	Level	percent	12.1		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
		stores/ 1,000							
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.6				2016		15
4.04	Mortgaged Owners Median	1. 11	15.40		4444	1.101	2042 2045		
1.81	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1549		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.72	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	15.2		15.4	12.9	2016		4

	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor		1					
1.61	Force	percent	59.2	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	867	911	949	2012-2016		1
1.39	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	21.6	23	17.9	2016		4
1.17	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	5.2			2015		15
1.11	Per Capita Income	dollars	29729	27828	29829	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.00	People Living 200% Above Poverty Level	percent	71.7	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
0.92	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	158700	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.83	Median Household Income	dollars	70396	54727	55322	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American	1
0.78	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	percent	28.2	48	47.3	2012-2016		1
0.75	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year)	percent	17.7	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
0.72	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	1.4	1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
0.61	Families Living Below Poverty Level	percent	7.7	13	11	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native	1
0.61	Homeownership	percent	72.3	55	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.61	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	11.7	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	6-11 American Indian or Alaska Native	1
0.56	Households with Cash Public Assistance Income Children Living Below Poverty	percent	0.9	1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
0.50	Level	percent	13.7	23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Other	1
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	11.6	3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
0.39	Severe Housing Problems	percent	11	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3

	Students Eligible for the Free								
0.39	Lunch Program	percent	28.2		52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	CHAMBERS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.72	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	21.1		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.61	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	83.8		82.3	87	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
1.39	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	14.6		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		7
0.97	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	16.1		21.6	15.9	2013		10
0.89	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	0.1		2		2016		11
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	CHAMBERS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.28	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	12.9		6.8	10.5	2015		14
2.17	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	58.8		80.6	83.1	2018		3
2.08	Drinking Water Violations	percent	17		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
1.94	Grocery Store Density	stores/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		15
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.6				2016		15
1.78	Fast Food Restaurant Density	restaurants/ 1,000 population	0.7				2014		15
1.78	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	facilities/ 1,000 population	0				2014		15
1.61	PBT Released	pounds	0				2017		16
1.61	Recognized Carcinogens Released into Air	pounds	10363				2017		16

	Children with Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	5.3				2015		15
		markets/1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0				2016		15
1.33	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
1.33	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.0				2013		13
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	5.2				2015		15
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
1.06	Food Environment Index		7.6		6	7.7	2018		3
0.61	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	4.8		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
0.39	Severe Housing Problems	percent	11		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	U	,							
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, & WEIGHT	UNITS	CHAMBERS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE 2.78		UNITS percent		HP2020 3.1	TEXAS	U.S. 2.8		HIGH DISPARITY*	Source 1
	WEIGHT		COUNTY				PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	
2.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent percent stores/ 1,000	0.7 58.8		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018	HIGH DISPARITY*	3
2.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work	percent percent stores/1,000 population	COUNTY 0.7		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016	HIGH DISPARITY*	1
2.78 2.17 1.94	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density	percent percent stores/1,000 population stores/1,000	0.7 58.8 0.1		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15
2.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent percent stores/ 1,000 population stores/ 1,000 population	0.7 58.8		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018	HIGH DISPARITY*	3
2.78 2.17 1.94	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density	percent percent stores/1,000 population stores/1,000	0.7 58.8 0.1		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15
2.78 2.17 1.94 1.89 1.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density SNAP Certified Stores	percent percent stores/ 1,000 population stores/ 1,000 population restaurants/ 1,000	0.7 58.8 0.1 0.6		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014 2016	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15
2.78 2.17 1.94 1.89	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density SNAP Certified Stores	percent percent stores/ 1,000 population stores/ 1,000 population restaurants/ 1,000 population	0.7 58.8 0.1 0.6		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014 2016	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15
2.78 2.17 1.94 1.89 1.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density SNAP Certified Stores Fast Food Restaurant Density Recreation and Fitness Facilities Food Insecurity Rate	percent percent stores/ 1,000 population stores/ 1,000 population restaurants/ 1,000 population facilities/ 1,000	0.7 58.8 0.1 0.6 0.7		1.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014 2016 2014	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15 15
2.78 2.17 1.94 1.89 1.78 1.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density SNAP Certified Stores Fast Food Restaurant Density Recreation and Fitness Facilities Food Insecurity Rate Children with Low Access to a	percent percent stores/1,000 population stores/1,000 population restaurants/1,000 population facilities/1,000 population percent	COUNTY 0.7 58.8 0.1 0.6 0.7 0 15.2		1.6 80.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014 2016 2014 2014 2016	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15 15 15 15 4
2.78 2.17 1.94 1.89 1.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density SNAP Certified Stores Fast Food Restaurant Density Recreation and Fitness Facilities Food Insecurity Rate	percent percent stores/ 1,000 population stores/ 1,000 population restaurants/ 1,000 population facilities/ 1,000 population percent	0.7 58.8 0.1 0.6 0.7		1.6 80.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014 2016 2014 2014	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15 15 15
2.78 2.17 1.94 1.89 1.78 1.72 1.50	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density SNAP Certified Stores Fast Food Restaurant Density Recreation and Fitness Facilities Food Insecurity Rate Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent percent stores/1,000 population stores/1,000 population restaurants/1,000 population facilities/1,000 population percent percent markets/1,000	0.7 58.8 0.1 0.6 0.7 0 15.2 5.3		1.6 80.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014 2016 2014 2014 2016 2015	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15 15 15 15 4 15
2.78 2.17 1.94 1.89 1.78 1.78	WEIGHT Workers who Walk to Work Access to Exercise Opportunities Grocery Store Density SNAP Certified Stores Fast Food Restaurant Density Recreation and Fitness Facilities Food Insecurity Rate Children with Low Access to a	percent percent stores/ 1,000 population stores/ 1,000 population restaurants/ 1,000 population facilities/ 1,000 population percent	COUNTY 0.7 58.8 0.1 0.6 0.7 0 15.2		1.6 80.6	2.8	PERIOD 2012-2016 2018 2014 2016 2014 2014 2016	HIGH DISPARITY*	1 3 15 15 15 15 4

1.33	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	5.2				2015		15
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
1.06	Food Environment Index		7.6		6	7.7	2018		3
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Ischemic Heart Disease:								
2.39	Medicare Population	percent	35		28.8	26.5	2015		2
2.28	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	parcant	8.5		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.20	Heart Failure: Medicare	percent	6.5		7.4	0.1	2013		2
2.22	Population	percent	19.5		15.5	13.5	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.19	Heart Disease	population	194.7		173	171.9	2010-2014		10
2.06	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.6		4.5	4	2015		2
1.72	Hypertension: Medicare Population	percent	58.5		57.5	55	2015		2
		,							
1.19	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	deaths/100,000	20.2	34.8	42	37.3	2010 2014		10
1.19	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	population	38.2	34.8	42	37.3	2010-2014		10
1.17	Population	percent	42.2		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

	T			1		1	1	<u> </u>	
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.67	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	15.4		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.07	mindenza and i nedmonia	cases/ 100,000	13.4		17.2	13.2	2010 2014		10
1.61	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2	1	4.5		2013-2017		10
		cases/ 100,000		_					
1.44	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	5		16.1		2016		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.44	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	12.1		40.6		2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.00	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	115.8		511.6		2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.00	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	21.7		160.2		2017		10
	MATERNAL, FETAL &		CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFANT HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.53	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	10.8	7.8	8.3	8	2013		10
	Mothers who Received Early								
1.64	Prenatal Care	percent	64.9	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
1.47	Preterm Births	percent	11.5	9.4	12	11.4	2013		10
	Infants Born to Mothers with								
0.97	<12 Years Education	percent	16.1		21.6	15.9	2013		10
0.75	Teen Births	percent	1.7		2.8	4.3	2014		10
		deaths/ 1,000 live							
0.47	Infant Mortality Rate	births	2.2	6	5.8	6	2013		10
	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL		CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	DISORDERS	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/100,000							
2.67	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	17.5		98.8	214.3	2017		3
2.20	Alzheimer's Disease or		12.2		11 7	0.0	2015		2
2.28	Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	12.2		11.7	9.9	2015		2
2.17	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide	deaths/ 100,000 population	15.1	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014		10
2.17	Juiciuc	μυμαιατίστι	13.1	10.2	11./	14.5	2010-2014	<u> </u>	10

			I	1		l	1		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.14	Alzheimer's Disease	population	42.3		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
	Poor Mental Health: Average								
1.00	Number of Days	days	3.5		3.4	3.8	2016		3
0.67	Depression: Medicare		11.2		47	467	2015		2
0.67	Population	percent	14.3		17	16.7	2015		2
0.67	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	10.5		10.6	15	2016		3
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Ischemic Heart Disease:								
2.39	Medicare Population	percent	35		28.8	26.5	2015		2
	Alzheimer's Disease or								
2.28	Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	12.2		11.7	9.9	2015		2
2.20	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare		0.5		7.4	0.1	2015		2
2.28	Population Heart Failure: Medicare	percent	8.5		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.22	Population	percent	19.5		15.5	13.5	2015		2
2.17	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	12.1		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
2.17	LCVCI	ρετεεπι	12.1		10.0	5.5	2012 2010	maparite of Latino	1
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.14	Alzheimer's Disease	population	42.3		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
2.06	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	14.3		11.1	11.2	2015		2
2.06	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.6		4.5	4	2015		2
1.83	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	25.9		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
1.78	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.4		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.72	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	28.3		28.2	26.5	2015		2
1 72	Hypertension: Medicare	normant	F0 F		57.5		2015		
1.72	Population	percent	58.5			55	2015		2
1.67	Chronic Kidney Disease:	percent	17.7		19.9	18.1	2015		2

	Medicare Population								
1.28	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.7		8.2	8.2	2015		2
1.17	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent	42.2		46.1	44.6	2015		2
1.17	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
1.17	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	27.1		31.6	30	2015		2
0.67	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	14.3		17	16.7	2015		2
0.61	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	3.5		6.5	6	2015		2
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	CHAMBERS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.67	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	17.7		19.9	18.1	2015		2
1.17	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	27.1		31.6	30	2015		2
0.61	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	3.5		6.5	6	2015		2
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	CHAMBERS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.31	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries	deaths/100,000 population	63.4	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014		10
0.42	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/100,000 population	8.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
0.39	Severe Housing Problems	percent	11		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3

	1 1						1		
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		crimes/ 100,000							
1.22	Violent Crime Rate	population cases/ 1,000	255.9		407.6		2012-2014		3
0.89	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	5.9		8.5		2017		9
									-
0.56	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	17.7		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000		====					
2.78	Lung Cancer	population	62.8	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		6
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000					0011 0015		
2.22	Incidence Rate	population	71.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
2.06	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	14.3		11.1	11.2	2015		2
		1 1 / 100 000							
1.67	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	15.4		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.07	innuenza anu i neumonia	cases/ 100,000	15.4		14.2	13.2	2010-2014		10
1.61	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2	1	4.5		2013-2017		10
1.28	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.7		8.2	8.2	2015		2
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	5 5 1 45 6 11								
2.61	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	46.4		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
		•							
2.50	Linguistic Isolation	percent	7.5		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
2.39	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	29.2		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
	Median Monthly Owner Costs								
2.25	for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	501		467	462	2012-2016		1
	3 3								
1.83	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	25.9		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1

1.81	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1549		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.72	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	21.1		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.61	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher Population 16+ in Civilian Labor	percent	83.8		82.3	87	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
1.61	Force	percent	59.2		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	867		911	949	2012-2016		1
1.47	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	84.3	100	81.4		2016		8
1.11	Per Capita Income	dollars	29729		27828	29829	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.00	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	62.1		58.8		2016		12
0.92	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	158700		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.89	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	5.9		8.5		2017		9
0.83	Median Household Income	dollars	70396		54727	55322	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American	1
0.61	Homeownership	percent	72.3		55	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.61	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	11.7		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	6-11 American Indian or Alaska Native	1
0.50	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	13.7		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Other	1
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	11.6		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
0.39	Single-Parent Households	percent	17.3		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	CHAMBERS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

		stores/ 100,000							
2.28	Liquor Store Density	population	12.9		6.8	10.5	2015		14
2.17	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	20.9	25.4	19.4	18	2016		3
0.56	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	17.7		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
0.40	Death Rate due to Drug	deaths/ 100,000	0.6		0.0	46.0	2014 2016		2
0.42	Poisoning	population	8.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
			CHAMBERS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.78	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	0.7	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
2.50	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	parcant	45.6		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3
2.30	Commute	percent	43.0		30.3	34.7	2012-2010		3
	Workers Commuting by Public								
2.50	Transportation	percent	0	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
2.39	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	29.2		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
2.33	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	noroont	87.8		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	Asian	1
2.33	WOIK	percent	07.0		60.5	76.4	2012-2016	ASIdII	1
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.33	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
0.61	Households without a Vehicle	Х	2.6		5.6	9	2012-2016		1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	CHAMBERS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
				111 2020				THOTI DISTANTI	
1.72	Life Expectancy for Females	years	79.6		80.8	81.5	2014		5
1.72	Life Expectancy for Males	years	74.8		76.2	76.7	2014		5
1.17	Insufficient Sleep	percent	32		32.7	38	2016		3
	Door Dhysical Harltha Access								
1.00	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.4		3.5	3.7	2016		3
0.83	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	10.3		10.8	15	2016		3
0.03		percent	10.5	1	10.0	1 10	2010		, ,

								l
	Self-Reported General Health							
0.83	Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	15.4	18.2	16	2016	3	l

Fort Bend County

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
			FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/ 100,000							
2.11	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	59.8		98.8	214.3	2017		3
	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000							
1.67	Provider Rate	population	52.2		66.8	81.2	2017		3
		dentists/ 100,000							
1.17	Dentist Rate	population	51.8		55.9	67.4	2016		3
1.08	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	88	100	81.4		2016		8
0.97	Children with Health Insurance	percent	93.3	100	90.3		2016		8
	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-								
0.92	64	percent	85.4	100	77.4		2016		8
		providers/ 100,000							
0.33	Primary Care Provider Rate	population	80.3		59.9	75.5	2015		3
			FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.56	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		7.1	7.8	2015		2
		cases/ 100,000							
1.50	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	103.8		95.4	109	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
1.17	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	114.7		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
		/400 000							
0.56	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	10		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		6
0.56	incidence Rate		10		10.9	11.0	2011-2015		В
0.50	All Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	367.6		401.3	441.2	2011 2015		6
0.50	All Caricer incidence Rate	роригация	307.0		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		В
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.47	Breast Cancer	females	18.1	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
0.47	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	6.4	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.25	Prostate Cancer	males	14.6	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.22	Colorectal Cancer	population	11.9	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
0.22	Colorectal Calicel	ρυμιιατίστι	11.5	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2013		U

	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
0.17	Incidence Rate	population	39.5		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.00	Cancer	population	126.2	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015	Male	6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.00	Lung Cancer	population	28.1	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
0.00	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	34	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		6
			FORT REALS				A 4 E A CUI DE NA ENIT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Course
SCORE	Children with Low Access to a	UNITS	COUNTY	HPZUZU	TEXAS	0.5.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY	Source
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	7.4				2015		15
1.03	Grocery Store	cases/1,000	7				2013		13
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	3.5		8.5		2017		9
0.97	Children with Health Insurance	percent	93.3	100	90.3		2016		8
0.67	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	19.1		23	17.9	2016		4
0.0.	oma rood mocoamy nace	percent	13.1			17.15	2010		
			FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	1252		911	949	2012-2016		1
2.26	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	d = 11 = 11 =	712		467	460	2012 2016		1
2.36	Households Without a Mortgage	dollars	712		467	462	2012-2016		1
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
2.25	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1884		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
		stores/ 1,000							
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.4				2016		15
	Unemployed Workers in Civilian								
1.78	Labor Force	percent	4.1		4	4.1	July 2018		13
1.56	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	14.8		15.4	12.9	2016		4
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	7.1				2015		15
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian	,							
1.17	Labor Force	percent	59.3		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1

	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor		T				1	
1.17	Force	percent	66.9	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
	D							
1.06	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	percent	40.1	48	47.3	2012-2016		1
1.06		•	14.8	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
1.06	Severe Housing Problems	percent	14.8	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Persons with Disability Living in							
0.75	Poverty (5-year)	percent	15.6	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
0.67	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	19.1	23	17.9	2016		4
	Persons with Disability Living in		100			2215		
0.64	Poverty	percent	16.2	24.2	26.6	2016		1
	Households with Cash Public							
0.56	Assistance Income	percent	1.1	1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	6.2	3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
0.42	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	217600	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
	Children Living Below Poverty						Hispanic or Latino,	
0.39	Level	percent	11.2	23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Other	1
0.39	Families Living Below Poverty Level	narcant	6.4	13	11	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
		percent					Other	1
0.39	Homeownership People 65+ Living Below Poverty	percent	74.4	55	55.9	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino,	1
0.39	Level	percent	6.9	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Other	1
0.00	2000.	persent	0.5	10.0	3.0	2012 2010	Hispanic or Latino,	
							Other, <6, 6-11, 12-	
0.39	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	8.2	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	17, 18-24	1
0.17	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	1.1	1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
							Black or African	
0.17	Median Household Income	dallara	01152	F 4727	ГГЭЭЭ	2012 2016	American, Hispanic	1
0.17	People Living 200% Above Poverty	dollars	91152	54727	55322	2012-2016	or Latino, Other	1
0.17	Level	percent	79.4	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
		<u> </u>					Black or African	
							American, Hispanic	
0.17	Per Capita Income	dollars	37134	27828	29829	2012-2016	or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
0.17	Students Eligible for the Free	uoilais	3/134	27020	23023	2012-2010	TWO OF MODE NACES	1
0.17	Lunch Program	percent	26.7	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7

SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.67	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	16.5		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		7
1.00	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	1.1		2		2016		11
0.89	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	89.2		82.3	87	2012-2016	65+	1
0.42	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	9.2		21.6	15.9	2013	Black or African	10
0.17	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	44.6		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	American, Other, Two or More Races, Female, 65+	1
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.4				2016		15
1.83	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	7.4				2015		15
1.83		stores/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		15
	Grocery Store Density Recognized Carcinogens Released								
1.61	into Air Farmers Market Density	pounds markets/ 1,000 population	18132 0				2017		16 15
1.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	7.1				2015		15
1.39	PBT Released	pounds	18164				2017		16
1.33	Fast Food Restaurant Density	restaurants/1,000 population	0.6				2014		15
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	facilities/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		15
1.22	Food Environment Index		7.4		6	7.7	2018		3

	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	1.9				2015		15
1.08	Drinking Water Violations	percent	0.9		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
1.06	Severe Housing Problems	percent	14.8		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.00	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.1				2015		15
0.83	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	83.8		80.6	83.1	2018		3
0.61	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	5.2		6.8	10.5	2015		14
0.39	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	1.2		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	0.6	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	25-44	1
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.4				2016		15
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	7.4				2015		15
1.83	Grocery Store Density	stores/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		15
1.56	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	14.8		15.4	12.9	2016		4
1.50	Farmers Market Density	markets/ 1,000 population	0				2016		15
1.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	7.1				2015		15
4.00	5 . 5 . 10	restaurants/1,000	0.0				2211		
1.33	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population facilities/1,000	0.6				2014		15
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		15
1.22	Food Environment Index		7.4		6	7.7	2018		3
4.47	People 65+ with Low Access to a		1.0				2015		4.5
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	1.9]	2015		15

		Т	1	1			T	T	1
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.00	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.1				2015		15
0.83	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	83.8		80.6	83.1	2018		3
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,							
0.67	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	19.1		23	17.9	2016		4
			FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
				111 2020				THOTI DISI AINTT	
2.50	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.7		4.5	4	2015		2
2.47	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare		46.6		46.1	44.6	2015		2
2.17	Population	percent	46.6		46.1	44.6	2015		2
1.61	Hypertension: Medicare Population	narcant	57.1		57.5	55	2015		2
1.01	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare	percent	37.1		37.3	33	2013		
1.22	Population	percent	28		28.8	26.5	2015		2
1.22	Heart Failure: Medicare	percent	20		20.0	20.5	2013		
1.06	Population	percent	13.9		15.5	13.5	2015		2
	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	,							
0.94	Population	percent	6.9		7.4	8.1	2015		2
0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	05.4						4.0
0.64	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	population	35.4	34.8	42	37.3	2010-2014		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.42	Heart Disease	population	135		173	171.9	2010-2014	Black, White, Male	10
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
4.67	Chiana dia tanàna and Bata	cases/ 100,000	272.5		F11.6		2017		1.0
1.67	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	373.5		511.6	-	2017		10
1 67	Canarrhae Incidence Date	cases/100,000	0.3		160.2		2017		10
1.67	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population cases/ 100,000	93		160.2		2017		10
1.67	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	19		40.6		2017		10
1.07	Syprims incluence hate	cases/ 100,000	13		40.0		2017		10
1.56	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	3.7	1	4.5		2013-2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.22	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	9.2		16.1		2016		10
							1		

1.06	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	13.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.00	innaciiza ana i neamonia	роришноп	15.0		14.2	13.2	2010 2014		10
	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT		FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.36	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	9.3	7.8	8.3	8	2013		10
1.86	Mothers who Received Early Prenatal Care	percent	62.8	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
1.47	Preterm Births	percent	11.5	9.4	12	11.4	2013		10
1.39	Babies with Very Low Birth Weight	percent	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		10
0.69	Infant Mortality Rate	deaths/ 1,000 live births	4.3	6	5.8	6	2013		10
0.42	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	9.2		21.6	15.9	2013		10
0.42	Teen Births	percent	1		2.8	4.3	2014		10
SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	WEN S HEXEIN	cases/ 100,000	0001111	111 2020	12////3	0.5.	1211102	711011 21317 (((1))	304100
1.50	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	103.8		95.4	109	2011-2015		6
0.50	Life Expectancy for Males	years	80.1		76.2	76.7	2014		5
0.25	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 males	14.6	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
SCORE	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL DISORDERS	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/ 100,000							
2.11	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	59.8		98.8	214.3	2017		3
1.33	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	10.2		11.7	9.9	2015		2
0.01	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000		4.5 -		45 -			
0.94	Suicide	population	7.3	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014	White, Male	10

	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.64	Alzheimer's Disease	population	20.6		26.6	24.5	2010-2014	White	10
0.61	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	12.2		17	16.7	2015		2
0.50	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	9		10.6	15	2016		3
0.50	Poor Mental Health: Average	,			2.4	2.0	2016		
0.50	Number of Days	days	3		3.4	3.8	2016		3
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.50	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.7		4.5	4	2015		2
2.22	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	30.8		28.2	26.5	2015		2
2.17	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	19.2		19.9	18.1	2015		2
2.17	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent	46.6		46.1	44.6	2015		2
1.61	Hypertension: Medicare Population	percent	57.1		57.5	55	2015		2
1.56	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.56	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	6		6.5	6	2015		2
1.33	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	10.2		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.22	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population	percent	28		28.8	26.5	2015		2
1.17	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.9				2015		15
1.06	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	13.9		15.5	13.5	2015		2
0.94	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	percent	6.9		7.4	8.1	2015		2
0.94	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	0.9		7.4	0.1	2013		
0.72	Falls	population	6	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		10
0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/100,000 population	20.6		26.6	24.5	2010-2014	White	10

				1		1	T		1
0.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	6.5		8.2	8.2	2015		2
0.61	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	12.2		17	16.7	2015		2
0.61	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	26.1		31.6	30	2015		2
0.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	7.7		11.1	11.2	2015		2
0.39	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	15.2		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
0.39	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	6.9		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	narcant	19.2		19.9	18.1	2015		2
1.56	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent percent	6		6.5	6	2015		2
0.61	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	26.1		31.6	30	2015		2
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.06	Severe Housing Problems	percent	14.8		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
0.86	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	5.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
0.72	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls	deaths/ 100,000 population	6	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		10
0.47	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries	deaths/ 100,000 population	24.9	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014	White, Male	10
			FORT BEND				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	36		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000	3.5		8.5		2017		9

		children							
1.00	Violent Crime Rate	crimes/ 100,000 population	261.5		407.6		2012-2014		3
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.56	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	3.7	1	4.5		2013-2017		10
1.06	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	13.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
0.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	6.5		8.2	8.2	2015		2
0.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	7.7		11.1	11.2	2015		2
0.17	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	39.5		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
0.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	28.1	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		6
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	32.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	1252		911	949	2012-2016		1
2.36	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	712		467	462	2012-2016		1
2.25	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1884		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.72	Linguistic Isolation	percent	6.2		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
1.17	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	59.3		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.17	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	66.9		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	3.5		8.5		2017		9
1.08	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	88	100	81.4		2016		8

	People 25+ with a High School								
0.89	Degree or Higher	percent	89.2		82.3	87	2012-2016	65+	1
0.00	Voter Turnout: Presidential		64.0		F0.0		2016		12
0.89	Election	percent	64.8		58.8		2016		12
0.61	Single-Parent Households	percent	22.4		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	6.2		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
0.42	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	217600		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
	Children Living Below Poverty							Hispanic or Latino,	
0.39	Level	percent	11.2		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Other	1
0.39	Homeownership	percent	74.4		55	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.39	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	15.2		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
								Hispanic or Latino,	
0.20	Daniela Linia a Dalam Danasako Lamal		0.2		167	15.1	2012 2016	Other, <6, 6-11, 12-	1
0.39	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	8.2		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	17, 18-24 Black or African	1
								American, Hispanic	
0.17	Median Household Income	dollars	91152		54727	55322	2012-2016	or Latino, Other	1
								Black or African	
								American, Other,	
0.17	People 25+ with a Bachelor's	naraant	44.6		28.1	30.3	2012 2016	Two or More Races, Female, 65+	1
0.17	Degree or Higher	percent	44.0		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	Black or African	1
								American, Hispanic	
								or Latino, Other,	
0.17	Per Capita Income	dollars	37134		27828	29829	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
			FORT DENIE				NACACLIDENACNIT		
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	36	111 2020	28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
		,		25.4					
1.50	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent deaths/ 100,000	18.3	25.4	19.4	18	2016		3
0.86	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	5.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
		stores/ 100,000							-
0.61	Liquor Store Density	population	5.2		6.8	10.5	2015		14

SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	32.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.83	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	57.5		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3
2.67	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	0.6	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	25-44	1
1.94	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	82.3		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	Black or African American, 20-44	1
1.06	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	1.7	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
1.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.1				2015		15
0.50	Households without a Vehicle	percent	2.7		5.6	9	2012-2016		1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.17	Insufficient Sleep	percent	32.5		32.7	38	2016		3
0.72	Life Expectancy for Females	years	83.5		80.8	81.5	2014		5
0.67	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	14.1		18.2	16	2016		3
0.50	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	8.7		10.8	15	2016		3
0.50	Life Expectancy for Males	years	80.1		76.2	76.7	2014		5
0.50	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	2.9		3.5	3.7	2016		3
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	FORT BEND COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.17	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	114.7		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
0.72	Life Expectancy for Females	years	83.5		80.8	81.5	2014		5
0.47	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	18.1	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6

		cases/ 100,000						
0.47	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	6.4	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015	6

Galveston County

	,								
SCORE	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEVAC	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	LUCU DICDADITY*	Course
SCORE	SERVICES	providers/ 100,000	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	0.5.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.78	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	95.9		98.8	214.3	2017		4
211.0		dentists/ 100,000							
1.50	Dentist Rate	population	42.8		55.9	67.4	2016		4
	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-								
1.47	64	percent	81.3	100	77.4		2016		9
1.47	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	84.3	100	81.4		2016		9
1.36	Children with Health Insurance	percent	91.4	100	90.3		2016		9
		providers/ 100,000							
1.00	Primary Care Provider Rate	population	71.7		59.9	75.5	2015		4
0.67	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000	77.4		66.0	01.2	2017		4
0.67	Provider Rate	population	77.4		66.8	81.2	2017		4
			GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	A A Protect Booth Both Locks	1							
2.25	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 males	22.5	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
2.23	Flostate Calicei	IIIales	22.3	21.0	10.1	15.5	2011-2013		/
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.22	Colorectal Cancer	population	16.9	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		7
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.17	Cancer	population	189	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015	Male Black	7
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.7		7.1	7.8	2015		3
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.83	Lung Cancer	population	50.4	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		7
		1 1/ /100 000							
1.53	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	21.2	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		7
1.33	DIEGSE CAILCEI	remales	21.2	20.7	۷۷.۷	20.3	2011-2013		/
	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
	Incidence Rate	population	11.8		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		7

	Luce and December Course	cases/ 100,000		I I					1
1.17	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	population	59.2		53.1	60.2	2011 2015		7
1.17	incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	59.2		55.1	60.2	2011-2015		
1.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	99.8		95.4	109	2011-2015		7
1.17	Frostate Cancer incluence hate	cases/ 100,000	99.8		33.4	109	2011-2013		,
1.00	All Cancer Incidence Rate	population	403		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		7
1.00	All Calicel Hicidefice Nate	cases/ 100,000	403		401.5	441.2	2011-2013		
0.97	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	7.8	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		7
0.57	Cervical Caricer Ilicidence Nate	cases/ 100,000	7.0	7.3	5.2	7.5	2011-2013		,
0.83	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	110.1		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		7
0.03	Breast carreer melacrice nate	cases/ 100,000	110.1		111.7	124.7	2011 2019		,
0.33	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	35.4	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		7
0.00	Color Cottan Carroon Moracines Mate	population	33.1	0515	00.1	03.2	2011 2010		<u> </u>
									1
			GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
4.00	Children with Low Access to a		0.1				2015		1.6
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	8.1				2015		16
1 70	Culturation of Child About Date	cases/ 1,000	0.5		0.5		2017		10
1.78	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	9.5		8.5		2017		10
1.36	Children with Health Insurance	percent	91.4	100	90.3		2016		9
1.33	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	22.4		23	17.9	2016		5
CCORE	500NONW	LINUTC	GALVESTON	1102020	TEVAC		MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITV*	6
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Madian Manthly Own - Ct- C								
2.58	Median Monthly Owner Costs for	dollars	523		167	462	2012 2016		1
2.56	Households without a Mortgage Unemployed Workers in Civilian	UUIIdIS	525		467	402	2012-2016		1
2.44	Labor Force	percent	4.7		4	4.1	July 2018		14
		ρειτειιι							
2.28	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	2.7		1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
2.17	Homeownership	percent	54.7		55	55.9	2012-2016		1
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
2.14	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1648		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000	0.7				2016		16

		population						
2.08	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	941	911	949	2012-2016		1
2.00	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	17	15.4	12.9	2016		5
1.50	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	58.1	57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	7.8			2015		16
1.50	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	percent	45.6	48	47.3	2012-2016		1
1.33	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	22.4	23	17.9	2016		5
1.33	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	64.2	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.11	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	19.2	23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.11	Families Living Below Poverty Level	percent	10.2	13	11	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.11	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	13.6	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	12-17, 18-24, 6-11, <6 Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.08	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year)	percent	23.2	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
1.06	Severe Housing Problems	percent	16.3	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
0.97	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty	percent	22.7	24.2	26.6	2016		1
0.92	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	161100	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.72	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	8	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Black or African American	1
0.72	Students Eligible for the Free Lunch Program	percent	40.5	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		8

			1	1		1	ı	1	
	Households with Cash Public								
0.56	Assistance Income	percent	1		1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
	People Living 200% Above Poverty	F 51 5 5 11 1	_						
0.56	Level	percent	70.7		62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	3.9		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		15
								Black or African	
0.20	Na dian Hawarkald Income	-1 - 11	63064		F 4707		2012 2016	American, Hispanic	1
0.39	Median Household Income	dollars	63064		54727	55322	2012-2016	or Latino, Other Black or African	1
								American, Hispanic	
								or Latino, Other,	
0.33	Per Capita Income	dollars	32756		27828	29829	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
			CALVESTON				NACACHDENACNT		
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
				====					
2.11	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/teacher	16.7		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		8
1.22	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	1.1		2		2016		12
	People 25+ with a Bachelor's							65+ Black or African	
0.89	Degree or Higher	percent	28.9		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	American, Other	1
0,00	3	F 51 5 5 11 1							_
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
0.75	Years Education	percent	16.2		21.6	15.9	2013		11
	People 25+ with a High School							65+ Black or African	
0.67	Degree or Higher	percent	87.6		82.3	87	2012-2016	American	1
		,							
			GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.7				2016		16
		• •							
1.92	Drinking Water Violations Children with Low Access to a	percent	8.8		6.6		FY 2013-14		4
1.83	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	8.1				2015		16
1.00	3. 33ci y 3.01c	percent	0.1	ı		1	2010	I .	10

1.75	Annual Ozone Air Quality	grade	F				2014-2016		2
1.67	Fast Food Restaurant Density	restaurants/ 1,000 population	0.7				2014		16
1.67	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	3.5				2015		16
1.61	PBT Released	pounds	5336				2017		17
1.56	Food Environment Index		6.9		6	7.7	2018		4
1.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	7.8				2015		16
1.44	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	8.7		6.8	10.5	2015		15
1.39	Grocery Store Density	stores/ 1,000 population	0.2				2014		16
1.39	Recognized Carcinogens Released into Air	pounds	233970				2017		17
1.33	Farmers Market Density	markets/ 1,000 population	0				2016		16
1.25	Annual Particle Pollution	grade	А				2014-2016		2
1.17	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	facilities/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		16
1.06	Severe Housing Problems	percent	16.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
1.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.4				2015		16
0.83	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	88.1		80.6	83.1	2018		4
0.83	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	8.3		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
SCORE	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, & WEIGHT	UNITS stores/1,000	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.7				2016		16
2.00	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	17		15.4	12.9	2016		5

	Children with Low Access to a								
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	8.1				2015		16
		restaurants/1,000							
1.67	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.7				2014		16
4.67	People 65+ with Low Access to a		2.5				2015		1.6
1.67	Grocery Store	percent	3.5				2015		16
1.56	Food Environment Index		6.9		6	7.7	2018		4
1.56	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	2	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	55-59, 60-64	1
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	7.8				2015		16
		stores/1,000							
1.39	Grocery Store Density	population	0.2				2014		16
1.33	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	22.4		23	17.9	2016		5
		markets/1,000							
1.33	Farmers Market Density	population	0				2016		16
		facilities/1,000							
1.17	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		16
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.00	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.4				2015		16
		percent							
0.83	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	88.1		80.6	83.1	2018		4
			GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83		naraant	5.6		4.5	4			2
2.83	Stroke: Medicare Population Heart Failure: Medicare	percent	5.0		4.5	4	2015		3
2.44	Population	percent	17.6		15.5	13.5	2015		3
	Hypertension: Medicare	,							
1.94	Population	percent	59.2		57.5	55	2015		3
	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare								
1.78	Population	percent	8		7.4	8.1	2015		3
	Ago Adjusted Dooth Data dive to	dooths / 100 000							
1.75	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	deaths/ 100,000 population	44.4	34.8	42	37.3	2010-2014	Black	11
1./3	cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	μυμαιατίστι	44.4	54.0	44	57.5	2010-2014	DIACK	1 11

			ı	1		1	T		1 1
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
1.72	Population	percent	29.2		28.8	26.5	2015		3
	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	•							
1.50	Population	percent	42		46.1	44.6	2015		3
0.92	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Heart Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	170.7		173	171.9	2010-2014	Male Black	11
0.92	neart Disease	роригастот	170.7		1/3	1/1.9	2010-2014	IVIAIE DIACK	11
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		cases/ 100,000							
2.00	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	491.3		511.6		2017		11
		cases/ 100,000					0017		
1.67	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	132.8		160.2		2017		11
1.61	HIV Diagnosis Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	13.7		16.1		2016		11
1.01	THV Diagnosis Nate	cases/ 100,000	15.7		10.1		2010		11
1.44	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	23.3		40.6		2017		11
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
1.39	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	14.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		11
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	3.1	1	4.5		2013-2017		11
1.59	Tuberculosis incluence Nate	роригастот	5.1	1	4.5		2013-2017		11
	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT		GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.14	Preterm Births	naraant	13.5	9.4	12	11.4	2013		11
2.14	Mothers who Received Early	percent	15.5	9.4	12	11.4	2015		11
1.86	Prenatal Care	percent	61.3	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		11
1.69	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	8.4	7.8	8.3	8	2013		11
		deaths/ 1,000 live							
1.31	Infant Mortality Rate	births	5.6	6	5.8	6	2013		11
	Babies with Very Low Birth								
1.28	Weight	percent	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		11
1.03	Teen Births	percent	2.5		2.8	4.3	2014		11

		1	1	1			1		
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
0.75	Years Education	percent	16.2		21.6	15.9	2013		11
		p 2222							
			GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.25	Prostate Cancer	males	22.5	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
1.72	Life Expectancy for Males	years	74.7		76.2	76.7	2014		6
		cases/ 100,000							
1.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	99.8		95.4	109	2011-2015		7
66685	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL	LINUTC	GALVESTON	1100000	T5\/A6		MEASUREMENT	LUCLI DICDADITY	
SCORE	DISORDERS	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.33	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	18.2		17	16.7	2015		3
2.44	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:		11.1		44.7	0.0	2015		2
2.11	Medicare Population	percent providers/ 100,000	11.1		11.7	9.9	2015		3
1.78	 Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	95.9		98.8	214.3	2017		4
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.67	Suicide	population	12.9	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014	Male	11
1 22	Poor Mental Health: Average	-1	2.5		2.4	3.8	2016		4
1.33	Number of Days	days	3.5		3.4	3.8	2016		4
0.83	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	10.7		10.6	15	2016		4
		1 // /20005							
0.42	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	21.4		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		11
0.42	Aizheimer s Disease	роригация	21.4		20.0	24.3	2010-2014		11
			GALVESTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare	percent	21.9		19.9	18.1	2015		3

	Population								
2.83	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.6		4.5	4	2015		3
2.44	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	17.6		15.5	13.5	2015		3
2.33	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	18.2		17	16.7	2015		3
2.11	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	11.1		11.7	9.9	2015		3
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.7		7.1	7.8	2015		3
1.94	Hypertension: Medicare Population	percent	59.2		57.5	55	2015		3
1.78	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	percent	8		7.4	8.1	2015		3
1.78	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	27.8		28.2	26.5	2015		3
1.72	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population	percent	29.2		28.8	26.5	2015		3
1.67	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	3.5				2015		16
1.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	8.1		8.2	8.2	2015		3
1.50	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent	42		46.1	44.6	2015		3
1.44	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	30.8		31.6	30	2015		3
1.06	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	10.6		11.1	11.2	2015		3
0.89	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	5.2		6.5	6	2015		3
0.72	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls	deaths/ 100,000 population	6.2	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		11
0.72	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	23.6		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
0.72	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	8		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Black or African American	1

0.42	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	21.4		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		11
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	21.9		19.9	18.1	2015		3
1.44	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	30.8		31.6	30	2015		3
0.89	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	5.2		6.5	6	2015		3
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.58	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/100,000 population	15.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
1.42	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries	deaths/ 100,000 population	40.9	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014	Male	11
1.06	Severe Housing Problems	percent	16.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
0.72	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls	deaths/100,000 population	6.2	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		11
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS cases/ 1,000	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.78	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	9.5		8.5		2017		10
1.67	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	31.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4
1.00	Violent Crime Rate	crimes/ 100,000 population	261.1		407.6		2012-2014		4

SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	11.5	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	COUNTY	HPZUZU	TENAS	U.S.	PENIOD	HIGH DISPANTIT	Source
1.83	Lung Cancer	population	50.4	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		7
1.03	Lung Cancer	роригастот	30.4	45.5	33		2011-2013		
1.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	8.1		8.2	8.2	2015		3
1.39	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	14.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		11
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	3.1	1	4.5		2013-2017		11
1.17	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	59.2		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		7
1.06	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	10.6		11.1	11.2	2015		3
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.58	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	523		467	462	2012-2016		1
2.50	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	27.8		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.17	Homeownership	percent	54.7		55	55.9	2012-2016		1
2.14	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1648		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
2.08	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	941		911	949	2012-2016		1
1.78	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	9.5		8.5	3.3	2017		10
1.50	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	58.1		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.47	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	84.3	100	81.4		2016		9
1.33	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	64.2		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.28	Single-Parent Households	percent	30.6		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1

	Voter Turnout: Presidential								
1.22	Election	percent	59.8		58.8		2016		13
1.11	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	19.2		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.11	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	13.6		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	12-17, 18-24, 6-11, <6 Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.06	Linguistic Isolation	percent	3.2		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
0.92	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	161100		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.89	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	28.9		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	65+ Black or African American, Other	1
0.72	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	23.6		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
0.67	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	87.6		82.3	87	2012-2016	65+ Black or African American	1
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	3.9		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		15
0.39	Median Household Income	dollars	63064		54727	55322	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other Black or African American, Hispanic	1
0.33	Per Capita Income	dollars	32756		27828	29829	2012-2016	or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.67	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	31.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4
1.58	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	15.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
1.50	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	18.8	25.4	19.4	18	2016		4
1.44	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	8.7		6.8	10.5	2015		15

SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	40.7		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		4
2.50	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	27.8		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
1.56	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	1.1	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
1.56	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	2	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	55-59, 60-64	1
1.39	Households without a Vehicle	percent	6		5.6	9	2012-2016		1
1.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.4				2015		16
1.00	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	80		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	60-64 White, non- Hispanic	1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.83	Insufficient Sleep	percent	34.7		32.7	38	2016		4
1.78	Life Expectancy for Females	years	79.7		80.8	81.5	2014		6
1.72	Life Expectancy for Males	years	74.7		76.2	76.7	2014		6
1.67	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	17.7		18.2	16	2016		4
1.50	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.7		3.5	3.7	2016		4
1.17	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	11.2		10.8	15	2016		4
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	GALVESTON COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

1.78	Life Expectancy for Females	years	79.7		80.8	81.5	2014	6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000						
1.53	Breast Cancer	females	21.2	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015	7
		cases/ 100,000						
0.97	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	7.8	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015	7
		cases/ 100,000						
0.83	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	110.1		111.7	124.7	2011-2015	7

Harris County

CCORE	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	LUCH DISDADITY*	Course
SCORE		UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	0.5.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Adults Unable to Afford to See a						2215		
2.00	Doctor	percent	22.1		18.3	12.1	2015		10
1.81	Children with Health Insurance	percent	89.4	100	90.3		2016		9
	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-								
1.75	64	percent	74.7	100	77.4		2016		9
1.75	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	79.3	100	81.4		2016		9
		providers/100,000							
1.61	Primary Care Provider Rate	population	57.2		59.9	75.5	2015		4
		providers/100,000							
1.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	103.7		98.8	214.3	2017		4
	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/100,000							
1.00	Provider Rate	population	72.2		66.8	81.2	2017		4
		dentists/ 100,000							
0.50	Dentist Rate	population	66.3		55.9	67.4	2016		4
			HARRIS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	CANCER	cases/ 100,000	COUNTY	HPZUZU	TEXAS	0.3.	PENIOD	HIGH DISPANTIT	Source
2.53	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	11	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		7
2.33	Cervical Califer Hichaelice Nate	ICIIIaiCS	11	7.3	J.L	1.5	2011-2013		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
2.25	Breast Cancer	females	23.2	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015	Black	7
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.6		7.1	7.8	2015		3

		T	T			1			
	Colon Cancer Screening:								
1.58	Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy	percent	57.6		62.3		2016		10
		,							
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.53	Prostate Cancer	males	19.8	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
		cases/ 100,000							
1.39	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	113.2		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		7
		cases/ 100,000							
1.33	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	102.5		95.4	109	2011-2015		7
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.22	Colorectal Cancer	population	14.6	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		7
1.22	Color Cetar Carleer	cases/ 100,000	14.0	14.5	17.7	14.5	2011 2013		,
1.00	All Cancer Incidence Rate	population	402.6		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		7
2.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.94	Cancer	population	157.8	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015	Black, Male	7
		cases/ 100,000						,	
0.94	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	38.8	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		7
	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
0.89	Incidence Rate	population	10.9		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		7
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000			50.4		2211 2215		_
0.50	Incidence Rate	population	50.9		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		7
0.22	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	27.5	45.5	20	42.4	2011 2015		_
0.33	Lung Cancer	population	37.5	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		7
			HARRIS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
				1		0.5.		111011 0131 7111111	
1.81	Children with Health Insurance	percent	89.4	100	90.3		2016		9
1.67	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	23.5		23	17.9	2016		5
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	5.4				2015		17
		cases/ 1,000					05:-		
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	5.4		8.5		2017		11
			A.D.D.I.G				145 A CUIDEN 45 : : T		
CCODE	DIARCTEC	LINUTC	HARRIS	1102022	TEVAC	11.6	MEASUREMENT	THE TENEDADITY	6
SCORE	DIABETES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.67	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	28.1		28.2	26.5	2015		3

181 Memorial Hermann – Texas Medical Center CHNA 2019

1.44	Adults with Diabetes	percent	10.2		11.2	10.5	2016		10
0.92	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Diabetes	deaths/100,000 population	20.2		21.7	21.2	2010-2014	Black, Hispanic, Male	12
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.44	Homeownership	percent	49.6		55	55.9	2012-2016		1
2.39	Severe Housing Problems	percent	20.9		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
2.22	Students Eligible for the Free Lunch Program	percent	58.2		52.9	42.6	2015-2016		8
2.14	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	534		467	462	2012-2016		1
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.6				2016		17
2.08	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	937		911	949	2012-2016		1
2.06	Families Living Below Poverty Level	percent	14.4		13	11	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
2.06	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	16.6		15.4	12.9	2016		5
1.94	Unemployed Workers in Civilian Labor Force	percent	4.4		4	4.1	July 2018		15
1.89	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	11.3		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Asian, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Female, 75+	1
1.81	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1504		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.67	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	23.5		23	17.9	2016		5
1.67	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	26		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, <6	1
1.67	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.4		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	American Indian or	1

							Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Female, <6, 6- 11, 12-17, 18-24	
1.67	Total Employment Change	percent	2.4	3.2	2.5	2014-2015		16
1.50	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	percent	46.8	48	47.3	2012-2016		1
1.42	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year)	percent	25.4	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
1.33	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	6.3			2015		17
1.33	People Living 200% Above Poverty Level	percent	61.6	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
1.08	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	145600	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.97	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty	percent	22.9	24.2	26.6	2016		1
0.94	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	59.8	57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
0.94	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	68.3	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
0.89	Households with Cash Public Assistance Income	percent	1.5	1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
0.67	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	1.5	1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
0.50	Median Household Income	dollars	55584	54727	55322	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
							American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Other, Two	
0.50	Per Capita Income	dollars	29850	27828	29829	2012-2016	or More Races	1

SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.92	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	27.5		21.6	15.9	2013		12
1.89	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	16.4		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		8
1.67	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	2.6		2		2016		13
1.67	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	80.2		82.3	87	2012-2016	Male, 35-44, 45-64, 65+	1
0.67	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	30.1		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Other, 45- 64, 65+	1
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.39	Severe Housing Problems	percent	20.9		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.6				2016		17
1.75	Annual Ozone Air Quality	grade	F				2014-2016		2
1.69	Annual Particle Pollution	grade	С				2014-2016		2
1.67	Fast Food Restaurant Density	restaurants/ 1,000 population	0.7				2014		17
1.61	Recognized Carcinogens Released into Air	pounds	1962916				2017		18
1.50	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	5.4				2015		17
1.50	Farmers Market Density	markets/ 1,000 population	0				2016		17
1.50	Grocery Store Density	stores/ 1,000 population	0.2				2014		17

							1	<u> </u>	
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	6.3				2015		17
		facilities/ 1,000							
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		17
1.25	Drinking Water Violations	percent	1.7		6.6		FY 2013-14		4
1.17	PBT Released	pounds	210516				2017		18
1.00	Food Environment Index		7.2		6	7.7	2018		4
1.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	0.9				2015		17
1.00	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.4				2015		17
0.89	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	6.3		6.8	10.5	2015		16
0.67	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	90.4		80.6	83.1	2018		4
0.17	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	6.2		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
SCORE	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, & WEIGHT	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.5	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	White, non-Hispanic	1
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.6				2016		17
2.06	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	16.6		15.4	12.9	2016		5
1.67	Adults (18+ Years) Who Are Obese	percent	32	30.5	33.6	29.9	2016		10
1.67	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	23.5		23	17.9	2016		5
1.67	Fast Food Restaurant Density	restaurants/ 1,000 population	0.7				2014		17
1.50	Adults who are Overweight or Obese	percent	66.7		68.4	65.2	2016		10
1.50	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	5.4				2015		17
1.50	Farmers Market Density	markets/1,000 population	0				2016		17
1.50	Grocery Store Density	stores/ 1,000 population	0.2				2014		17

	Adult Fruit and Vegetable								
1.42	Consumption	percent	18.7		17.2		2015		10
1.33	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	6.3				2015		17
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	facilities/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		17
1.00	Food Environment Index		7.2		6	7.7	2018		4
1.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	0.9				2015		17
1.00	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.4				2015		17
0.67	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	90.4		80.6	83.1	2018		4
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.2		4.5	4	2015		3
1.89	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	16		15.5	13.5	2015		3
1.50	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		7.4	8.1	2015		3
1.44	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent	43.2		46.1	44.6	2015		3
1.42	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke) Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare	deaths/ 100,000 population	41.5	34.8	42	37.3	2010-2014	Black	12
1.33	Population	percent	28.8		28.8	26.5	2015		3
1.22	Hypertension: Medicare Population	percent	55.5		57.5	55	2015		3
0.92	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Heart Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	167.6		173	171.9	2010-2014	Black, White, Male	12
SCORE	IMMUNIZATIONS & INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.33	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	182.1		160.2		2017		12

		population							
		cases/ 100,000							
2.33	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	59.3		40.6		2017		12
2.33	Syptims incidence nate	cases/ 100,000	33.3		40.0		2017		12
2.11	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	571.4		511.6		2017		12
	·	cases/ 100,000							
1.83	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	6.6	1	4.5		2013-2017		12
	Adults 65+ with Influenza								
1.78	Vaccination	percent	57.2		57.3	58.6	2016		10
4.67		cases/ 100,000					2212		4.0
1.67	HIV Diagnosis Rate Adults 65+ with Pneumonia	population	26.3		16.1		2016		12
1.17	Vaccination	percent	73.5	90	71.3	73.4	2016		10
1.17	Vaccillation	регсет	73.3	30	/1.3	73.4	2010		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.00	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	14		14.2	15.2	2010-2014	Black, Male	12
SCORE	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT HEALTH	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
JCONE	IIIALIII	deaths/ 1,000 live	COONTT	111 2020	ILAAS	0.5.	TEMOD	THOTI DISTAINTT	Jource
2.36	Infant Mortality Rate	births	6.8	6	5.8	6	2013		12
	Mothers who Received Early								
1.97	Prenatal Care	percent	56.1	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		12
	Infanta Daniela Markana ilia 12								
1.92	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	27.5		21.6	15.9	2013		12
		•							
1.81	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	8.6	7.8	8.3	8	2013		12
1.61	Babies with Very Low Birth Weight	percent	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		12
1.25	Preterm Births	percent	11.8	9.4	12	11.4	2013		12
0.58	Teen Births	percent	2.5		2.8	4.3	2014		12
		·							
			HARRIS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.53	Prostate Cancer	males	19.8	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
1.33	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	102.5		95.4	109	2011-2015		7
1.33	1103tate Cantel Hithuelice Nate	tases/ 100,000	102.3	<u> </u>	JJ.4	103	2011-2013		/

		males							
1.28	Life Expectancy for Males	years	76.4		76.2	76.7	2014		6
SCORE	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL DISORDERS	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.89	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	11.4		11.7	9.9	2015		3
1.53	Poor Mental Health: 5+ Days	percent	80		81.5		2016		10
1.50	Poor Mental Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.7		3.4	3.8	2016		4
1.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	103.7		98.8	214.3	2017		4
1.17	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	11.2		10.6	15	2016		4
0.94	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide	deaths/ 100,000 population	10.3	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014	White, Male	12
0.94	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	14.8		17	16.7	2015		3
0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	17.9		26.6	24.5	2010-2014	White, Female	12
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	20.9		19.9	18.1	2015		3
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.2		4.5	4	2015		3
2.06	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls	deaths/ 100,000 population	10.4	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014	White, Male	12
1.94	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.6		7.1	7.8	2015		3
1.89	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	11.4		11.7	9.9	2015		3
1.89	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	16		15.5	13.5	2015		3
1.89	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	11.3		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Asian, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino,	1

								Other, Female, 75+	
1.78	Adults 65+ with Influenza Vaccination	percent	57.2		57.3	58.6	2016		10
1.72	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	6.3		6.5	6	2015		3
1.67	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	28.1		28.2	26.5	2015		3
1.50	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		7.4	8.1	2015		3
1.44	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent	43.2		46.1	44.6	2015		3
1.44	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	24.4		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
1.33	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population	percent	28.8		28.8	26.5	2015		3
1.22	Hypertension: Medicare Population	percent	55.5		57.5	55	2015		3
1.17	Adults 65+ with Pneumonia Vaccination	percent	73.5	90	71.3	73.4	2016		10
1.00	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.4				2015		17
0.94	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		8.2	8.2	2015		3
0.94	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	14.8		17	16.7	2015		3
0.94	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	27.8		31.6	30	2015		3
0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	17.9		26.6	24.5	2010-2014	White, Female	12
0.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	9.6		11.1	11.2	2015		3
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	20.9		19.9	18.1	2015		3
1.72	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	6.3		6.5	6	2015		3
0.94	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	27.8		31.6	30	2015		3

SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.39	Severe Housing Problems	percent	20.9		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.06	Falls	population	10.4	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014	White, Male	12
4.40		deaths/ 100,000				4.0.0			
1.19	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	10.2		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
0.69	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries	deaths/ 100,000 population	36.1	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014	White, Male	12
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	37.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4
1.67	Violent Crime Rate	crimes/ 100,000 population	713.7		407.6		2012-2014		4
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	5.4		8.5		2017		11
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.83	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	6.6	1	4.5		2013-2017		12
1.78	Adults 65+ with Influenza Vaccination	percent	57.2		57.3	58.6	2016		10
1.17	Adults 65+ with Pneumonia Vaccination	percent	73.5	90	71.3	73.4	2016		10
1.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	14		14.2	15.2	2010-2014	Black, Male	12
0.94	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.3		8.2	8.2	2015		3
0.50	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	50.9		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		7
0.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	9.6		11.1	11.2	2015		3
0.33	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	37.5	45.5	39	43.4	2011-2015		7

	Lung Cancer	population							
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	28.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.50	Linguistic Isolation	percent	11.8		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
2.44	Homeownership	percent	49.6		55	55.9	2012-2016		1
2.17	Single-Parent Households	percent	36.2		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
2.14	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	534		467	462	2012-2016		1
2.08	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	937		911	949	2012-2016		1
1.81	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1504		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.75	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	79.3	100	81.4		2016		9
1.67	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	26		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, <6	1
1.67	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	80.2		82.3	87	2012-2016	Male, 35-44, 45-64, 65+	1
1.67	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.4		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Female, <6, 6- 11, 12-17, 18-24	1
1.67	Total Employment Change	percent	2.4		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		16
1.67	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	58.4		58.8		2016		14
1.44	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	24.4		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	5.4		8.5		2017		11
1.08	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	145600		142700	184700	2012-2016		1

	Female Population 16+ in Civilian								
0.94	Labor Force	percent	59.8		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor	·							
0.94	Force	percent	68.3		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
0.67	People 25+ with a Bachelor's		20.1		20.1	20.2	2012 2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Other, 45-	1
0.67	Degree or Higher	percent	30.1		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	64, 65+	1
0.50	Median Household Income	dollars	55584		54727	55322	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.50	Per Capita Income	dollars	29850		27828	29829	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Other, Two or More Races	1
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	37.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4
1.50	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	18.1	25.4	19.4	18	2016		4
1.28	Adults (18+ Years) Reporting Binge Drinking Within the Last 12 months	percent	16.6	24.2	17.9	16.9	2016		10
1.19	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	10.2		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
0.94	Adults who Smoke	percent	12.1	12	14.3	17.1	2016		10
0.89	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	6.3		6.8	10.5	2015		16

			HARRIS				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	45.8		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		4
2.67	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	28.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.17	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.5	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	White, non-Hispanic	1
1.44	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	79.1		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	White, non-Hispanic, 25-44, 55-59	1
1.33	Households without a Vehicle	percent	6.4		5.6	9	2012-2016		1
1.28	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	2.8	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, Two or More Races, White, non-Hispanic, Male, 25-44	1
1.20	Transportation	percent	2.0	3.3	1.3	5.1	2012-2010	23-44	1
1.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	0.9				2015		17
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.83	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	18.2		18.2	16	2016		4
1.75	Poor Physical Health: 5+ Days	percent	80.6		81.5		2016		10
1.67	Insufficient Sleep	percent	33.9		32.7	38	2016		4
1.28	Life Expectancy for Males	years	76.4		76.2	76.7	2014		6
1.17	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	11.5		10.8	15	2016		4
1.17	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.6		3.5	3.7	2016		4
1.06	Life Expectancy for Females	years	81		80.8	81.5	2014		6
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	HARRIS COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

		cases/ 100,000							
2.53	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	11	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		7
2.25	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/100,000 females	23.2	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015	Black	7
1 20	Durant Course Insidence Date	cases/ 100,000	112.2		111 7	1247	2011 2015		7
1.39	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	113.2		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		/
1.06	Life Expectancy for Females	years	81		80.8	81.5	2014		6

Liberty County

	ty County					Т	1		т
SCORE	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Primary Care Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	24		60	76	2015		3
2.61	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	15		99	214	2017		3
2.00	Non-Physician Primary Care Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	39		67	81	2017		3
		dentists/ 100,000							
1.83	Dentist Rate	population	27		56	67	2016		3
1.81	Children with Health Insurance	percent	88.7	100.0	90.3		2016		8
1.75	Adults with Health Insurance: 18- 64	percent	75.0	100.0	77.4		2016		8
1.75	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	79.4	100.0	81.4		2016		8
					·				
55055	CANCER	LINUTC	LIBERTY	LIDDOGG	T		MEASUREMENT		C
SCORE	CANCER Ago Adjusted Death Pate due to	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.56	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	63.8	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000			_ 				
2.44	Incidence Rate	population	14.3		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		6
2.42	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	10.7	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		6
2.33	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer	deaths/100,000 population	196.1	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015	Male	6
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000		101.7				iviaic	
2.22	Incidence Rate	population	78.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
2.17	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	16.0	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
1.86	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	22.4	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
1.83	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	41.5	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		6

		population							
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.53	Prostate Cancer	males	21.1	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
1.17	All Cancer Incidence Rate	population	401.0		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		6
1.06	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	6.8		7.1	7.8	2015		2
		cases/ 100,000							
1.00	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	98.4		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
0.47		cases/ 100,000	75.0		05.4	400.0	2011 2015		
0.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	75.0		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
			LIDEDT/				A A F A CLUD FA A FAIT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		UNITS		111 2020				HIGH DISPARIT	
2.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	26.0		23.0	17.9	2016		4
1.81	Children with Health Insurance	percent	88.7	100.0	90.3		2016		8
		cases/ 1,000							
1.50	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	13.3		8.5		2017		9
1.33	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	4.3				2015		15
1.55	drocery store	регсен	7.5				2013		13
			LIBERTY				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
						1-1			
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian								
2.83	Labor Force	percent	39.5		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
2.02	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor		F1.2		64.2	62.1	2012 2016		
2.83	Force Unemployed Workers in Civilian	percent	51.3		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
2.61	Labor Force	percent	6.1		4.0	4.1	July 2018		13
		,							
2.50	Total Employment Change	percent	-3.7		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	18.7		15.4	12.9	2016		4
2.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	26.0		23.0	17.9	2016		4

			1		1		1	1
2.11	Severe Housing Problems	percent	18.5	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Students Eligible for the Free							
2.11	ū	percent	55.5	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7
	People 65+ Living Below Poverty							
1.94	Level	percent	10.6	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
	Persons with Disability Living in							
1.92	Poverty (5-year)	percent	28.4	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
							Black or African	
							American, Hispanic	
1.83	·	dollars	22065	27828	29829	2012-2016	or Latino, Other	1
	Persons with Disability Living in							
1.81	Poverty	percent	28.0	24.2	26.6	2016		1
		stores/ 1,000						
1.78	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.8			2016		15
1.75	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	89100	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
	Families Living Below Poverty							
1.72		percent	12.4	13.0	11.0	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
	People Living 200% Above Poverty	·					·	
1.72	Level	percent	60.5	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
							Hispanic or Latino,	
							Native Hawaiian or	
							Other Pacific	
1.67	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.3	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	Islander	1
	Households with Cash Public							
1.61	Assistance Income	percent	1.9	1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
	Low-Income and Low Access to a							
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	7.7			2015		15
1.42	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	801	911	949	2012-2016		1
	Children Living Below Poverty							
1.39	Level	percent	23.3	23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
							Black or African	
							American, Hispanic	
1.33	Median Household Income	dollars	49655	54727	55322	2012-2016	or Latino	1
	Median Monthly Owner Costs for							
1.08	•	dollars	414	467	462	2012-2016		1
	0.0				I		1	·

						1	1		
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
0.97	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1160		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
0.83	Homeownership	percent	64.8		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.72	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	narcant	35.1		48.0	47.3	2012 2016		1
		percent					2012-2016		
0.61	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	1.2		1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
			LIBERTY				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	People 25+ with a Bachelor's								
2.39	Degree or Higher	percent	10.0		28.1	30.3	2012-2016		1
2.06	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	76.2		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	Other	1
2.00	Degree of Frighter	регсен	70.2		02.5	07.0	2012 2010	Other	1
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
1.97	Years Education	percent	24.2		21.6	15.9	2013		10
1.78	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	15.4		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		7
1.22	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	1.7		2.0		2016		11
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	59.5	111 2020	80.6	83.1	2018	THOM BISTARRET	3
		•							
2.11	Severe Housing Problems	percent stores/1,000	18.5		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
1.94	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1				2014		15
	Harris I al la colle No Com and I								
1.83	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	3.4				2015		15
		stores/ 1,000							
1.78	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.8				2016		15

				1		Т	1		
1.72	Food Environment Index		6.6		6.0	7.7	2018		3
		facilities/ 1,000							
1.67	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
	Recognized Carcinogens Released								
1.61	into Air	pounds	947				2017		16
1.50	Farmers Market Density	markets/ 1,000 population	0.0				2016		15
1.50	Farmers Market Density	роригаціоп	0.0				2016		15
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	7.7				2015		15
	·								
1.39	PBT Released	pounds	0				2017		16
1.33	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	4.3				2015		15
1.55	Grocery Store	restaurants/ 1,000	4.5				2013		13
1.33	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.5				2014		15
	,	, ,							
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	2.2				2015		15
0.75	Drinking Water Violations	percent	0.0		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
	Drining Water Violations	stores/ 100,000	0.0		0.0		7,72010 17		
0.61	Liquor Store Density	population	3.8		6.8	10.5	2015		14
0.17	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	4.8		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
0.17	Houses Built Filor to 1990	percent	4.0		7.4	10.2	2012-2010		1
SCORE	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, & WEIGHT	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HF2020	TEXAS	0.3.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY	Source
2.67	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.0	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	18.7		15.4	12.9	2016		4
2.17	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	59.5		80.6	83.1	2018		3
2.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	26.0		23.0	17.9	2016		4
		stores/ 1,000							
1.94	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1				2014		15
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.83	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	3.4				2015		15

	1	. /1.000		1			T	T	
4.70		stores/1,000					2212		4.5
1.78	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.8				2016		15
1.72	Food Environment Index		6.6		6.0	7.7	2018		3
		facilities/ 1,000							
1.67	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
		markets/ 1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	7.7				2015		15
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	4.3				2015		15
		restaurants/ 1,000							
1.33	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.5				2014		15
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	2.2				2015		15
			LIBERTY				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.50	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.8		4.5	4.0	2015		2
2.30	Heart Failure: Medicare	регсен	3.0		7.5	4.0	2013		
2.39	Population	percent	20.4		15.5	13.5	2015		2
	· cparacion	persent	2011		10.0	10.0	2010		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.14	Heart Disease	population	257.6		173.0	171.9	2010-2014	Male	10
	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	1 1							
2.00	Population	percent	8.2		7.4	8.1	2015		2
	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare								
2.00	Population	percent	46.0		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
2.00	Population	percent	33.2		28.8	26.5	2015		2
	Hypertension: Medicare								1
1.83	Population	percent	60.4		57.5	55.0	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.75	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	population	41.1	34.8	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		10

				1			1		ı
SCORE	IMMUNIZATIONS & INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.33	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	20.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.67	Cumbilia Incidence Data	cases/ 100,000 population	20.3		40.6		2017		10
1.07	Syphilis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	20.3		40.6		2017		10
1.44	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	369.4		511.6		2017		10
1.77	emaniyala meldence kate	cases/ 100,000	303.4		311.0		2017		10
1.44	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	87.3		160.2		2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2.0	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.06	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	3.7		16.1		2016		10
SCORE	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT HEALTH	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.36	Preterm Births	percent	13.5	9.4	12.0	11.4	2013		10
2.08	Mothers who Received Early Prenatal Care	percent	52.3	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
1.97	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	24.2		21.6	15.9	2013		10
1.75	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	8.2	7.8	8.3	8.0	2013		10
1.75	Babies with Very Low Birth	percent	0.2	7.0	0.5	0.0	2013		10
1.72	Weight	percent	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		10
1.31	Infant Mortality Rate	deaths/ 1,000 live births	5.6	6.0	5.8	6.0	2013		10
0.75	Teen Births	percent	2.8		2.8	4.3	2014		10
		·							
SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

2.28	Life Expectancy for Males	years	71.6		76.2	76.7	2014		5
1.53	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 males	21.1	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
0.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 males	75.0		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
SCORE	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL DISORDERS	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	15		99	214	2017		3
2.36	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	38.5		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
2.28	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide	deaths/ 100,000 population	14.0	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014		10
1.94	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	17.5		17.0	16.7	2015		2
1.67	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	10.9		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.50	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	11.8		10.6	15.0	2016		3
1.50	Poor Mental Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.8		3.4	3.8	2016		3
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	31.4		28.2	26.5	2015		2
2.67	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	20.1		19.9	18.1	2015		2
2.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	12.2		8.2	8.2	2015		2
2.50	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.8		4.5	4.0	2015		2
2.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	19.4		11.1	11.2	2015		2

			T				Ī		
2.20	Heart Failure: Medicare		20.4		15.5	12.5	2015		2
2.39	Population	percent	20.4		15.5	13.5	2015		2
		1 11 /100 000							
2.26	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	20.5		26.6	245	2010 2011		4.0
2.36	Alzheimer's Disease	population	38.5		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
2.00	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare		0.2		7.4	0.1	2015		2
2.00	Population	percent	8.2		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.00	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare		46.0		46.1	44.6	2015		2
2.00	Population	percent	46.0		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare						2215		
2.00	Population	percent	33.2		28.8	26.5	2015		2
1.94	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	17.5		17.0	16.7	2015		2
	People 65+ Living Below Poverty	·							
1.94	Level	percent	10.6		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
	Hypertension: Medicare								
1.83	Population	percent	60.4		57.5	55.0	2015		2
	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:								
1.67	Medicare Population	percent	10.9		11.7	9.9	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.56	Falls	population	8.0	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		10
	Osteoporosis: Medicare								
1.44	Population	percent	5.8		6.5	6.0	2015		2
	Rheumatoid Arthritis or								
	Osteoarthritis: Medicare								
1.44	Population	percent	30.1		31.6	30.0	2015		2
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	2.2				2015		15
1.06	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	6.8		7.1	7.8	2015		2
0.94	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	23.4		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
	, 3	,				1			
						-			
			LIDEDTY				A A F A CLUD FA A FAST		
CCODE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	LINUTC	LIBERTY	1102020	Т	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITY*	C
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare	norcont	20.1		10.0	101	2015		2
2.67	Population	percent	20.1		19.9	18.1	2015		2

	Osteoporosis: Medicare								
1.44	Population	percent	5.8		6.5	6.0	2015		2
	Rheumatoid Arthritis or	,							
	Osteoarthritis: Medicare								
1.44	Population	percent	30.1		31.6	30.0	2015		2
			LIBERTY				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.11	Severe Housing Problems	percent	18.5		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.08	Unintentional Injuries	population	63.5	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014	Male	10
2.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	55.5	5511	07.10	33.2	2010 2017	mare	10
1.56	Falls	population	8.0	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		10
		deaths/ 100,000							
1.31	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	12.9		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
			LIDEDT!				A 45 A CULDEN 45 A IT		
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
JCONE	FOBLIC SAFETT	crimes/ 100,000	COONTI	111 2020	TEXAS	0.5.	TEMOD	TIIGH DISI AIITT	Jource
2.17	Violent Crime Rate	population	433.2		407.6		2012-2014		3
		cases/ 1,000							
1.50	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	13.3		8.5		2017		9
0.72	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	21.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
			LIBERTY				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	12.2		8.2	8.2	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.56	Lung Cancer	population	63.8	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
2.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	19.4		11.1	11.2	2015		2
	And Alberta I Booth Bate I	1							
2.33	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	20.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
2.33	iiiiiueiiza aiiu riieuiii0iiia	μυμαιαιιστι	۷.0	1	14.2	13.2	2010-2014		10

	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
2.22	Incidence Rate	population	78.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	2.0	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	39.5		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
2.83	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	51.3		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	35.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.50	Total Employment Change	percent	-3.7		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
2.39	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	10.0		28.1	30.3	2012-2016		1
2.06	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	76.2		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	Other	1
1.83	Per Capita Income	dollars	22065		27828	29829	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
1.75	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	89100		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
1.75	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	79.4	100.0	81.4		2016		8
								Hispanic or Latino, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific	
1.67	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.3		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	Islander	1
1.50	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	13.3		8.5		2017		9
1.42	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	801		911	949	2012-2016		1
1.39	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	23.3		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
1.33	Median Household Income	dollars	49655		54727	55322	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic	1

								or Latino	
1.33	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	55.3		58.8		2016		12
1.28	Linguistic Isolation	percent	3.3		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
1.08	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	414		467	462	2012-2016		1
0.97	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1160		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
0.94	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	23.4		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
0.83	Homeownership	percent	64.8		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.56	Single-Parent Households	percent	26.4		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.67	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	19.4	25.4	19.4	18.0	2016		3
1.31	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	12.9		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
0.72	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	21.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
0.61	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	3.8		6.8	10.5	2015		14
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	87.8		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	45-54 American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian	1
2.67	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.0	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	35.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.61	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	58.7		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3

	T	ī				1	T		1
2.06	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	0.2	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
2.00	Transportation	percent	0.2	3.3	1.5	3.1	2012 2010		-
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.83	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	3.4				2015		15
1.50	Households without a Vehicle	percent	5.5		5.6	9.0	2012-2016		1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.28	Life Expectancy for Females	years	76.8		80.8	81.5	2014		5
2.28	Life Expectancy for Males	years	71.6		76.2	76.7	2014		5
		,							
	Self-Reported General Health								
1.83	Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	18.2		18.2	16.0	2016		3
1.50	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	11.8		10.8	15.0	2016		3
	Poor Physical Health: Average								
1.50	Number of Days	days	3.8		3.5	3.7	2016		3
1.17	Insufficient Sleep	percent	32.7		32.7	38.0	2016		3
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	LIBERTY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		cases/ 100,000							
2.42	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	10.7	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		6
2.28	Life Expectancy for Females	years	76.8		80.8	81.5	2014		5
1.86	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	22.4	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
1.00	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	98.4		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6

Montgomery County

	gomery county								
	ACCESS TO HEALTH		MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SERVICES	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/ 100,000							
2.00	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	69		99	214	2017		4
1 67	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000			67	81	2017		4
1.67	Provider Rate	population dentists/ 100,000	55		67	81	2017		4
1.56	Dentist Rate	population	46		56	67	2016		4
						0,			
1.53	Children with Health Insurance	percent	90.2	100.0	90.3		2016		9
1 47	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-		70.7	100.0	77.4		2016		
1.47	64	percent	79.7	100.0	77.4		2016		9
1.47	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	83.1	100.0	81.4		2016		9
		providers/ 100,000							
1.22	Primary Care Provider Rate	population	62		60	76	2015		4
			MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.72	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.8		7.1	7.8	2015		3
	·	cases/ 100,000							
1.58	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	females	8.0	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		7
	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
1.56	Incidence Rate	population	12.2		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		7
		cases/ 100,000	447.0		=	404-			
1.33	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	117.6		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		7
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	-l+b-/100 000							
1.19	Prostate Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 males	17.8	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
1.19	r rostate cancer	maies	17.8	21.0	10.1	15.5	2011-2013		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
1.08	Breast Cancer	females	19.6	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		7
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.94	Lung Cancer	population	42.4	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		7
		cases/ 100,000							
0.83	All Cancer Incidence Rate	population	398.9		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		7

	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
0.83	Incidence Rate	population	56.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		7
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.78	Colorectal Cancer	population	14.4	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		7
		cases/ 100,000							
0.67	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	36.6	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		7
		cases/ 100,000							
0.67	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	88.7		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		7
0.61	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000	156.0	161.4	156.4	162.5	2011 2015	N 4 = 1 =	7
0.61	Cancer	population	156.0	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015	Male	7
			MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1 67	Children with Low Access to a		Г.С				2015		1.0
1.67	Grocery Store	percent	5.6				2015		16
1.53	Children with Health Insurance	percent	90.2	100.0	90.3		2016		9
1.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	21.2		23.0	17.9	2016		5
		cases/ 1,000							
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	5.5		8.5		2017		10
CCODE	500NOMY	LINUTC	MONTGOME	1102020	T	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITVA	6
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	1077		911	949	2012-2016		1
2.58	Median Monthly Owner Costs for	dollars	531		467	462	2012 2016		1
2.58	Households without a Mortgage	uUlldIS	331		40/	402	2012-2016		1
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
2.19	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1635		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
2.23	y modseniola costs	donard	1000		4111	1101	2012 2010		
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian								
2.00	Labor Force	percent	53.6		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
		stores/ 1,000							
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.5				2016		16

	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor							
1.50	Force	percent	63.7	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.33	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	14.6	15.4	12.9	2016		5
1.33	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	5.9			2015		16
1.28	Severe Housing Problems	percent	16.0	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
1.28	Unemployed Workers in Civilian Labor Force	percent	3.8	4.0	4.1	July 2018		14
1.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	21.2	23.0	17.9	2016		5
1.06	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	percent	39.4	48.0	47.3	2012-2016		1
1.00	Total Employment Change	percent	3.5	3.2	2.5	2014-2015		15
0.86	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty	percent	17.9	24.2	26.6	2016		1
0.78	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	7.7	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.75	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year)	percent	19.1	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
0.61	Homeownership	percent	65.6	55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.58	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	190000	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.56	Families Living Below Poverty Level	percent	8.3	13.0	11.0	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.56	Households with Cash Public Assistance Income	percent	1.1	1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
0.56	People Living 200% Above Poverty Level	percent	73.0	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
0.56	Students Eligible for the Free Lunch Program	percent	35.1	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		8
0.39	Median Household Income	dollars	70805	54727	55322	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other,	1

								Two or More Races	
0.17	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	14.8		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.17	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	1.2		1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
0.17	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	11.0		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	12-17, 18-24, 6-11, <6 Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.17	Per Capita Income	dollars	35912		27828	29829	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.89	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	16.2		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		8
1.22	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	86.8		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native	1
1.08	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	17.6		21.6	15.9	2013		11
1.00	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	0.9		2.0		2016		12
0.33	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	33.0		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	25-34, 65+ American Indian or Alaska Native, Other, Two or More Races	1
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.5				2016		16

		stores/ 1,000							
1.83	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1				2014		16
1.75	Annual Ozone Air Quality	grade	F				2014-2016		2
	Children with Low Access to a	-							
1.67	Grocery Store	percent	5.6				2015		16
		markets/ 1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		16
1.50		restaurants/1,000	0.6				2014		1.0
1.50	Fast Food Restaurant Density Recognized Carcinogens Released	population	0.6				2014		16
1.39	into Air	pounds	16762				2017		17
1.55	THEO 7 KI	pourius	10702				2017		17
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	5.9				2015		16
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	2.5				2015		16
4.00		facilities/ 1,000	0.1				2014		1.6
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		16
1.28	Severe Housing Problems	percent	16.0		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
1.25	Drinking Water Violations	percent	1.7		6.6		FY 2013-14		4
1.22	Food Environment Index		7.5		6.0	7.7	2018		4
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.17	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		16
1.00	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	82.7		80.6	83.1	2018		4
		stores/ 100,000							
0.67	Liquor Store Density	population	6.3		6.8	10.5	2015		15
0.39	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	1.2		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.78	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.0	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.5				2016		16
1.03	SINAL CELUIIEN SIGIES	μυμαιατίστι	0.5			1	2010		10

		stores/ 1,000							
1.83	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1				2014		16
	Children with Low Access to a	, ,							
1.67	Grocery Store	percent	5.6				2015		16
		markets/ 1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		16
		restaurants/ 1,000							
1.50	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.6				2014		16
1.33	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	14.6		15.4	12.9	2016		5
1.33	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	5.9				2015		16
1.33	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.5				2015		16
1.33	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	facilities/ 1,000 population	0.1				2014		16
	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	роригастот					2014		10
1.22	Food Environment Index		7.5		6.0	7.7	2018		4
1.17	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	21.2		23.0	17.9	2016		5
1.17	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		16
1.00	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	82.7		80.6	83.1	2018		4
			MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare								
2.44	Population	percent	8.8		7.4	8.1	2015		3
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.6		4.5	4.0	2015		3
1.94	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent	46.3		46.1	44.6	2015		3
1.61	Hypertension: Medicare Population	percent	56.0		57.5	55.0	2015		3
1.25	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	deaths/ 100,000 population	38.5	34.8	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		11

	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.25	Heart Disease	population	173.2		173.0	171.9	2010-2014	Male Black	11
1.20	Heart Failure: Medicare	роришию	173.2		173.0	171.5	2010 2017	Widte Black	
1.22	Population	percent	14.6		15.5	13.5	2015		3
	'	1							
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
1.17	Population	percent	28.6		28.8	26.5	2015		3
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		cases/ 100,000							
1.67	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	13.1		40.6		2017		11
		cases/ 100,000							
1.50	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	265.4		511.6		2017		11
		cases/ 100,000							
1.44	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	7.7		16.1		2016		11
		cases/ 100,000							l
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	1.8	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		11
1.20	Caranda a Insidana a Data	cases/ 100,000	F2.4		160.3		2017		11
1.28	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	52.4		160.2		2017		11
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.67	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	10.8		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		11
0.07	mindenza ana i neamonia	роришноп	10.0		17.2	13.2	2010 2014		11
	NAATERNAL EETAL G INEANT		NACNITCONAE				NATACLIDENATALT		
SCORE	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT HEALTH	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	Mothers who Received Early	UNITS	KI COONTI	111 2020	TEXAS	0.5.	FLMOD	HIGH DISPANTI	Source
1.75	Prenatal Care	percent	60.7	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		11
2170	Tronatal care	persent	33.7	7715	00.2	,	2010		
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
1.08	Years Education	percent	17.6		21.6	15.9	2013		11
	Babies with Very Low Birth	·							
0.83	Weight	percent	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		11
0.75	Preterm Births	percent	10.4	9.4	12.0	11.4	2013		11
0.47	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	6.6	7.8	8.3	8.0	2013		11
0.47	Dabies with Low Dilth Weight	ρειτειιι	0.0	7.0	0.5	0.0	2013		11

		deaths/ 1,000 live							
0.47	Infant Mortality Rate	births	4.5	6.0	5.8	6.0	2013		11
0.42	Teen Births	percent	2.0		2.8	4.3	2014		11
			MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	A - A divisted Death Bate diviste	-1							
1.19	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 males	17.8	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		7
				21.0					
1.00	Life Expectancy for Males	years	76.7		76.2	76.7	2014		6
0.67	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 males	88.7		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		7
0.07	Trostate earrest meraence nate	mares	33.7		33.1	103.0	2011 2013		,
	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL		MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	DISORDERS	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.28	Suicide	population	14.6	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014	Male	11
2.00	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	69		99	214	2017		4
2.00	Mental Health Provider Rate	роригастот	69		99	214	2017		4
	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:								
1.67	Medicare Population	percent	10.7		11.7	9.9	2015		3
1.28	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	15.9		17.0	16.7	2015		3
	·								
0.67	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	10.2		10.6	15.0	2016		4
	Poor Mental Health: Average								
0.67	Number of Days	days	3.3		3.4	3.8	2016		4
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.64	Alzheimer's Disease	population	18.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		11
CCODE	OLDER ADJUTE & ACING	LINUTC	MONTGOME	LIDAGAG	Toyos	11.6	MEASUREMENT		Course
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare							
2.44	Population	percent	8.8	7.4	8.1	2015		3
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.6	4.5	4.0	2015		3
2.00	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare		10.2	10.0	10.1	2015		2
2.00	Population Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	percent	18.3	19.9	18.1	2015		3
1.94	Population	percent	46.3	46.1	44.6	2015		3
1.72	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.8	7.1	7.8	2015		3
1.67	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	10.7	11.7	9.9	2015		3
1.61	Hypertension: Medicare Population	percent	56.0	57.5	55.0	2015		3
1.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	11.7	11.1	11.2	2015		3
1.33	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.5			2015		16
1.33	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	28.5	31.6	30.0	2015		3
1.28	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.7	8.2	8.2	2015		3
1.28	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	15.9	17.0	16.7	2015		3
1.22	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	14.6	15.5	13.5	2015		3
1.22	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	5.8	6.5	6.0	2015		3
1.17	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population	percent	28.6	28.8	26.5	2015		3
0.94	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	24.8	28.2	26.5	2015		3
0.78	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	7.7	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	18.8	26.6	24.5	2010-2014		11

0.50	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Falls	deaths/ 100,000 population	5.1	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		11
				7.2	7.4				11
0.50	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	21.5		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
			MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	narcant	18.3		19.9	18.1	2015		3
2.00	Rheumatoid Arthritis or	percent	18.3		19.9	18.1	2015		3
	Osteoarthritis: Medicare								
1.33	Population	percent	28.5		31.6	30.0	2015		3
	Osteoporosis: Medicare								_
1.22	Population	percent	5.8		6.5	6.0	2015		3
			NAONITOONAE				NACA CLIDENACNIT		
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.28			16.0		18.3	18.8			4
1.28	Severe Housing Problems	percent deaths/ 100,000	16.0		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		4
1.08	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	10.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
0.50	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000			07.0				
0.53	Unintentional Injuries Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	population deaths/100,000	34.1	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014	Male	11
0.50	Falls	population	5.1	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		11
		, ,							
			MONTGOME				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.06	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	32.9		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4
		cases/ 1,000							
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	5.5		8.5		2017		10
0.83	Violent Crime Rate	crimes/ 100,000 population	171.2		407.6		2012-2014		4
0.03	violent crime race	population	1/1.2		707.0		2012 2017		

SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.39	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	11.7		11.1	11.2	2015		3
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	1.8	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		11
1.28	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.7		8.2	8.2	2015		3
0.94	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/100,000 population	42.4	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		7
0.83	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	56.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		7
0.67	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	10.8		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		11
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	32.5		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	1077		911	949	2012-2016		1
2.58	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	531		467	462	2012-2016		1
2.19	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1635		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
2.00	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	53.6		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.50	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	63.7		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.47	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	83.1	100.0	81.4		2016		9
1.22	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	86.8		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native	1
1.11	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	5.5		8.5		2017		10

1.00	Total Employment Change	percent	3.5		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		15
0.83	Linguistic Isolation	percent	3.7		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
0.67	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	65.5		58.8		2016		13
0.61	Homeownership	percent	65.6		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
0.58	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	190000		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
0.50	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	21.5		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
0.39	Median Household Income	dollars	70805		54727	55322	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
0.39	Single-Parent Households	percent	23.8		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
0.33	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	33.0		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	25-34, 65+ American Indian or Alaska Native, Other, Two or More Races	1
0.47	Children Living Below Poverty							Hispanic or Latino,	
0.17	Level People Living Below Poverty Level	percent percent	14.8		23.9	15.1	2012-2016	Other 12-17, 18-24, 6-11, <6 Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
0.17	Per Capita Income	dollars	35912		27828	29829	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	21.0	25.4	19.4	18.0	2016		4
2.06	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	32.9		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		4

		deaths/ 100,000							
1.08	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	10.6		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		4
0.67	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	6.3		6.8	10.5	2015		15
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.78	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.0	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	32.5		25.9	26.1	2012-2016	Male	1
2.61	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	49.9		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		4
2.17	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	81.5		80.3	76.4	2012-2016		1
1.67	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	1.3	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016	16-19, 20-24 Other	1
1.17	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		16
0.50	Households without a Vehicle	percent	3.6		5.6	9.0	2012-2016		1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.39	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.7		80.8	81.5	2014		6
1.17	Insufficient Sleep	percent	31.8		32.7	38.0	2016		4
1.00	Life Expectancy for Males	years	76.7		76.2	76.7	2014		6
0.67	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	9.9		10.8	15.0	2016		4
0.67	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.3		3.5	3.7	2016		4
0.67	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	14.1		18.2	16.0	2016		4

SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	MONTGOME RY COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.58	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	8.0	7.3	9.2	7.5	2011-2015		7
1.39	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.7		80.8	81.5	2014		6
1.33	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	117.6		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		7
1.08	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	19.6	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		7

San Jacinto County

	actified Country	1		_			T		1
SCORE	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	7		99	214	2017		3
2.00	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000	,			211	2017		
2.83	Provider Rate	population	7		67	81	2017		3
2.61	Dentist Rate	dentists/ 100,000 population	4		56	67	2016		3
2.39	Primary Care Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	15		60	76	2015		3
1.97	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	78.5	100.0	81.4		2016		8
1.81	Children with Health Insurance	percent	88.5	100.0	90.3		2016		8
1.75	Adults with Health Insurance: 18- 64	percent	74.6	100.0	77.4		2016		8
			SAN JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.56	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	205.1	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015		6
2.56	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/100,000 population	71.2	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
2.53	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	26.7	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
2.44	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	14.0		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		6
2.39	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	86.5		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
1.78	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.2		7.1	7.8	2015		1
1.56	All Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	427.1		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		6

						1			
		1 1 /100 000							
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.25	Prostate Cancer	males	19.3	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.83	Colorectal Cancer	population	13.8	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
0.67	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	79.3		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
0.33	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	35.3	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
0.17	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	93.2		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
			SAN						
			JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	25.1		23.0	17.9	2016		4
1.81	Children with Health Insurance	percent	88.5	100.0	90.3		2016		8
	omaren men nearm mearanee	cases/ 1,000	00.0	100.0	30.0		2010		
1.72	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	12.6		8.5		2017		9
1., 2	Children with Low Access to a	crinareri	12.0		0.5		2017		
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.0				2013		13
			CAN						
			SAN JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Cource
SCUKE	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor	UNITS	COUNTY	пг2020	TEXAS	0.5.	PENIUD	חטות חטוא ז ז ו	Source
2.67	•	naraant	F2.7		C4.2	C2 1	2012 2016		#81/4
2.67	Force	percent	52.7		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		#N/A
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian		40 -			50.0	2012 2212		
2.61	Labor Force	percent	43.7		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		#N/A
	Unemployed Workers in Civilian						. ,		
2.44	Labor Force	percent	4.9		4.0	4.1	July 2018		13
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	18.7		15.4	12.9	2016		4
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	l			1	1	1	ı

	Students Eligible for the Free							
2.39	Lunch Program	percent	59.6	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7
2.28	Median Household Income	dollars	44878	54727	55322	2012-2016	Asian	#N/A
2.28	Per Capita Income	dollars	22563	27828	29829	2012-2016	Asian, Hispanic or Latino, Two or More Races	#N/A
2.25	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year)	percent	31.6	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		#N/A
2.19	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	88000	142700	184700	2012-2016		#N/A
2.11	People Living 200% Above Poverty Level	percent	57.5	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		#N/A
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	25.1	23.0	17.9	2016		4
1.89	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.2	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	18-24 Asian	#N/A
1.83	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	2.1	1.6	1.8	2012-2016		#N/A
1.78	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.7			2016		15
1.72	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	10.8	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Black or African American	#N/A
1.61	Renters Spending 30% or More of Household Income on Rent	percent	43.2	48.0	47.3	2012-2016		#N/A
1.39	Households with Cash Public Assistance Income	percent	1.8	1.6	2.7	2012-2016		#N/A
1.28	Severe Housing Problems	percent	15.3	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
1.22	Families Living Below Poverty Level	percent	11.7	13.0	11.0	2012-2016	Asian	#N/A
1.08	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1111	1444	1491	2012-2016		#N/A
1.00	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.9			2015		15
0.92	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	697	911	949	2012-2016		#N/A
0.89	Children Living Below Poverty	percent	19.7	23.9	21.2	2012-2016		#N/A

	Level								
0.75	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	396		467	462	2012-2016		#N/A
0.56	Homeownership	percent	62.7		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		#N/A
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	4.0		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	10.0		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino	#N/A
2.36	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	30.3		21.6	15.9	2013		10
1.61	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	14.8		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		7
1.56	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	2.0		2.0		2016		11
1.17	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	82.8		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	#N/A
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.08	Drinking Water Violations	percent	15.8		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
2.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store SNAP Certified Stores	percent stores/ 1,000 population	4.9				2015 2016		15 15
1.67	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	74.5		80.6	83.1	2018		3

				1 1					
1.67	Food Environment Index		6.9		6.0	7.7	2018		3
		facilities/ 1,000							
1.67	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
		markets/ 1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
		stores/ 1,000							
1.39	Grocery Store Density	population	0.2				2014		15
1.28	Severe Housing Problems	percent	15.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Children with Low Access to a	7-2-2							
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
	,	restaurants/ 1,000							
1.00	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.1				2014		15
	,								
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	2.9				2015		15
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.3				2015		15
0.61	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	4.7		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		#N/A
0.01	Houses Built Filor to 1930	stores/ 100,000	4.7		7.4	10.2	2012-2010		#IN/A
0.39	Liquor Store Density	population	3.6		6.8	10.5	2015		14
0.00	Enquer eter e e enercy	рорилинен	5.5		0.0	10.0	2010		
			SAN						
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.44	Workers who Walk to Work	naraant	1.4	3.1	1.0	2.8	2012 2016		#N/A
2.44	Workers who walk to work	percent	1.4	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		#IN/A
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	18.7		15.4	12.9	2016		4
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	25.1		23.0	17.9	2016		4
	Households with No Car and Low								
2.00	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	4.9				2015		15
		stores/ 1,000							
1.78	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.7				2016		15
1.67	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	74.5		80.6	83.1	2018		3

1.67	Food Environment Index		6.9		6.0	7.7	2018		3
		facilities/ 1,000							
1.67	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
		markets/ 1,000							
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
		stores/1,000							
1.39	Grocery Store Density	population	0.2				2014		15
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
		restaurants/1,000							
1.00	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.1				2014		15
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	2.9				2015		15
	·	,							
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.3				2015		15
1.00	Grocery Store	регсеп	1.5				2013		15
			SAN						
			JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
JCONE	TILANT DISEASE & STROKE	ONITS	COONTI	111 2020	TEXAS	0.5.	FEMOD	THOT DISTAILT	Jource
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.3		4.5	4.0	2015		1
	Heart Failure: Medicare								
2.50	Population	percent	20.6		15.5	13.5	2015		1
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
2.39	Population	percent	34.4		28.8	26.5	2015		1
	Hypertension: Medicare	,							
2.33	Population	percent	61.9		57.5	55.0	2015		1
	[- 3/80/8//	p 2. 30//L	54.5		00	55.0	2010		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.08	Heart Disease	population	201.5		173.0	171.9	2010-2014		10
2.00	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	роритация	201.5		1/3.0	1/1.9	2010-2014		10
1.94	Population	norcant	47.5		46.1	44.6	2015		1
1.94	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	percent	4/.5		40.1	44.6	2015		1
1 70			7.0		7.4	0.1	2015		1
1.78	Population	percent	7.8		7.4	8.1	2015		1

0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	deaths/ 100,000 population	35.9	34.8	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		10
0.64	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	роригаціон	33.9	34.0	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		10
			SAN						
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		cases/ 100,000							
1.61	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2.9	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
		cases/ 100,000					2217		4.0
1.44	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	106.1		160.2		2017		10
1 44	Combilia la sida a sa Data	cases/ 100,000	21.2		40.6		2017		10
1.44	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population cases/ 100,000	21.2		40.6		2017		10
1.39	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	14.4		16.1		2016		10
1.39	HIV DIAGITOSIS NATE	cases/ 100,000	14.4		10.1		2016		10
1.28	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	290.1		511.6		2017		10
1.20	Chamyala melaenee Nate	роринилоп	250.1		311.0		2017		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.00	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	13.8		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.00	mindenza ana i neamonia	population	13.0		11.2	13.2	2010 2017		10
			SAN						
	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT		JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
2.36	Years Education	percent	30.3		21.6	15.9	2013		10
2.08	Preterm Births	percent	12.7	9.4	12.0	11.4	2013		10
2.00	Mothers who Received Early	percent	12.7	JT	12.0	11.7	2013		10
1.97	Prenatal Care	percent	54.2	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
		,							
1.47	Teen Births	percent	3.5		2.8	4.3	2014		10
1.19	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	7.7	7.8	8.3	8.0	2013		10
	Ü	deaths/ 1,000 live							
0.69	Infant Mortality Rate	births	3.7	6.0	5.8	6.0	2012		10

SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.94	Life Expectancy for Males	years	73.7		76.2	76.7	2014		5
0.67	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	deaths/ 100,000 males cases/ 100,000 males	19.3 79.3	21.8	18.1 95.4	19.5	2011-2015		6
SCORE	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL DISORDERS	UNITS providers/ 100,000	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.83	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	7		99	214	2017		3
2.61	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	18.8		17.0	16.7	2015		1
2.17	Poor Mental Health: Average Number of Days Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide	days deaths/ 100,000 population	3.9 14.6	10.2	3.4 11.7	3.8 12.5	2016 2010-2014		3
1.94	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	11.0		11.7	9.9	2015		1
1.83	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	12.2		10.6	15.0	2016		3
0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/100,000 population	15.5		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

					1		T	
2.83	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	31.3	28.2	26.5	2015		1
2.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	12.4	8.2	8.2	2015		1
2.61	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	19.9	11.1	11.2	2015		1
2.61	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	18.8	17.0	16.7	2015		1
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	5.3	4.5	4.0	2015		1
2.50	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	20.0	19.9	18.1	2015		1
2.50	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	20.6	15.5	13.5	2015		1
2.39	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare Population Hypertension: Medicare	percent	34.4	28.8	26.5	2015		1
2.33	Population	percent	61.9	57.5	55.0	2015		1
1.94	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	percent	11.0	11.7	9.9	2015		1
1.94	Population	percent	47.5	46.1	44.6	2015		1
1.78	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	percent	7.8	7.4	8.1	2015		1
1.78	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	7.2	7.1	7.8	2015		1
1.72	People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	percent	10.8	10.8	9.3	2012-2016	Black or African American	#N/A
1.56	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	31.7	31.6	30.0	2015		1
1.06	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	5.6	6.5	6.0	2015		1
1.00	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.3			2015		15
0.78	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	21.6	23.9	26.4	2012-2016		#N/A
0.64	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/100,000 population	15.5	26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10

SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.50	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	20.0		19.9	18.1	2015		1
1.56	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	31.7		31.6	30.0	2015		1
1.06	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	5.6		6.5	6.0	2015		1
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.31	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries	deaths/ 100,000 population deaths/ 100,000	61.1	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014		10
1.42	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	13.4		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
1.28	Severe Housing Problems	percent	15.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.39	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	40.4		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
1.72	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children crimes/ 100,000	12.6		8.5		2017		9
1.22	Violent Crime Rate	population	246.9		407.6		2012-2014		3

			SAN						
66005	DECRUPATORY DISEASES	LINUTC	JACINTO	1102020	T	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITV*	6
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	12.4		8.2	8.2	2015		1
2.61	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	19.9		11.1	11.2	2015		1
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.56	Lung Cancer	population	71.2	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
2.39	Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	86.5		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
1.61	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	2.9	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.00	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	13.8		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
			SAN JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor		F2.7		64.2	62.1	2012 2016		401/0
2.67	Force	percent	52.7		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		#N/A
2.61	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	43.7		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		#N/A
2.01	Edgo Force	percent	13.7		37.7	30.3	2012 2010	Black or African	<i></i>
	People 25+ with a Bachelor's							American, Hispanic	
2.61	Degree or Higher	percent	10.0		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	or Latino	#N/A
2.39	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	40.1		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		#N/A
2.28	Median Household Income	dollars	44878		54727	55322	2012-2016	Asian	#N/A
2.26			22562		27026	20025	2042 2045	Asian, Hispanic or Latino, Two or More	WAL (A
2.28	Per Capita Income	dollars	22563		27828	29829	2012-2016	Races	#N/A
2.19	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	88000		142700	184700	2012-2016		#N/A
1.97	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	78.5	100.0	81.4		2016		8

1.89	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.2		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	18-24 Asian	#N/A
4.70		cases/ 1,000	42.6		0.5		2017		
1.72	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate Voter Turnout: Presidential	children	12.6		8.5		2017		9
1.56	Election	percent	58.8		58.8		2016		12
		•							
1.50	Single-Parent Households	percent	33.1		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		#N/A
	People 25+ with a High School								
1.17	Degree or Higher	percent	82.8		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	#N/A
		,							,
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
1.08	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1111		1444	1491	2012-2016		#N/A
0.94	Linguistic Isolation	percent	1.4		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		#N/A
0.92	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	697		911	949	2012-2016		#N/A
	Children Living Below Poverty								
0.89	Level	percent	19.7		23.9	21.2	2012-2016		#N/A
0.78	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	21.6		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		#N/A
	Median Monthly Owner Costs for								
0.75	Households without a Mortgage	dollars	396		467	462	2012-2016		#N/A
0.56	Homeownership	percent	62.7		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		#N/A
	·	percent							
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	4.0		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
			SAN						
			JACINTO				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.39	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	40.4		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
1.42	Dooth Doto due to Drug Beitrain	deaths/100,000	12.4		0.0	16.0	2014 2016		2
1.42	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	13.4		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
0.83	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	17.0	25.4	19.4	18.0	2016		3
0.39	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	3.6		6.8	10.5	2015		14
0.39	Liquoi Store Delisity	μυμαιαιιστι	3.0		0.8	10.5	2015		14

SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Maril and Communities also Bulli								
2.61	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	0.0	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		#N/A
2.44	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.4	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		#N/A
2.39	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	40.1		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		#N/A
2.17	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	59.8		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3
2.00	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	4.9				2015		15
1.67	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	79.4		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	45-54 American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian	#N/A
0.89	Households without a Vehicle	percent	5.1		5.6	9.0	2012-2016		#N/A
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.28	Life Expectancy for Females	years	78.5		80.8	81.5	2014		5
2.00	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.9		3.5	3.7	2016		3
1.94	Life Expectancy for Males	years	73.7		76.2	76.7	2014		5
1.83	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair Frequent Physical Distress	percent percent	18.2		18.2 10.8	16.0 15.0	2016 2016		3
1.17	Insufficient Sleep	percent	32.6		32.7	38.0	2016		3
2127		p 0. 00	52.5		02	55.5	2010		5

SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	SAN JACINTO COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.53	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	26.7	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
2.28	Life Expectancy for Females	years	78.5		80.8	81.5	2014		5
0.17	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	93.2		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6

Walker County

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			1					
ACCESS TO HEALTH		WALKER				MEASUREMENT		
	LINITS		⊔ D2020	Toyac	11.0		HIGH DICDADITY*	Source
SERVICES		COUNTY	HP2020	TEXAS	0.3.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPANTE	Source
Drimary Caro Drovidor Bato		21		60	76	2015		3
Filliary Care Provider Nate		21		60	70	2013		3
Mental Health Provider Rate		18		99	21/	2017		3
	<u> </u>	40		33	214	2017		,
•		41		67	Q1	2017		3
Provider Nate	рориватоп	41		07	01	2017		, J
Children with Health Insurance	percent	89.4	100.0	90.3		2016		8
	dentists/ 100,000							
Dentist Rate	population	43		56	67	2016		3
Persons with Health Insurance	percent	82.1	100.0	81.4		2016		8
Adults with Health Insurance: 18-								
64	percent	79.7	100.0	77.4		2016		8
		WALKER				MEASUREMENT		
CANCER		COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		16.8	7.3	9.2	7.7	2008-2012		6
	. ,							
	' '	61.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
	· · · · ·							
Lung Cancer	population	45.7	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
	· · · · ·							
Prostate Cancer		19.2	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
	· ·							
Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	117.7		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
Oral Cavity and Pharvny Cancer	cases/ 100 000							
Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000	11.8		10 9	11.6	2011-2015		6
Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population cases/ 100,000	11.8		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		6
	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES Primary Care Provider Rate Mental Health Provider Rate Non-Physician Primary Care Provider Rate Children with Health Insurance Dentist Rate Persons with Health Insurance Adults with Health Insurance: 18-	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES Primary Care Provider Rate Primary Care Provider Rate Mental Health Provider Rate Non-Physician Primary Care Provider Rate Children with Health Insurance Persons with Health Insurance Adults with Health Insurance: 18-64 CANCER CANCER UNITS Cases/ 100,000 females Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Breast Cancer Incidence Rate Cases/ 100,000 males Cases/ 100,000 males Cases/ 100,000 females	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES Primary Care Provider Rate Mental Health Provider Rate Non-Physician Primary Care Provider Rate Non-Physician Primary Care Provider Rate Children with Health Insurance Dentist Rate Persons with Health Insurance Adults with Health Insurance: 18-64 Persons with Health Insurance: 18-64 Cancer Incidence Rate Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Cases/ 100,000 Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Cases/ 100,000 Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate III.7.7	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES DINITS COUNTY HP2020	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES Primary Care Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population Mental Health Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population Mental Health Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population Mental Health Insurance Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population 41 67 Children with Health Insurance percent dentists/ 100,000 population Dentist Rate Persons with Health Insurance percent Adults with Health Insurance: 18-64 Percent WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas Selection WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas Cases/ 100,000 females Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate Lung and Bronchus Cancer Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Cases/ 100,000 population Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Cases/ 100,000 population Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate Cases/ 100,000 prostate Cancer Incidence Rate DNITS Country HP2020 Texas 100.0 77.4 100.0	SERVICES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. Primary Care Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population 31 60 76 Mental Health Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population 48 99 214 Non-Physician Primary Care Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population 41 67 81 Children with Health Insurance percent 89.4 100.0 90.3 90.3 Dentist Rate percent 82.1 100.0 81.4 99.3 Persons with Health Insurance percent 82.1 100.0 81.4 99.3 Adults with Health Insurance: 18-64 percent 79.7 100.0 77.4 97.4 97.4 CANCER UNITS WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate population 61.4 53.1 60.2 Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate population 61.4 53.1 60.2 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer population 45.7 <	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD Primary Care Provider Rate providers/ 100,000 population Mental Health Provider Rate Non-Physician Primary Care Providers/ 100,000 population As 9.9 2016 Resons with Health Insurance Adults with Health Insurance Adults with Health Insurance: 18- Percent Non-Physician Primary Care Providers/ 100,000 Persons with Health Insurance Non-Physician Primary Care Non-Provider Rate Non-Physician Primary Care Non-Physician Provider Rate Non-Physician Primary Care Non-Physician Provider Rate Non-Physician Provider As Non-Phy	ACCESS TO HEALTH SERVICES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* HIGH DISPARITY* Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* HIGH DISPARITY* HIGH DISPARITY* HIGH DISPARITY* Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* HIGH DISPARITY* HIGH DISPARITY* Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* HIGH DISPARITY* Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Texas Texas

	T		1			1	1	I	
1.22	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer	deaths/100,000 population	15.0	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
1.17	All Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	414.9		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		6
1.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 males	99.7		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
0.89	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	6.5		7.1	7.8	2015		2
0.83	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	155.1	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015		6
0.47	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	17.7	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.83	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.7		23.0	17.9	2016		4
1.81	Children with Health Insurance	percent	89.4	100.0	90.3		2016		8
1.33	Children with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	4.1				2015		15
0.89	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	4.9		8.5		2017		9
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	3.2		1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
2.61	Homeownership	percent	44.5		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
2.61	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	39.3		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
2.50	Per Capita Income	dollars	16419		27828	29829	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
2.44	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	49.5		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1

	Unemployed Workers in Civilian		T T			l I			
2.44	Labor Force	percent	4.7		4.0	4.1	July 2018		13
2.44	Labor Force	percent	4.7		4.0	4.1	July 2018		13
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	23.3	1	L5.4	12.9	2016		4
								18-24 Black or	
2.39	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	24.0	1	L6.7	15.1	2012-2016	African American	1
								Black or African	
2.22	Median Household Income	dollars	40090	54	4727	55322	2012-2016	American	1
4.00	People Living 200% Above Poverty	,	567			66.4	2012 2016		4
1.89	Level	percent	56.7	(52.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
1 00	SNAP Certified Stores	stores/ 1,000 population	0.6				2016		1 [
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	роригаціоп	0.6				2016		15
1.83	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.7	2	23.0	17.9	2016		4
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	12.3				2015		15
1.72	Severe Housing Problems	percent	18.3	1	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Median Monthly Owner Costs for								
1.69	Households without a Mortgage	dollars	433	4	467	462	2012-2016		1
	Families Living Below Poverty							Black or African	
1.67	Level	percent	13.4	1	L3.0	11.0	2012-2016	American	1
1.64	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	123600	14	2700	184700	2012-2016		1
	Households with Cash Public								
1.61	Assistance Income	percent	1.8		1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
1.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	809	9	911	949	2012-2016		1
	Children Living Below Poverty							Black or African	
1.56	Level	percent	23.4		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	American	1
	Students Eligible for the Free								
1.56	Lunch Program	percent	49.0	5	52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
1.36	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1281	1	.444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.00	Renters Spending 30% or More of								
1.33	Household Income on Rent	percent	43.8	4	18.0	47.3	2012-2016		1
1 21	Persons with Disability Living in	norcont	26.0	_) E E	202	2014		1
1.31	Poverty	percent	26.0		25.5	28.2	2014		1

Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year) Description Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year) Description Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year) Description Persons with Disability Living in Persons 23.6 Description Persons with Disability Living in Persons 25.1 Description Persons with Disability Living in Persons 25.1 Description Persons 25.1 Descriptio	1 14 1 SISPARITY* Source 7
1.08 Poverty (5-year) percent 23.6 25.1 27.6 2012-2016 0.50 Total Employment Change percent 4.1 3.2 2.5 2014-2015 People 65+ Living Below Poverty percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 SCORE EDUCATION UNITS WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. PERIOD HIGH D	14 1 OISPARITY* Source 7
People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	1 OISPARITY* Source 7
0.39 Level percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 SCORE EDUCATION UNITS WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DESCRIPTION	Source 7
SCORE EDUCATION UNITS WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. PERIOD HIGH E	Source 7
SCORE EDUCATION UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. PERIOD HIGH D	7
SCORE EDUCATION UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. PERIOD HIGH D	7
	7
2.00 Student-to-Teacher Ratio students/ teacher 15.6 15.4 17.7 2015-2016	
1.89High School Drop Out Ratepercent3.32.02016	11
	Black or American 1
	or African can, Other 1
1.59 Degree of Higher 92.0 82.3 87.0 2012-2010 Afficial	an, other
Infants Born to Mothers with <12	
0.97 Years Education percent 17.0 21.6 15.9 2013	10
WALKER MEASUREMENT	
	ISPARITY* Source
2.39 Food Environment Index 5.3 6.0 7.7 <i>2018</i>	3
stores/ 1,000 SNAP Certified Stores population 0.6 2016	15
1.69 SWAP Certified Stores population 0.6 2016	15
1.83 Grocery Store Density population 0.1 2014	15
Low-Income and Low Access to a	
1.83 Grocery Store percent 12.3 2015	15
1.72 Severe Housing Problems <i>percent</i> 18.3 18.8 2010-2014	3
1.61 PBT Released <i>pounds</i> 51 <i>2017</i>	16

	Recognized Carcinogens Released								
1.61	into Air	pounds	759				2017		16
		pourras	, 55				2017		10
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	3.0				2015		15
	,	facilities/ 1,000							
1.50	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
		restaurants/1,000							
1.44	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.5				2014		15
1.33	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	77.9		80.6	83.1	2018		3
1.55	Children with Low Access to a	регест	,,,,		00.0	03.1	2010		
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	4.1				2015		15
	,	markets/ 1,000							
1.33	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
1.25	Drinking Water Violations	percent	1.3		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.17	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		15
		stores/ 100,000							
0.61	Liquor Store Density	population	5.7		6.8	10.5	2015		14
0.39	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	3.3		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
	EVEROUSE AUGENTION O		\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.				A 45 A CUIDEN 45 NT		
SCORE	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, & WEIGHT	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HF2U2U	TEXAS	0.3.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPANTE	Source
2.39	Food Environment Index		5.3		6.0	7.7	2018		3
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	23.3		15.4	12.9	2016		4
		stores/ 1,000							
1.89	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.6				2016		15
1.83	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.7		23.0	17.9	2016		4
		stores/ 1,000							
1.83	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1				2014		15
4 00	Low-Income and Low Access to a		12.2				2015		1.5
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	12.3				2015		15

						1	I		1
	D								
1.50	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	3.0				2015		15
1.50	Grocery Store	facilities/ 1,000	3.0				2015		15
1.50	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
1.50	Necreation and Fitness Facilities	restaurants/ 1,000	0.0				2014		13
1.44	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.5				2014		15
1.77	rast rood Restaurant Density	роригатіон	0.5				2014		13
1.33	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	77.9		80.6	83.1	2018		3
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.33	Grocery Store	percent	4.1				2015		15
		markets/1,000							
1.33	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.17	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		15
0.22	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	4.7	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	55-59 Other	1
	Traine to traine to the traine	percent	,	0.12	1.0	2.0	2012 2010	55 55 5 11.6.	
			WALKER		_		MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.8		4.5	4.0	2015		2
	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	,							
2.11	Population	percent	8.1		7.4	8.1	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.08	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	population	55.5	34.8	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		10
	Heart Failure: Medicare								
2.00	Population	percent	16.8		15.5	13.5	2015		2
	Hypertension: Medicare								
1.78	Population	percent	58.3		57.5	55.0	2015		2
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
1.67	Population	percent	30.2		28.8	26.5	2015		2
	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare								
1.44	Population	percent	42.7		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000				1	I		İ
0.75	Age-Aujusteu Death Nate due to	population				171.9			

			T			1	1		1
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		WALKER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		cases/ 100,000							
2.11	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	798.7		511.6		2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.78	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	146.7		160.2		2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.61	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	34.6		40.6		2017		10
4.00		cases/ 100,000	44.3		464		2016		4.0
1.39	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	11.2		16.1		2016		10
0.72	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	0.0	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
0.72	Tuberculosis ilicidence Kate	роригация	0.0	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.67	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	11.9		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
0.07	illideliza alid i liediliolila	роривалоп	11.5		14.2	13.2	2010-2014		10
CCODE	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT	LINUTC	WALKER	1102020	T	11.6	MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITVA	6
SCORE	HEALTH Babies with Very Low Birth	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.06	Weight	percent	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		10
2.00	Mothers who Received Early	регсепс	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		10
1.86	Prenatal Care	percent	61.3	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
		percent		77.3					
1.36	Teen Births	percent	3.0		2.8	4.3	2014		10
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
0.97	Years Education	percent	17.0		21.6	15.9	2013		10
0.92	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	7.4	7.8	8.3	8.0	2013		10
0.69	Preterm Births	percent	10.1	9.4	12.0	11.4	2013		10
		deaths/ 1,000 live							
0.58	Infant Mortality Rate	births	1.6	6.0	5.8	6.0	2013		10
			WALKER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source

						1			
1.67	Life Expectancy for Males	years	75.3		76.2	76.7	2014		5
1.58	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 males	19.2	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
1.17	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 males	99.7		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
SCORE	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL DISORDERS	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide	deaths/ 100,000 population	14.9	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014		10
1.89	Mental Health Provider Rate	providers/ 100,000 population	48		99	214	2017		3
1.50	Poor Mental Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.7		3.4	3.8	2016		3
1.39	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	10.4		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.33	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	15.3		17.0	16.7	2015		2
1.33	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	11.6		10.6	15.0	2016		3
0.42	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	17.9		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	9.2		8.2	8.2	2015		2
2.44	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	14.5		11.1	11.2	2015		2
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.8		4.5	4.0	2015		2
2.11	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	percent	8.1		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.00	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	16.8		15.5	13.5	2015		2

1.94 Diabetes: Medicare Population percent 28.3 28.2 26.5 2015 2 1.89 People 65+ Living Alone percent 77.1 73.9 26.4 2012-2016 1 1.78 Population Percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 1.78 Population Percent 30.2 28.8 26.5 2015 2 1.78 Population Percent 30.2 28.8 26.5 2015 2 1.79 Reumatoid Arthritis or Osteophysics Percent 30.8 31.6 30.0 2015 2 1.79 Population Percent 30.8 31.6 30.0 2015 2 1.70 Percent 42.7 46.1 44.6 2015 2 1.70 Percent 30.8 31.6 30.0 2015 2 1.70 Percent 30.8 30					1		T	T		1
1.78 Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2	1.94	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	28.3		28.2	26.5	2015		2
1.78 Population Percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2	1.89		percent	27.1		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
Hypertension: Medicare percent 58.3 57.5 55.0 2015 2	1 78	•	nercent	18.5		19.9	18 1	2015		2
1.78 Population	1.78		регсепс	18.5		13.3	10.1	2013		
Schemic Heart Disease: Medicare	1.78		percent	58.3		57.5	55.0	2015		2
1.67 Population			persone	23.3		57.5	55.5	2010		
Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare		Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
1.61 Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population Percent 30.8 31.6 30.0 2015 2	1.67	Population	percent	30.2		28.8	26.5	2015		2
1.61 Population										
People 65+ with Low Access to a percent 3.0 2015 15										
1.50 Grocery Store Percent 3.0	1.61	Population	percent	30.8		31.6	30.0	2015		2
1.50 Grocery Store Percent 3.0										
1.44 Population Population Percent 42.7 46.1 44.6 2015 2	1 50		norcont	3.0				2015		1 5
1.44 Population	1.50	•	регсепі	3.0				2015		13
Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:	1.44		percent	42.7		46.1	44.6	2015		2
1.39 Medicare Population percent 10.4 11.7 9.9 2015 2 1.33 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.3 17.0 16.7 2015 2 0.89 Cancer: Medicare Population percent 6.5 7.1 7.8 2015 2 0.56 Osteoporosis: Medicare Population percent 4.8 6.5 6.0 2015 2 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 17.9 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 10 0.39 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 1 SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source 1.78 Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2	2		percent	12.7		10.1	11.0	2013		
1.39 Medicare Population percent 10.4 11.7 9.9 2015 2 1.33 Depression: Medicare Population percent 15.3 17.0 16.7 2015 2 0.89 Cancer: Medicare Population percent 6.5 7.1 7.8 2015 2 0.56 Osteoporosis: Medicare Population percent 4.8 6.5 6.0 2015 2 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease deaths/100,000 population 17.9 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 10 0.39 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 1 SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source 1.78 Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2		Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:								
O.89 Cancer: Medicare Population percent 6.5 7.1 7.8 2015 2 O.56 Osteoporosis: Medicare Population percent 4.8 6.5 6.0 2015 2 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease population population 17.9 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 10 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level Percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 1 SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source Population 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population of Stephan Percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2	1.39		percent	10.4		11.7	9.9	2015		2
Osteoporosis: Medicare Population Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population Percent A.8 Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Age-Adjusted Death Rate Base Age-Adjusted Death Rate Base Age-Adjusted Base Age-Adjusted Death Rate Base Age-Adjusted Base Age-Ad	1.33	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	15.3		17.0	16.7	2015		2
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Page-Adjusted Death Rate due to deaths/100,000 population 17.9 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 10 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	0.89	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	6.5		7.1	7.8	2015		2
Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease population popu										
Alzheimer's Disease population 17.9 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 10 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 1 SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare	0.56	Population	percent	4.8		6.5	6.0	2015		2
Alzheimer's Disease population 17.9 26.6 24.5 2010-2014 10 People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 1 SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare										
People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level	0.40			17.0		26.6	245	2010 2014		10
O.39 Level percent 7.2 10.8 9.3 2012-2016 1 SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS WALKER COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. MEASUREMENT PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source 1.78 Population Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Osteoarthritis: Medicare Negroup of the country of the cou	0.42		population	17.9		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS U	0.39	-	nercent	7.2		10.8	9.3	2012-2016		1
SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare	0.55	Level	percent	1.2		10.0	5.5	2012 2010		1
SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare										
SCORE OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES UNITS COUNTY HP2020 Texas U.S. PERIOD HIGH DISPARITY* Source Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare				WALKED				MEASIIDEMENT		
Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	LINITS		HP2020	Texas	II S		HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.78 Population percent 18.5 19.9 18.1 2015 2 Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Osteoarthritis: Medicare Image: Control of the co	JCONE		014113	2001111	111 2020	i chub	0.5.	TEMOD	1011 0.017(((11	Jource
Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare	1.78		percent	18.5		19.9	18.1	2015		2
		· · ·	·							
1.61 Population <i>percent</i> 30.8 31.6 30.0 2015 2		Osteoarthritis: Medicare								
	1.61	l l		30.8		31.6	30.0	2015		2

244 Memorial Hermann – Texas Medical Center CHNA 2019

	Osteoporosis: Medicare								
0.56	Population	percent	4.8		6.5	6.0	2015		2
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		UNITS		HPZUZU	TEXAS			HIGH DISPANTIT	Source
1.72	Severe Housing Problems	percent	18.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
0.75	Double Date day to Double Daire	deaths/100,000	F 2		0.4	12.0	2012 2014		2
0.75	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	5.3		9.4	13.9	2012-2014		3
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.25	Unintentional Injuries	population	28.6	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014		10
	,	, ,							
			WALKER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	36.4		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
2.07	Alcohol impaned briving beating	crimes/ 100,000	30.4		20.5	23.3	2012 2010		
1.67	Violent Crime Rate	population	383.4		407.6		2012-2014		3
		cases/ 1,000							
0.89	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	4.9		8.5		2017		9
666005	DECOLDATORY DISEASES	LINUTO	WALKER		-		MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITA	6
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	9.2		8.2	8.2	2015		2
2.44	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	14.5		11.1	11.2	2015		2
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
1.72	Incidence Rate	population	61.4		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
1.61	Lung Cancer	population	45.7	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
0.72	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	0.0	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
0.72	ruberealosis melacinee nate	роришноп	0.0	1.0	7.5		2013 2017		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
0.67	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	11.9		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10

			WALKER		_		MEASUREMENT		_
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Homeownership	percent	44.5		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
2.61	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	39.3		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
2.50	Per Capita Income	dollars	16419		27828	29829	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other	1
2.44	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	49.5		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
2.39	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	24.0		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	18-24 Black or African American	1
2.22	Median Household Income	dollars	40090		54727	55322	2012-2016	Black or African American	1
1.89	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	27.1		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
1.83	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	25.5		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
1.69	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	433		467	462	2012-2016		1
1.64	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	123600		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
1.58	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	809		911	949	2012-2016		1
1.56	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	23.4		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Black or African American	1
1.50	Linguistic Isolation	percent	3.0		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
1.50	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	19.5		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	35-44 Black or African American	1
1.42	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	82.1	100.0	81.4		2016		8
1.39	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	82.6		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	Black or African American, Other	1

	1		T	1 1			Ī		
1.36	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1281		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
	Voter Turnout: Presidential								
1.33	Election	percent	60.8		58.8		2016		12
		cases/ 1,000							
0.89	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	4.9		8.5		2017		9
0.72	Single-Parent Households	percent	29.9		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
0.50	Total Employment Change	percent	4.1		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	36.4		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
2.17	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	20.0	25.4	19.4	18.0	2016		3
0.75	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	5.3		9.4	13.9	2012-2014		3
0.61	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	5.7		6.8	10.5	2015		14
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.28	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	0.1	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
1.83	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	25.5		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
1.72	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	82.6		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	55-59	1
1.67	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	35.9		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3
1.17	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.5				2015		15
0.56	Households without a Vehicle	percent	4.4		5.6	9.0	2012-2016		1
0.22	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	4.7	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	55-59 Other	1

		Ι							1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	4.0		3.5	3.7	2016		3
2.00	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	19.5		18.2	16.0	2016		3
1.78	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.0		80.8	81.5	2014		5
1.67	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	12.3		10.8	15.0	2016		3
1.67	Insufficient Sleep	percent	34.2		32.7	38.0	2016		3
1.67	Life Expectancy for Males	years	75.3		76.2	76.7	2014		5
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	WALKER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.31	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	16.8	7.3	9.2	7.7	2008-2012		6
1.78	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.0		80.8	81.5	2014		5
1.56	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	117.7		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
0.47	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	17.7	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6

Waller County

	,					1			1
	ACCESS TO HEALTH		WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SERVICES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000	COUNTY	1117 2020	TEXAS	0.3.	FLMOD	TIIGIT DISPANTT	Source
2.83	Provider Rate	providersy 100,000 population	4		67	81	2017		3
2.03	110vider Nate	providers/ 100,000	-		07	01	2017		3
2.61	Primary Care Provider Rate	population	10		60	76	2015		3
2.01	Trimary care Freviaer nate	dentists/ 100,000	10			, 0	2013		
2.50	Dentist Rate	population	16		56	67	2016		3
2.55	Demiserate	providers/ 100,000	10		30	0,	2010		
2.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	34		99	214	2017		3
	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-	, ,							
2.14	64	percent	71.5	100.0	77.4		2016		8
2.14	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	76.1	100.0	81.4		2016		8
1.97	Children with Health Insurance	percent	86.6	100.0	90.3		2016		8
1.97	Children with fleath mourance	percent	80.0	100.0	50.5		2010		8
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
JCONE	CANCER	OMIS	COONTT	111 2020	TEXAS	0.5.	FEMOD	THOTT DISTARTT	Jource
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.53	Breast Cancer	females	21.2	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
1.55	Breast carreer	cases/ 100,000	21.2	20.7	20.2	20.5	2011 2013		0
1.50	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	109.8		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
	Bredet carried meracines have	Terriares	100.0		11117	22 117	2011 2010		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.44	Colorectal Cancer	population	15.3	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
1.28	Cancer: Medicare Population		6.9		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.28	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	percent cases/ 100,000	6.9		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.06	Incidence Rate	population	55.1		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
1.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	55.1		33.1	00.2	2011-2013		0
0.94	Cancer	population	155.8	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015		6
0.54	Carreer	cases/ 100,000	133.0	101.7	150.7	105.5	2011 2013		<u> </u>
0.72	All Cancer Incidence Rate	population	371.0		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.50	Lung Cancer	population	37.1	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6

			I	T		1	1		
	Out Coults and Dhaman Course	/100.000							
0.39	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	9.1		10.9	11.6	2011 2015		6
0.59	incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000	9.1		10.9	11.0	2011-2015		В
0.33	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	85.5		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
0.55	Prostate Caricer incidence Nate	cases/ 100,000	63.3		95.4	109.0	2011-2013		0
0.00	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	30.2	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		6
0.00	colorectal carreer melacinee nate	роранилон	30.2	33.3	30.1	33.2	2011 2013		
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.0		23.0	17.9	2016		4
1.97	Children with Health Insurance	percent	86.6	100.0	90.3		2016		8
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.9				2015		15
		cases/ 1,000							
0.67	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	3.5		8.5		2017		9
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Severe Housing Problems	percent	22.4		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Unemployed Workers in Civilian	μ 22							
2.44	Labor Force	percent	4.8		4.0	4.1	July 2018		13
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	19.2		15.4	12.9	2016		4
2.00	Students Eligible for the Free	μ 22							
2.39	Lunch Program	percent	65.8		52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7
2.28	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	2.0		1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
		stores/ 1,000							
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.6				2016		15
	Persons with Disability Living in								
2.08	Poverty (5-year)	percent	30.5		25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.0		23.0	17.9	2016		4

					1			
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian							
2.06	Labor Force	percent	50.6	57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
		•					18-24, 6-11 Black or	
2.06	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	19.0	16.7	15.1	2012-2016	African American	1
	NA di sa Na salala O sa sa Casta fa s							
2.03	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	494	467	462	2012-2016		1
2.00	Children Living Below Poverty	uenare	131		102	2012 2010		
1.89	Level	percent	25.1	23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
1.83	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	11.3			2015		15
1.63	Grocery Store	percent	11.3			2013	Black or African	13
							American, Hispanic	
							or Latino, Other,	
1.83	Per Capita Income	dollars	23338	27828	29829	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
	Renters Spending 30% or More of							
1.83	Household Income on Rent	percent	46.8	48.0	47.3	2012-2016		1
	People Living 200% Above Poverty							
1.67	Level	percent	59.3	62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
	Mortgaged Owners Median							
1.58	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1435	1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.53	, Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	825	911	949			
1.55	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor	UOIIAIS	825	911	949	2012-2016		1
1.39	Force	percent	60.5	64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
	Families Living Below Poverty							
1.17	Level	percent	12.0	13.0	11.0	2012-2016	A to the discussion	1
							American Indian or Alaska Native, Black	
							or African American,	
1.17	Median Household Income	dollars	53508	54727	55322	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
1.17	Total Employment Change	percent	3.2	3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
1.08	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	153800	142700	184700	2012-2016		1
1.06	Homeownership	percent	59.6	55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1

	Households with Cash Public								
0.56	Assistance Income	percent	0.9		1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1
	People 65+ Living Below Poverty							Black or African	
0.17	Level	percent	4.9		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	American	1
SCORE	EDUCATION	LINUTC	WALLER COUNTY	HP2020	Toyon	11.6	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	LUCII DICDADITY*	Cauraa
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	People 25+ with a High School								
2.11	Degree or Higher	percent	78.4		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	Other	1
4.04	People 25+ with a Bachelor's	,	40.7		20.4	20.2	2012 2016	Black or African	
1.94	Degree or Higher	percent	18.7		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	American, Other	1
	Infants Born to Mothers with <12								
1.92	Years Education	percent	27.8		21.6	15.9	2013		10
1.61	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	14.7		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		7
1.17	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	0.8		2.0		2016		11
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Severe Housing Problems	percent	22.4		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
2.33	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	45.2		80.6	83.1	2018		3
2.11	Food Environment Index		6.3		6.0	7.7	2018		3
		stores/ 1,000							
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.6				2016		15
2.08	Drinking Water Violations	percent	17.2		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
2.00		stores/ 1,000	0.4				2014		4.5
2.00	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1				2014		15
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	11.3				2015		15

		facilities/ 1,000				1			1
1.78	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
1.76	Necreation and Fitness Facilities	роригастот	0.0				2014		15
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.67	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.8				2015		15
2.07	7,00000 to u 0,000.7 0.0.0	markets/ 1,000	2.0				2010		10
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
1.39	PBT Released	pounds	5				2017		16
1.39	Recognized Carcinogens Released	pourius	<u> </u>				2017		10
1.39	into Air	pounds	40				2017		16
1.55	11107111	restaurants/1,000	10				2017		10
1.17	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.4				2014		15
1.11	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	7.7		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
1.11	Children with Low Access to a	регест	7.7		7	10.2	2012 2010		1
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.9				2015		15
	,	,							
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	0.8				2015		15
		stores/ 100,000							
0.50	Liquor Store Density	population	2.1		6.8	10.5	2015		14
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.39	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	19.2		15.4	12.9	2016		4
2.33	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	45.2		80.6	83.1	2018		3
		percent							
2.11	Food Environment Index	,	6.3		6.0	7.7	2018		3
2.11	CNAD Contifical Change	stores/1,000	0.6				2016		1.5
2.11	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.6				2016		15
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.0		23.0	17.9	2016		4
2.00		stores/1,000	0.4				2014		4.5
2.00	Grocery Store Density	population	0.1			1	2014		15
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.83	Grocery Store	percent	11.3				2015		15
1.63	Grocery Store	facilities/ 1,000	11.5				2013		10
1.78	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.0				2014		15
,,	•		0.0	1		<u> </u>		l .	1

	Households with No Car and Low								
1.67	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.8				2015		15
1.07	Access to a Grocery Store	markets/ 1,000	2.0				2013		15
1.50	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
1.50	raimers Market Bensity	restaurants/1,000	0.0				2010		13
1.17	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.4				2014		15
	Children with Low Access to a	, ,							
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	1.9				2015		15
	,	,							
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	0.8				2015		15
	·	·							
0.22	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	4.7	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	45-54, 55-59, 60-64	1
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Charles Markey Brown Lating		6.0		4.5	4.0	2015		2
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population Heart Failure: Medicare	percent	6.0		4.5	4.0	2015		2
2.44	Population	naraant	17 5		15.5	13.5	2015		2
2.44	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	percent	17.5		15.5	15.5	2013		2
2.17	Population	percent	8.4		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.17	Hypertension: Medicare	регсепс	0.4		7.4	0.1	2013		
2.06	Population	percent	61.6		57.5	55.0	2015		2
2.00	ropulation	регсепс	01.0		37.3	33.0	2013		
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
2.06	Population	percent	31.0		28.8	26.5	2015		2
2.00	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	percent	51.0		20.0	20.5	2015		
1.94	Population	percent	46.1		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	1	F 2. 30//C							_
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.75	Heart Disease	population	194.8		173.0	171.9	2010-2014	Black	10
1.,5	Treat Colocuse	population	154.0		1/3.0	1/1.5	2010 2017	Didek	10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100.000							
1.08	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	population	38.3	34.8	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		10
1.00	ceresi ovascalar bisease (stroke)	population	50.5	54.0	72.0	37.3	2010 2017		10

							1		1
	IMMUNIZATIONS &		WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		cases/ 100,000							
1.44	Syphilis Incidence Rate	population	15.6		40.6		2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2.9	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.28	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	population	78.0		160.2		2017		10
		cases/ 100,000							
1.17	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	population	306.0		511.6		2017		10
4.00		cases/ 100,000	0.0		464		2016		10
1.00	HIV Diagnosis Rate	population	8.0		16.1		2016		10
	A Adimeted Death Date don to	d+b-/100.000							
0.89	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	12.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
0.09	IIIIdeliza alid Pilediliolila	роригастот	12.0		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT		WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.00	Infants Born to Mothers with <12		27.0		21.6	15.0	2012		10
1.92	Years Education	percent	27.8		21.6	15.9	2013		10
1.31	Teen Births	percent	3.1		2.8	4.3	2014		10
	Mothers who Received Early								
1.14	Prenatal Care	percent	68.2	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
0.75	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	7.2	7.8	8.3	8.0	2013		10
0.75	Dables with Low Birth Weight	deaths/ 1,000 live	7.2	7.0	0.5	0.0	2013		10
0.64	Infant Mortality Rate	births	5.1	6.0	5.8	6.0	2013		10
		,	0.1	0.4	12.0	44.4	2012		10
0.36	Preterm Births	percent	9.1	9.4	12.0	11.4	2013		10
									<u> </u>
	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL		WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	DISORDERS	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/ 100,000							
2.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	34		99	214	2017		3
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000	45.0	100	44 =	40.5	2010 2211		
2.28	Suicide	population	15.8	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014		10

	T			1		1	1		1
	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:								
1.78	Medicare Population	percent	10.5		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.17	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	11.3		10.6	15.0	2016		3
1.17	Trequent Wentur Distress	percent	11.5		10.0	15.0	2010		3
	Poor Mental Health: Average								
1.00	Number of Days	days	3.5		3.4	3.8	2016		3
0.94	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	14.6		17.0	16.7	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.64	Alzheimer's Disease	population	16.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
			WALLER		_		MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.67	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	21.6		19.9	18.1	2015		2
	·	•							
2.61	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	6.0		4.5	4.0	2015		2
2.44	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	percent	17.5		15.5	13.5	2015		2
2.44	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	17.3		13.3	13.3	2013		
2.17	Falls	population	12.8	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		10
	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare								
2.17	Population	percent	8.4		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.06	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	29.6		28.2	26.5	2015		2
	Hypertension: Medicare								
2.06	Population	percent	61.6		57.5	55.0	2015		2
2.00	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare	narcant	21.0		20.0	26.5	2015		
2.06	Population Hyperlipidemia: Medicare	percent	31.0		28.8	26.5	2015		2
1.94	Population	percent	46.1		46.1	44.6	2015		2
1.89	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	12.3		11.1	11.2	2015		2
	·	,							
1.83	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	8.7		8.2	8.2	2015		2

				1			T		
	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:								
1.78	Medicare Population	percent	10.5		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.28	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	6.9		7.1	7.8	2015		2
	Rheumatoid Arthritis or								
1.00	Osteoarthritis: Medicare		20.0		21.6	20.0	2015		2
1.06	Population	percent	30.0		31.6	30.0	2015		2
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.00	Grocery Store	percent	0.8				2015		15
0.94	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	14.6		17.0	16.7	2015		2
	Osteoporosis: Medicare								
0.89	Population	percent	5.1		6.5	6.0	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.64	Alzheimer's Disease	population	16.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
0.50	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	20.8		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
	People 65+ Living Below Poverty	,						Black or African	
0.17	Level	percent	4.9		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	American	1
			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				A 45 A CULDEN 45 A IT		
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	WALLER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
300112	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare	014113	2001111	111 2020	Texas	0.0.	T EIWOD	711011 0101711111	304100
2.67	Population	percent	21.6		19.9	18.1	2015		2
	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare								
1.06	Population	percent	30.0		31.6	30.0	2015		2
	Osteoporosis: Medicare	,							
0.89	Population	percent	5.1		6.5	6.0	2015		2
			\A/A ED				A A E A CULDEN A E Y T		
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	WALLER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
				2020		18.8			3
2.61	Severe Housing Problems Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	percent deaths/ 100,000	22.4		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
2.17	Falls	population	12.8	7.2	7.4	8.3	2010-2014		10

						1			1
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.14	Unintentional Injuries	population	53.9	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014		10
2.17	Offinite intolial injuries	deaths/ 100,000	33.3	30.4	37.0	33.2	2010 2014		10
0.81	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	8.9		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
	3 3								
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		crimes/ 100,000							
1.22	Violent Crime Rate	population	273.0		407.6		2012-2014		3
		cases/ 1,000							
0.67	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	3.5		8.5		2017		9
0.50	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	21.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.89	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	12.3		11.1	11.2	2015		2
1.83	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	8.7		8.2	8.2	2015		2
		cases/ 100,000							
1.39	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	2.9	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
1.06	Incidence Rate	population	55.1		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
		1 11 /100 000							
0.89	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	12.6		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
0.83	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	12.0		14.2	13.2	2010-2014		10
0.50	Lung Cancer	population	37.1	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
						1			
			WALLER				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	31.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
2.17	Linguistic Isolation	percent	6.0		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
		,				7.5			
2.14	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	76.1	100.0	81.4	1	2016		8

			T	ı	ı			T	
2.11	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	78.4		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	Other	1
2.06	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	50.6		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
2.06	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	19.0		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	18-24, 6-11 Black or African American	1
2.03	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	494		467	462	2012-2016		1
1.94	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher Children Living Below Poverty	percent	18.7		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	Black or African American, Other	1
1.89	Level	percent	25.1		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Hispanic or Latino	1
1.83	Per Capita Income	dollars	23338		27828	29829	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
1.58	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1435		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.53	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	825		911	949	2012-2016		1
1.50	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	53.9		58.8		2016		12
1.39	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	60.5		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.17	Median Household Income	dollars	53508		54727	55322	2012-2016	American Indian or Alaska Native, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino	1
1.17	Total Employment Change	percent	3.2		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
1.08	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	153800		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
1.06	Homeownership	percent	59.6		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
1.06	Single-Parent Households	percent	30.8		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
0.67	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	3.5		8.5		2017		9

0.50	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	20.8		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	WALLER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.17	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	20.5	25.4	19.4	18.0	2016		3
0.81	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	8.9		9.8	16.9	2014-2016		3
0.50	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	21.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
0.50	Liquor Store Density	stores/ 100,000 population	2.1		6.8	10.5	2015		14
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	WALLER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	31.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
2.39	Solo Drivers with a Long Commute	percent	51.0		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3
1.72	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	0.6	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
1.67	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.8				2015		15
1.22	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	78.1		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	60-64	1
0.72	Households without a Vehicle	percent	4.7		5.6	9.0	2012-2016		1
0.22	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	4.7	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016	45-54, 55-59, 60-64	1
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	WALLER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.00	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	19.3		18.2	16.0	2016		3

1.83	Insufficient Sleep	percent	34.5		32.7	38.0	2016		3
1.67	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	12.0		10.8	15.0	2016		3
1.67	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	3.8		3.5	3.7	2016		3
1.61	Life Expectancy for Males	years	75.8		76.2	76.7	2014		5
1.39	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.3		80.8	81.5	2014		5
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	WALLER COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.53	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	21.2	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
1.50	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	109.8		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
1.39	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.3		80.8	81.5	2014		5

Wharton County

	ACCESS TO HEALTH		WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	SERVICES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/ 100,000							
2.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	34		99	214	2017		3
		providers/100,000							
2.33	Primary Care Provider Rate	population	41		60	76	2015		3
1.97	Children with Health Insurance	percent	87.7	100.0	90.3		2016		8
	Non-Physician Primary Care	providers/ 100,000							
1.89	Provider Rate	population	50		67	81	2017		3
	Adults with Health Insurance: 18-								
1.75	64	percent	74.2	100.0	77.4		2016		8
1.75	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	78.5	100.0	81.4		2016		8
		dentists/ 100,000							
1.56	Dentist Rate	population	46		56	67	2016		3
CCODE	64N655	LINUTG	WHARTON	1100000	_		MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITA	
SCORE	CANCER	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.75	Breast Cancer	females	25.7	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
2.75	breast earreer	cases/ 100,000	23.7	20.7	20.2	20.3	2011 2013		Ŭ
2.56	Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate	population	50.5	39.9	38.1	39.2	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.44	Colorectal Cancer	population	16.5	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
2 24	Canical Canaar Incidence Bata	cases/ 100,000 females	10.2	7.2	0.4	7.8	2007 2011		
2.31	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	remaies	18.3	7.3	9.4	7.8	2007-2011		6
1.28	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	6.7		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.22	All Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	406.8		401.3	441.2	2011-2015		6
1.22	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	400.6		401.3	441.2	2011-2013		0
1.17	Cancer	population	160.9	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015		6
	. = -	j j							
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.14	Prostate Cancer	males	19.1	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6

		cases/ 100,000	1						
0.94	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	females	101.5		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6
0.54	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000	101.5		111.7	124.7	2011 2013		
0.72	Incidence Rate	population	49.9		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6
		, ,							
	Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
0.72	Incidence Rate	population	10.8		10.9	11.6	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000							
0.33	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	85.7		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.00	Lung Cancer	population	31.2	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	CHILDREN'S HEALTH	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.1		23.0	17.9	2016		4
1.97	Children with Health Insurance	percent	87.7	100.0	90.3		2016		8
		cases/ 1,000							
1.78	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	9.6		8.5		2017		9
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	4.8				2015		15
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ECONOMY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Students Eligible for the Free								
2.50	Lunch Program	percent	59.4		52.9	42.6	2015-2016		7
	People 65+ Living Below Poverty							Black or African	
2.39	Level	percent	14.5		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	American, Other	1
	Children Living Below Poverty							Black or African	
2.28	Level	percent	28.6		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	American	1
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.1		23.0	17.9	2016		4
	Families Living Below Poverty	,						Black or African	
2.06	Level	percent	14.4		13.0	11.0	2012-2016	American	1
	People Living 200% Above Poverty	,							
1.89	Level	percent	57.2		62.8	66.4	2012-2016		1
								6-11, <6 Black or	
1.89	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.7		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	African American	1

								Black or African	
								American, Hispanic or Latino, Other,	
1.83	Per Capita Income	dollars	23245	2	7828	29829	2012-2016	Two or More Races	1
1.83	Total Employment Change	percent	1.7		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
	Female Population 16+ in Civilian								
1.78	Labor Force	percent	53.0	ũ	57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.72	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	15.3	1	15.4	12.9	2016		4
								Black or African American, Hispanic	
1.67	Median Household Income	dollars	46445	5.	4727	55322	2012-2016	or Latino	1
1.58	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	107000	14	12700	184700	2012-2016		1
1.58	Persons with Disability Living in Poverty (5-year)	percent	27.3	2	25.1	27.6	2012-2016		1
1.50	Low-Income and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	6.8				2015		15
	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor	регест	0.0						
1.44	Force	percent	61.5	6	54.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.44	Unemployed Workers in Civilian Labor Force	percent	4.0		4.0	4.1	July 2018		13
1.22	Homeownership	percent	58.4	Į.	55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
		stores/ 1,000							
1.22	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.9				2016		15
	Mortgaged Owners Median								
1.19	Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1204	1	.444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.17	Severe Housing Problems	percent	15.3	1	18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
	Median Monthly Owner Costs for								
1.14	Households without a Mortgage	dollars	416		467	462	2012-2016		1
1.03	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	699		911	949	2012-2016		1
	Harrack alderwikk Cook Duk!								
0.78	Households with Cash Public Assistance Income	percent	0.9		1.6	2.7	2012-2016		1

0.50	Hamagunar Vacanay Data	norcent	0.9		1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
0.50	Homeowner Vacancy Rate	percent	0.9		1.6	1.8	2012-2016		1
	Renters Spending 30% or More of								
0.33	Household Income on Rent	percent	29.9		48.0	47.3	2012-2016		1
CCORE	FRUCATION	LINUTC	WHARTON	1102020	T	11.0	MEASUREMENT	LIICH DICDADITV*	C
SCORE	EDUCATION	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	People 25+ with a Bachelor's							Black or African	
2.17	Degree or Higher	percent	14.5		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	American, Other	1
4.07	Infants Born to Mothers with <12		26.6		24.6	15.0	2012		10
1.97	Years Education	percent	26.6		21.6	15.9	2013		10
	People 25+ with a High School								
1.83	Degree or Higher	percent	78.0		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	65+ Other	1
1.44	Student-to-Teacher Ratio	students/ teacher	14.5		15.4	17.7	2015-2016		7
1.00	High School Drop Out Rate	percent	0.3		2.0		2016		11
	- i	,							
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.11	Fast Food Restaurant Density	restaurants/ 1,000 population	0.8				2014		15
		роригация					2014		13
1.89	Houses Built Prior to 1950	percent	18.4		7.4	18.2	2012-2016		1
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.67	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.9				2015		15
1.50	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	75.2		80.6	83.1	2018		3
1.50	Children with Low Access to a	регсепі	13.2		00.0	03.1	2010		3
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	4.8				2015		15
		stores/ 1,000							
1.50	Grocery Store Density	population	0.2				2014		15

				1		I	I		
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	6.8				2015		15
		markets/ 1,000							
1.33	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
		stores/ 1,000							
1.22	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.9				2016		15
	B 1 65 111 A 1								
1.17	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	norcont	1.8				2015		15
1.17		percent							
1.17	Severe Housing Problems	percent	15.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
1.08	Drinking Water Violations	percent	0.6		6.6		FY 2013-14		3
	-	facilities/ 1,000							
1.06	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		15
1.00	Food Environment Index		7.4		6.0	7.7	2018		3
		stores/ 100,000							
0.61	Liquor Store Density	population	4.8		6.8	10.5	2015		14
	EXERCISE, NUTRITION, &		WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WEIGHT	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.56	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.1	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
		restaurants/ 1,000							
2.11	Fast Food Restaurant Density	population	0.8				2014		15
2.06	Child Food Insecurity Rate	percent	24.1		23.0	17.9	2016		4
1.72	Food Insecurity Rate	percent	15.3		15.4	12.9	2016		4
	Households with No Car and Low								
1.67	Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.9				2015		15
1.50	Access to Exercise Opportunities	percent	75.2		80.6	83.1	2018		3
	Children with Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	4.8				2015		15
4.50	Construction Describe	stores/1,000	0.2				2014		15
1.50	Grocery Store Density	population	0.2				2014		15

			1						
	Low-Income and Low Access to a								
1.50	Grocery Store	percent	6.8				2015		15
1.50	Grocery Store	markets/ 1,000	0.8				2013		13
1.33	Farmers Market Density	population	0.0				2016		15
1.55	Taillers Warket Delisity	stores/1,000	0.0				2010		13
1.22	SNAP Certified Stores	population	0.9				2016		15
1.22	Sivil certified stores	population	0.5				2010		13
	People 65+ with Low Access to a								
1.17	Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
1.17	drocery store	facilities/ 1,000	1.0				2013		13
1.06	Recreation and Fitness Facilities	population	0.1				2014		15
	Necreation and Fitness Facilities	роривион					2014		13
1.00	Food Environment Index		7.4		6.0	7.7	2018		3
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	HEART DISEASE & STROKE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	Hypertension: Medicare	OWITS	COOMIT	111 2020	ТСХИЗ	0.5.	TEMOD	THOTT DISTANTI	Jource
2.61	Population	percent	65.3		57.5	55.0	2015		2
2.01	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare	percent	03.3		37.3	33.0	2013		
2.44	Population	percent	8.8		7.4	8.1	2015		2
	· opalación	percent	0.0			0.12	2010		-
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.36	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	population	47.7	34.8	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		10
	Heart Failure: Medicare	роралилон	,	0	12.10	07.0	2010 2017		10
2.33	Population	percent	19.1		15.5	13.5	2015		2
		<i>j</i>							
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
2.33	Population	percent	32.8		28.8	26.5	2015		2
	·								
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.8		4.5	4.0	2015		2
	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare								
1.89	Population	percent	47.6		46.1	44.6	2015		2
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.47	Heart Disease	population	179.6		173.0	171.9	2010-2014		10
L	I		ı	ı l		1	ı	l	1

SCORE	IMMUNIZATIONS & INFECTIOUS DISEASES	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.61	Gonorrhea Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	145.3		160.2		2017		10
1.50	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Influenza and Pneumonia	deaths/ 100,000 population	14.7		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
1.28	Syphilis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	11.9		40.6		2017		10
1.22	Chlamydia Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	336.0		511.6		2017		10
1.22	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	1.4	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
1.17	HIV Diagnosis Rate	cases/ 100,000 population	2.4		16.1		2016		10
SCORE	MATERNAL, FETAL & INFANT HEALTH	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.58	Infant Mortality Rate	deaths/ 1,000 live births	9.4	6.0	5.8	6.0	2013		10
2.53	Babies with Low Birth Weight	percent	10.9	7.8	8.3	8.0	2013		10
2.42	Mothers who Received Early Prenatal Care	percent	52.4	77.9	59.2	74.2	2013		10
2.19	Teen Births	percent	4.7		2.8	4.3	2014		10
2.06	Babies with Very Low Birth Weight	percent	2.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	2013		10
1.97	Infants Born to Mothers with <12 Years Education	percent	26.6		21.6	15.9	2013		10
1.47	Preterm Births	percent	11.5	9.4	12.0	11.4	2013		10
SCORE	MEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.56	Life Expectancy for Males	years	75.1		76.2	76.7	2014		5

	T	1				1			
	A - A Post Double Date	1							
1 1 4	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000	10.1	24.0	10.1	10.5	2011 2015		
1.14	Prostate Cancer	males	19.1	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
		cases/ 100,000	05.7				2244 2245		
0.33	Prostate Cancer Incidence Rate	males	85.7		95.4	109.0	2011-2015		6
	MENTAL HEALTH & MENTAL		WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	DISORDERS	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
		providers/ 100,000							
2.44	Mental Health Provider Rate	population	34		99	214	2017		3
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.17	Suicide	population	13.8	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014		10
		<i>p=p=</i>							
	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia:								
1.89	Medicare Population	percent	11.4		11.7	9.9	2015		2
	·	percent			11.7				
1.50	Frequent Mental Distress	percent	12.0		10.6	15.0	2016		3
	Poor Mental Health: Average								
1.50	Number of Days	days	3.8		3.4	3.8	2016		3
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.86	Alzheimer's Disease	population	16.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
0.01			10.0		47.0	4.0.7	2215		
0.61	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	13.6		17.0	16.7	2015		2
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	MORTALITY DATA	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.75	Breast Cancer	females	25.7	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
	B. Edge Surficer	deaths/ 1,000 live	23.7	20.7	20.2	20.5	2011 2013		
2.58	Infant Mortality Rate	births	9.4	6.0	5.8	6.0	2013		10
2.00	mane more tancy nace	DII CIIO	3.1	0.0	3.0	0.0	2013		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.44	Colorectal Cancer	population	16.5	14.5	14.4	14.5	2011-2015		6
2.44	COIOI ECLAI CAIICEI	μυμαιατίστι	10.5	14.0	14.4	14.0	2011-2013		U

									1
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.36	Cerebrovascular Disease (Stroke)	population	47.7	34.8	42.0	37.3	2010-2014		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.17	Suicide	population	13.8	10.2	11.7	12.5	2010-2014		10
2.44	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000	50.4	26.4	27.6	20.2	2010 2014		10
2.14	Unintentional Injuries Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	population deaths/100,000	50.4	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014		10
1.75	Diabetes	population	29.2		21.7	21.2	2010-2014		10
1175	Diabetes	population	23.2		21.7	21.2	2010 2017		10
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.50	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	14.7		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
4.47	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000	170.6		172.0	171.0	2010 2014		10
1.47	Heart Disease	population	179.6		173.0	171.9	2010-2014		10
1.22	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	27.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
1.17	Cancer	population	160.9	161.4	156.4	163.5	2011-2015		6
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.14	Prostate Cancer	males	19.1	21.8	18.1	19.5	2011-2015		6
2.2.	. 1001010 0011001	marce	13.11	22.0	10.1	15.5	2011 2010		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/100,000							
0.86	Alzheimer's Disease	population	16.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
		deaths/ 100,000							_
0.50	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	7.0		9.4	12.4	2006-2012		3
0.00	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 population	31.2	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
0.00	Lung Cancer	роригиноп	31.2	45.5	33.0	75.7	2011 2015		0
-									
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	OLDER ADULTS & AGING	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare					1			1
2.61	Population	percent	24.1		19.9	18.1	2015		2
	Hypertension: Medicare								
2.61	Population	percent	65.3		57.5	55.0	2015		2
2.44	Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population	nercont	8.8		7.4	8.1	2015		2
2.44	<u> </u>	percent	8.8		7.4	8.1	2013		

	People 65+ Living Below Poverty							Black or African	
2.39	Level	percent	14.5		10.8	9.3	2012-2016	American, Other	1
2.33	Heart Failure: Medicare Population	narcant	19.1		15.5	13.5	2015		2
2.33	Population	percent	19.1		15.5	13.5	2015		2
	Ischemic Heart Disease: Medicare								
2.33	Population	percent	32.8		28.8	26.5	2015		2
2.28	Diabetes: Medicare Population	percent	30.3		28.2	26.5	2015		2
2.28	Stroke: Medicare Population	percent	4.8		4.5	4.0	2015		2
2.17	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	31.9		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
2.00	Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population	percent	32.3		31.6	30.0	2015		2
1.89	Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population	percent	11.4		11.7	9.9	2015		2
1.89	Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population	percent	47.6		46.1	44.6	2015		2
1.78	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	11.9		11.1	11.2	2015		2
1.28	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.4		8.2	8.2	2015		2
1.28	Cancer: Medicare Population	percent	6.7		7.1	7.8	2015		2
1.17	People 65+ with Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	1.8				2015		15
0.86	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Alzheimer's Disease	deaths/ 100,000 population	16.8		26.6	24.5	2010-2014		10
0.61	Depression: Medicare Population	percent	13.6		17.0	16.7	2015		2
0.33	Osteoporosis: Medicare Population	percent	4.3		6.5	6.0	2015		2
0.55	. spaintion	percent	7.5		5.5	5.0	2013		
SCORE	OTHER CHRONIC DISEASES	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.61	Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population	percent	24.1		19.9	18.1	2015		2

			I	<u> </u>			1		
	Rheumatoid Arthritis or								
	Osteoarthritis: Medicare						2215		
2.00	Population	percent	32.3		31.6	30.0	2015		2
	Osteoporosis: Medicare								
0.33	Population	percent	4.3		6.5	6.0	2015		2
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	PREVENTION & SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
SCORE	TREVERTION & SALETT	011113	COONTT	111 2020	TCAGS	0.5.	TEMOD	THOM DISTANTI	Jource
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
2.14	Unintentional Injuries	population	50.4	36.4	37.6	39.2	2010-2014		10
2.14	Offiliteritional injuries	рориватоп	30.4	30.4	37.0	33.2	2010-2014		10
1.17	Severe Housing Problems	percent	15.3		18.3	18.8	2010-2014		3
		deaths/ 100,000							
0.50	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	population	7.0		9.4	12.4	2006-2012		3
			MALARTON				NATA CLIDENATALT		
CCODE	DUDUG CAFETY	LINUTC	WHARTON	1102020	T		MEASUREMENT	LUCII DICDADITV*	6
SCORE	PUBLIC SAFETY	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
4.70		cases/ 1,000	0.6		0.5		2047		
1.78	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	children	9.6		8.5		2017		9
4.70	V. 1	crimes/ 100,000	442.5		407.6		2012 2014		
1.72	Violent Crime Rate	population	412.5		407.6		2012-2014		3
1.22	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	27.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
		,							
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	RESPIRATORY DISEASES	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.78	COPD: Medicare Population	percent	11.9		11.1	11.2	2015		2
1.70	55. 5. Wedicare Fopulation	percent	11.5		****	11.6	2013		
	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
1.50	Influenza and Pneumonia	population	14.7		14.2	15.2	2010-2014		10
		роријацин					2010-2014		10
1.28	Asthma: Medicare Population	percent	7.4		8.2	8.2	2015		2
		cases/ 100,000							
1.22	Tuberculosis Incidence Rate	population	1.4	1.0	4.5		2013-2017		10
	Lung and Bronchus Cancer	cases/ 100,000							
0.72	Incidence Rate	population	49.9		53.1	60.2	2011-2015		6

	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to	deaths/ 100,000							
0.00	Lung Cancer	population	31.2	45.5	39.0	43.4	2011-2015		6
SCORE	SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.28	Children Living Below Poverty Level	percent	28.6		23.9	21.2	2012-2016	Black or African American	1
2.17	People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher	percent	14.5		28.1	30.3	2012-2016	Black or African American, Other	1
2.17	People 65+ Living Alone	percent	31.9		23.9	26.4	2012-2016		1
1.89	People Living Below Poverty Level	percent	17.7		16.7	15.1	2012-2016	6-11, <6 Black or African American	1
1.89	Single-Parent Households	percent	36.7		33.3	33.6	2012-2016		1
1.83	People 25+ with a High School Degree or Higher	percent	78.0		82.3	87.0	2012-2016	65+ Other	1
1.83	Per Capita Income	dollars	23245		27828	29829	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Other, Two or More Races	1
1.83	Total Employment Change	percent	1.7		3.2	2.5	2014-2015		14
1.78	Female Population 16+ in Civilian Labor Force	percent	53.0		57.7	58.3	2012-2016		1
1.78	Substantiated Child Abuse Rate	cases/ 1,000 children	9.6		8.5		2017		9
1.75	Persons with Health Insurance	percent	78.5	100.0	81.4		2016		8
1.72	Linguistic Isolation	percent	5.2		7.9	4.5	2012-2016		1
1.67	Median Household Income	dollars	46445		54727	55322	2012-2016	Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino	1
1.58	Median Housing Unit Value	dollars	107000		142700	184700	2012-2016		1
1.56	Voter Turnout: Presidential Election	percent	58.4		58.8		2016		12

	Population 16+ in Civilian Labor								
1.44	Force	percent	61.5		64.2	63.1	2012-2016		1
1.22	Homeownership	percent	58.4		55.0	55.9	2012-2016		1
1.19	Mortgaged Owners Median Monthly Household Costs	dollars	1204		1444	1491	2012-2016		1
1.14	Median Monthly Owner Costs for Households without a Mortgage	dollars	416		467	462	2012-2016		1
1.03	Median Household Gross Rent	dollars	699		911	949	2012-2016		1
0.89	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	22.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
SCORE	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
1.22	Alcohol-Impaired Driving Deaths	percent	27.8		28.3	29.3	2012-2016		3
0.83	Adults who Drink Excessively	percent	17.0	25.4	19.4	18.0	2016		3
0.61	Liquor Store Density	stores/100,000 population	4.8		6.8	10.5	2015		14
0.50	Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning	deaths/ 100,000 population	7.0		9.4	12.4	2006-2012		3
SCORE	TRANSPORTATION	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.56	Workers who Walk to Work	percent	1.1	3.1	1.6	2.8	2012-2016		1
2.28	Workers who Drive Alone to Work	percent	84.0		80.3	76.4	2012-2016	Asian	1
2.11	Workers Commuting by Public Transportation	percent	0.3	5.5	1.5	5.1	2012-2016		1
1.67	Households with No Car and Low Access to a Grocery Store	percent	2.9				2015		15
1.17	Households without a Vehicle	percent	5.9		5.6	9.0	2012-2016		1

	Solo Drivers with a Long								
0.94	Commute	percent	28.0		36.9	34.7	2012-2016		3
0.89	Mean Travel Time to Work	minutes	22.6		25.9	26.1	2012-2016		1
			WHARTON				MEASUREMENT		
SCORE	WELLNESS & LIFESTYLE	UNITS	COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.50	Self-Reported General Health Assessment: Poor or Fair	percent	21.7		18.2	16.0	2016		3
2.17	Poor Physical Health: Average Number of Days	days	4.0		3.5	3.7	2016		3
1.83	Frequent Physical Distress	percent	12.7		10.8	15.0	2016		3
1.67	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.0		80.8	81.5	2014		5
1.56	Life Expectancy for Males	years	75.1		76.2	76.7	2014		5
1.17	Insufficient Sleep	percent	32.4		32.7	38.0	2016		3
SCORE	WOMEN'S HEALTH	UNITS	WHARTON COUNTY	HP2020	Texas	U.S.	MEASUREMENT PERIOD	HIGH DISPARITY*	Source
2.75	Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer	deaths/ 100,000 females	25.7	20.7	20.2	20.9	2011-2015		6
2.31	Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	18.3	7.3	9.4	7.8	2007-2011		6
1.67	Life Expectancy for Females	years	80.0		80.8	81.5	2014		5
0.94	Breast Cancer Incidence Rate	cases/ 100,000 females	101.5		111.7	124.7	2011-2015		6

Appendix C. Primary Data Methodology

Community Input Participants

AccessHealth (FQHC) (Fort Bend Family

Health Center)

AIDS Foundation of Houston

Association for the Advancement of

Mexican Americans

Avenue CDC

Catholic Charities - Archdiocese of

Galveston

Catholic Charities - Fort Bend Child Advocates of Fort Bend

Children at Risk Christ Clinic

City of Houston, Department of Parks and

Recreation

Coastal Area Health Education Centers

(AHEC)

Community Health Choice El Centro de Corazon

Episcopal Health Foundation

Fort Bend County Health and Human

Services

Fort Bend County Sheriff's Office

Fort Bend Regional Council On Substance

Abuse

Fort Bend Seniors Meals on Wheels

Fort Bend Women's Center
Galveston County Health District

Galveston County Mental Health Deputies

Greater Houston Partnership

Greater Houston Women's Chamber of

Commerce

Gulf Coast Medical Foundation
Harris County Public Health

Healthcare for the Homeless - Houston

HOPE Clinic (FQHC)
Houston Food Bank

Houston Health Department Houston Housing Authority

Houston Independent School District

Interfaith Community Clinic

Kinder Institute

Legacy Community Health
Liberty County Sheriff's Office
Lone Star Family Health Center

Midtown Arts and Theater Center Houston Montgomery County Women's Center

Baker-Ripley Early Head Start

Patient Care Intervention Center (PCIC)

Prairie View A&M University Santa Maria Hostel, Inc. The Arc of Fort Bend County

The Harris Center for Mental Health and

IDD (formerly MHMRA)

The Rose

The Women's Home

Tri-County Services Behavioral Healthcare

United Way of Brazoria County United Way of Greater Houston

United Way of Harris and Montgomery

County

West Chambers Medical Center (FQHC)

YMCA of Greater Houston

Key Informant Interview Questionnaire (Episcopal Health Foundation)

- Good morning/afternoon [NAME OF INFORMANT]. My name is [NAME OF INTERVIEWER], and I am with Health Resources in Action, a non-profit public health organization based in Boston. Thank you for speaking with me today.
- As we mentioned in our interview invitation, the Episcopal Health Foundation is coordinating an interview initiative to support four Greater Houston area hospital systems in preparing their community health needs assessments. The collaborating hospitals include CHI St. Luke's, Houston Methodist Hospital, Memorial Hermann Health System, and Texas Children's Hospital.
- The purpose of this interview is to gain a greater understanding of the health status and wellbeing of residents in the Greater Houston area and determine how these health needs are currently being addressed. Interviews like this one are being conducted with about 70 stakeholders from a range of sectors such as government, healthcare, business, and community service organizations. We are also interviewing community leaders with specific experience working with priority populations such as women, children, people of color, and the disabled to name a few.
- We are interested in hearing people's feedback on the needs of the broader Greater
 Houston community and the populations you work with as a leader in your community. The
 Foundation and the four hospitals welcome your critical feedback and suggestions for health
 improvement activities in the future. Your honesty during today's interview is encouraged
 and appreciated.
- As we mentioned in our interview invitation, the interview will last between 45 minutes to an hour and it will be recorded. After all the interviews are completed, Health Resources in Action will provide a transcript of your interview to the four hospitals for use in preparing their community health needs assessment reports. Each hospital will keep your interview transcript confidential and accessible only to the team that is preparing the community health needs assessment report. Health Resources in Action will also be preparing a report of the general themes that emerge across all the interviews to help the hospitals prepare their reports.
- The Foundation has asked Health Resources in Action to ask all interviewees how they wish any quotes from today's interview to be presented in reports. There are three options. Quotes may be presented anonymously without your name or organization, presented with your name and organization, or presented with only the sector you represent. Which option would you like to choose?

•	RECORD RESPONSE FROM INTERVIEWEE:
	☐ Anonymous ☐ Name and organization ☐ Sector

Thank you. We will note your choice in the transcript that we provide to the hospitals.

- IF THE RESPONDENT IS UNSURE AT THE TIME OF THE INTERVIEW: Ok, please feel free to think it over and we will follow up with you for your decision before we send the transcript to the hospitals.
- Do you have any questions before we begin? BEGIN RECORDING THE INTERVIEW

INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE (55 MINUTES)

NOTES TO INTERVIEWER:

- INTERVIEW QUESTIONS MAY BE ADDED OR TAILORED TO MEET THE SPECIFIC POSITION/ROLE OF THE INTERVIEWEE
- THE QUESTIONS IN THE INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE ARE INTENDED TO SERVE AS A <u>GUIDE</u>,
 NOT A SCRIPT

BACKGROUND (5 MINUTES)

- Can you tell me a little bit about your role at your organization/agency?
 - Has your organization/agency ever partnered with any of the four hospitals involved in this shared community health needs assessment before? IF SO, PROBE IN WHAT CAPACITY/PROGRAM
- How would you describe the community you represent/the community your organization serves/the Greater Houston population at large? What are some of its defining characteristics in terms of demographics? INTERVIEWER: ESTABLISH WHAT THE INFORMANT CONSIDERS THE COMMUNITY TO BE FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE

COMMUNITY ISSUES (20 minutes)

INTERVIEWER: VARY THE LABEL OF 'COMMUNITY' BASED ON THE INFORMANT'S BACKGROUND AND HOW HE OR SHE DESCRIBES THE COMMUNITY; BE SURE TO PROBE ON WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S ISSUES TO ENSURE WE ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS IN ALL OUESTIONS AS RELEVANT

- Thinking about the status of the community today, how would you rate the overall health status of residents on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 being poor and 5 being very healthy?
- If you had to pick your top 3 <u>health</u> concerns in the community, what would they be? PROBE IN-DEPTH BASED ON INFORMANT AREA OF EXPERTISE
 - Who do you consider to be the populations in the community most vulnerable or at risk for these conditions/issues?
 - IF NOT YET MENTIONED, PROBE SPECIFICALLY ON PRIORITY POPULATION RELEVANT TO THE INFORMANT'S EXPERTISE: What do you think are the most pressing <u>health</u> concerns in the community for [PRIORITY POPULATION]?

- FOR INFORMANTS EXPERTISE WITH WOMEN AND CHILDREN: What do you think are the most pressing health concerns in the community for children and their families? How about for women?
- IF NOT YET DISCUSSED: Of the top three issues you mentioned, which would you rank as your top issue? How do you see this issue affecting community members' daily lives and their health? PROBE IN-DEPTH IN SPECIFIC FOCUS AREAS; MAY ASK ABOUT ONE ISSUE AT TIME AND FOCUS ON PERSON'S AREA OF EXPERTISE.
- From your experience, what are residents' biggest barriers to addressing the top 3 health issues you identified?

o PROBE: Social determinants of health?

PROBE: Barriers to accessing medical care?

PROBE: Barriers to accessing preventive services or programs?

FOCUS AREA: HEALTHY LIVING (5 MINUTES)

- I'd like to ask you about barriers affecting healthy living and the prevention of obesity.
 - What are some of the barriers to healthy eating and physical activity among the communities you serve?
 - What populations are most affected by barriers to healthy living and physical activity? PROBE ABOUT FOOD INSECURITY AND ACCESS TO SAFE SPACES FOR PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
 - What efforts or programs are you aware of that promote healthy living? PROBE ABOUT HEALTHY LIVING MATTERS COLLABORATIVE

ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE AND PUBLIC HEALTH/PREVENTION SERVICES (15 MINUTES)

- I'd like to ask you about access to health care and social services in your community.
 - What do you see as the strengths of the health care and social services in your community?
 - o What do you see as its limitations?
- What challenges/barriers do residents in your community face in accessing health care and social services? [PROBE IN DEPTH FOR BARRIERS TO CARE: INSURANCE ISSUES, LANGUAGE BARRIERS, ACCESS TO HEALTH INFORMATION/HEALTH LITERACY, LACK OF TRANSPORTION, CHILD CARE, ETC.]
 - What do you think needs to happen in the community you serve to help residents overcome or address these challenges?
- What programs, services, or policies are you aware of in the community that address access to health care and social services?
 - o In your opinion, how effective have these programs, services, or policies been at addressing the health needs of residents?

 What program, services, or policies are currently not available that you think should be?

IMPROVING THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY/RESIDENTS (10 MINUTES)

- What do you think needs to happen in the community you serve to help residents overcome or address the challenges they face in being able to be healthy?
- Earlier in this interview, you mentioned [TOP ISSUE] as being your top health priority for area residents. What do you think needs to be done to address [TOP ISSUE HERE]?
 - What do you think hospitals can do to address this issue that they aren't doing right now? Do you have any suggestions about how hospitals can be creative or work outside their traditional role to address this issue and improve community health?
 - What kinds of opportunities are currently out there that can be seized upon to address these issues? For example, are there some "low hanging fruit" – current collaborations or initiatives that can be strengthened or expanded?

VISION FOR THE COMMUNITY (5 MINUTES)

• The hospitals involved in this initiative will be planning their strategy to improve the health of the communities they serve. What advice do you have for the group developing the plan to address the top health needs you've mentioned?

CLOSING (5 MINUTES)

Thank you so much for your time. That's it for my questions. Is there anything else that you would like to mention that we didn't discuss today?

As I mentioned, after all of the interviews are completed, we will be sending your interview transcripts to the four hospitals. Each hospital will make their community health needs assessment reports publicly available when they are complete. If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out to Jennifer Mineo at the Episcopal Health Foundation who is coordinating this effort on behalf of the four hospitals. Thank you again. Have a good morning/afternoon.

Key Informant Interview Questionnaire (Conduent Healthy Communities Institute)

Good morning/afternoon [NAME OF INFORMANT]. My name is [NAME OF INTERVIEWER], and I am with Conduent Healthy Communities Institute. My colleague [name] is also on the line. We are working with Memorial Hermann Health System to conduct a Community Health Needs Assessment.

- The purpose of this interview is to gain a greater understanding of the health status and wellbeing of residents in the Greater Houston area and determine how these health needs are currently being addressed. Interviews like this one are being conducted with about 12 stakeholders from a range of sectors such as government, healthcare, business, and community service organizations. We are also interviewing community leaders with specific experience working with priority populations such as women, children, people of color, and the disabled to name a few.
- We are interested in hearing people's feedback on the needs of the community and the
 populations you work with as a leader in your community. Memorial Hermann welcome
 your critical feedback and suggestions for health improvement activities in the future. Your
 honesty during today's interview is encouraged and appreciated.
- As we mentioned in our interview invitation, the interview will last between 45 minutes to an hour and it will be recorded. After all the interviews are completed, we will analyze and summarize all the interviews to incorporate into the community health needs assessment reports. Each MH hospital will keep your interview transcript confidential and accessible only to the team that is preparing the community health needs assessment report.
- Memorial Hermann has asked HCl to ask all interviewees how they wish any quotes from today's interview to be presented in reports. There are three options. Quotes may be presented anonymously without your name or organization, presented with your name and organization, or presented with only the sector you represent.
 - Which option would you like to choose?
 - RECORD RESPONSE FROM INTERVIEWEE:

 ☐ Anonymous ☐ Name and organization ☐ Sector
- Thank you. We will note your choice in the transcript that we provide to the hospitals.
 - IF THE RESPONDENT IS UNSURE AT THE TIME OF THE INTERVIEW: Ok, please feel free to think it over and we will follow up with you for your decision before we send the transcript to the hospitals.
 - Do you have any questions before we begin? BEGIN RECORDING THE INTERVIEW

INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE (55 MINUTES)

NOTES TO INTERVIEWER:

- INTERVIEW QUESTIONS MAY BE ADDED OR TAILORED TO MEET THE SPECIFIC POSITION/ROLE OF THE INTERVIEWEE
- THE QUESTIONS IN THE INTERVIEW QUESTIONNAIRE ARE INTENDED TO SERVE AS A <u>GUIDE</u>, NOT A SCRIPT

BACKGROUND (5 MINUTES)

- Can you tell me a little bit about your role at your organization?
 - Has your organization/agency ever partnered with MH's community health needs assessment before? IF SO, PROBE IN WHAT CAPACITY/PROGRAM
- How would you describe the community you represent/the community your organization serves? What are some of its defining characteristics in terms of demographics?
 INTERVIEWER: ESTABLISH WHAT THE INFORMANT CONSIDERS THE COMMUNITY TO BE FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE

COMMUNITY ISSUES (20 minutes)

INTERVIEWER: VARY THE LABEL OF 'COMMUNITY' BASED ON THE INFORMANT'S BACKGROUND AND HOW HE OR SHE DESCRIBES THE COMMUNITY; BE SURE TO PROBE ON WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S ISSUES TO ENSURE WE ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITALS IN ALL QUESTIONS AS RELEVANT

- Thinking about the status of the community today, how would you rate the overall health status of residents on a scale of 1 to 5 with 1 being poor and 5 being very healthy?
- If you had to pick your top 3 <u>health</u> concerns in the community, what would they be?
 PROBE IN-DEPTH BASED ON INFORMANT AREA OF EXPERTISE
 - Who do you consider to be the populations in the community most vulnerable or at risk for these conditions/issues?
 - IF NOT YET MENTIONED, PROBE SPECIFICALLY ON PRIORITY POPULATION RELEVANT TO THE INFORMANT'S EXPERTISE: What do you think are the most pressing <u>health</u> concerns in the community for [PRIORITY POPULATION]?
 - FOR INFORMANTS EXPERTISE WITH WOMEN AND CHILDREN: What do you think are the most pressing health concerns in the community for children and their families? How about for women?
 - IF NOT YET DISCUSSED: Of the top three issues you mentioned, which would you rank as your top issue? How do you see this issue affecting

community members' daily lives and their health? PROBE IN-DEPTH IN SPECIFIC FOCUS AREAS; MAY ASK ABOUT ONE ISSUE AT TIME AND FOCUS ON PERSON'S AREA OF EXPERTISE.

- From your experience, what are residents' biggest barriers to addressing the top 3 health issues you identified?
 - o PROBE: Social determinants of health?
 - o PROBE: Barriers to accessing medical care?
 - PROBE: Barriers to accessing preventive services or programs?

FOCUS AREA: HEALTHY LIVING (5 MINUTES)

- I'd like to ask you about barriers affecting healthy living and the prevention of obesity.
 - What are some of the barriers to healthy eating and physical activity among the communities you serve?
 - What populations are most affected by these barriers to healthy living and physical activity? PROBE ABOUT FOOD INSECURITY AND ACCESS TO SAFE SPACES FOR PHYSICAL ACTIVITY
 - What efforts or programs are you aware of that promote healthy living? PROBE ABOUT HEALTHY LIVING MATTERS COLLABORATIVE

ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE AND PUBLIC HEALTH/PREVENTION SERVICES (15 MINUTES)

- I'd like to ask you about access to health care and social services in your community.
 - o What ARE the strengths of the health care and social services in your community?
 - O What are some of their limitations?
- What challenges/barriers do residents in your community face when accessing health care
 and social services? [PROBE IN DEPTH FOR BARRIERS TO CARE: INSURANCE ISSUES,
 LANGUAGE BARRIERS, ACCESS TO HEALTH INFORMATION/HEALTH LITERACY, LACK OF
 TRANSPORTION, CHILD CARE, ETC.]
 - What do you think needs to happen in the community to help residents overcome or address these challenges?
- What programs, services, or policies are you aware of that address access to health care and social services?
 - In your opinion, how effective have these programs, services, or policies been at addressing the health needs of residents?
 - O What program, services, or policies not available that you think should be?

IMPROVING THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY/RESIDENTS (10 MINUTES)

- What do you think needs to happen in the community to help residents overcome or address the challenges they face in being able to be healthy?
- Earlier in this interview, you mentioned [TOP ISSUE] as being your top health priority for area residents. What do you think needs to be done to address [TOP ISSUE HERE]?
 - What do you think hospitals can do to address this issue that they are not doing right now?
 - Do you have any suggestions about how hospitals can be creative or work outside their traditional role to address this issue and improve community health?
 - What kinds of opportunities are currently out there that can be seized upon to address these issues? For example, are there some "low hanging fruit" – current collaborations or initiatives that can be strengthened or expanded?

VISION FOR THE COMMUNITY (5 MINUTES)

• The hospitals involved in this initiative will be planning their strategy to improve the health of the communities they serve.

What advice do you have for the group developing the plan to address the top health needs you've mentioned?

CLOSING (5 MINUTES)

Thank you so much for your time. That's it for my questions. Is there anything else that you would like to mention that we didn't discuss today?

As I mentioned, after all of the interviews are completed, we will be sending your interview transcripts to Memorial Hermann. The community health needs assessment reports will be **publicly** available when they are complete. If you have any questions, please feel free to reach out to Deborah Ganelin at Memorial Hermann who is coordinating this effort. Thank you again. Have a good morning/afternoon.

Community Survey (English)

Memorial Hermann Health System is conducting a Community Health Needs Assessment for the Greater Houston area. This assessment allows Memorial Hermann to better understand the health status and needs of the community and use the knowledge gained to implement programs that will benefit the community.

We can better understand community needs by gathering voices from the community. This survey allows community members like you to tell us about what you feel are important issues for your community.

We estimate that it will take about 5 minutes to complete this survey.

Thank you very much for your input and your time!

1.	Please look at this list of community issues. In your opinion, what are the top 5 issues most affecting the quality of life in your community? Diabetes Obesity/Overweight Respiratory/Lung Disease (asthma, COPD, etc.) Cancers Mental Health and Mental Disorders Injuries, Violence and Safety Substance Abuse (alcohol, tobacco, drugs, etc.) Oral Health Heart Disease and Stroke Sexual Health (HIV/AIDS, STDs, etc.) Teenage Pregnancy Elder Care Reproductive Health (family planning) Other (please specify):
2.	How would you rate your own personal health? Very healthy Somewhat healthy Unhealthy Very unhealthy
3.	About how many times a week do you exercise or perform a physical activity like walking, running, bicycling, etc.? Less than 1 time a week 2-3 times a week 5 or more times a week Never Other (please specify):

4.	What are some of the barriers or challenges to ☐ No places to exercise ☐ I don't like exercising ☐ Feel unsafe exercising in the community ☐ None of my friends or family exercise ☐ No childcare ☐ Lack of funds to pay for gym or classes ☐ No transportation ☐ Other (please specify):				ou?	
5.	How much do you agree or disagree with each of	Agree	ments belo Agree	Disagree	Disagree	
		strongly			strongly	
	re are good parks for children, adults and people of bilities to enjoy in my community					
In tl	ne past 12 months, I had a problem getting the					
hea	Ith care I needed for me or a family member from					
	type of health care provider, dentist, pharmacy, or er facility					
I do	n't know where to get services for myself when I am					
sad,	depressed or need someone to talk to					
l am	confident I can get an appointment when I need to					
see	my doctor fairly quickly					
I ha	ve a place to receive medical care other than the					
eme	ergency room					
Wit	hin the past 12 months, I worried whether my food					
wou	ıld run out before I got money to buy more					
Wit	hin the past 12 months, the food I bought just didn't					
last	and I didn't have money to get more					
The	There are many options for healthy and affordable food					
in m	ny community					
	Has your doctor ever told you that you have and High blood pressure High cholesterol Cancer Diabetes Obesity Asthma Heart disease Other (please specify):					
Nov ask	w, a few questions so that we can see how differ ed.	ent types o	f people fo	eel about the	questions	
7.	Zip code where you live:					
	What is your age?					
٠.						

9.	Wh	at is your race/ethnicity?
		White
		Black/African American
		Hispanic/Latino
		Asian/Pacific Islander
		Native American
		Other (please specify):
10.	Wh	at are the ages of children living in your household?
		11 and younger
		12-18 years old
		18 and older
		None
11.	Wh	at kind of medical insurance or coverage do you have?
		Private
		Employer-sponsored
		Medicaid
		Medicare
		None
		Other (please specify):

Thank you for completing this survey!

Community Survey (Spanish)

Memorial Hermann Health System está realizando una Evaluación de las Necesidades de Salud de la Comunidad en el área metropolitana de Houston. Esta evaluación permite a Memorial Hermann comprender mejor el estado de salud y las necesidades de la comunidad, así como usar la información obtenida para poner en práctica programas que beneficien a la comunidad.

Calculamos que le tomará unos 5	minutos completar esta encuesta.
1. Lea la lista de problemas de la comunidad. En su c	opinión ¿cuáles son los <u>5 problemas que más</u>
afectan la calidad de vida en su comunidad?	
□ Diabetes	□ Salud bucal
□ Obesidad/sobrepeso	□ Enfermedades cardíacas y accidentes
□ Enfermedades respiratorias/pulmonares	cerebrovasculares
(asma, enfermedad pulmonar obstructiva	□ Salud sexual (VIH/sida, enfermedades
crónica [EPOC], etc.)	de transmisión sexual [ETS], etc.)
□ Cáncer	□ Embarazos de adolescentes
☐ Salud mental y trastornos mentales	□ Cuidado de ancianos
□ Lesiones, violencia y seguridad	□ Salud reproductiva (planificación familiar)
□ Drogodependencia (alcohol, tabaco, drogas,	
etc.)	
□ Otros, (especifique):	
2. ¿Cómo calificaría su propia salud personal?	
□ Muy buena	□ Mala
□ Bastante buena	□ Muy mala
 ¿Aproximadamente, cuántas veces por semana ha correr, andar en bicicleta, etc.? 	ace ejercicio o alguna actividad física, como caminar,
□ Menos de 1 vez por semana	□ 5 o más veces por semana
□ De 2 a 3 veces por semana	_ Nunca
□ Otros, (especifique):	
4. ¿Cuáles son algunas de las barreras o dificultades	que le impiden hacer ejercicio regularmente?
□ No tengo un lugar donde hacer ejercicio.	□ No tengo con quién dejar a mis hijos mientra
□ No tengo tiempo para hacer ejercicio.	hago ejercicio.
□ No me gusta hacer ejercicio.	□ No tengo dinero para pagar un gimnasio o
□ No me siento seguro/a haciendo ejercicio en	clases.
mi comunidad.	□ No tengo acceso a transporte.
□ Ninguno de mis amigos o familiares hacen	- ,
ejercicio.	
Otros (especifique):	

5. ¿Le ha dicho su médico alguna de las siguientes afecci correspondan). □ Presión arterial alta □ Colesterol alto □ Cáncer □ Diabetes □ Otros, (especifique):	□ Obesid □ Asma			que
6. ¿En qué medida está de acuerdo o en desacuerdo con			entes afirmac	
	Muy de acuerdo	De acuerdo	En desacuerdo	Muy en desacuerdo
En mi comunidad, hay buenos parques para niños, adultos y personas con todo tipo de capacidades para nuestro disfrute.	acuerdo	acuerdo	desacuerdo	uesacueruo
En los últimos 12 meses, tuve un problema para obtener el cuidado médico que necesitaba para mí o para un familiar por parte de cualquier tipo de proveedor de cuidado de la salud, dentista, farmacia u otro centro sanitario.				
No sé dónde obtener servicios para mí cuando estoy triste, deprimido/a, o necesito hablar con alguien.				
Sé con seguridad que puedo obtener una cita con mi médico con cierta rapidez.				
Tengo a mi disposición un lugar para recibir cuidados				
médicos que no sea una sala de emergencias.				
En los últimos 12 meses, me preocupé de si la comida se				
agotaría antes de obtener dinero para comprar más				
alimentos.				
En los últimos 12 meses, los alimentos que compré simplemente no duraron lo suficiente y no tuve dinero para comprar más.				
En mi comunidad hay muchas opciones para comprar				
alimentos saludables y asequibles.				
Ahora le haremos algunas preguntas para poder de personas acerca de las pregu				tos grupos
7. Código postal de su casa:				
8. ¿Cuántos años tiene?				
9. ¿Cuál es su raza/origen étnico?				
□ Blanco/a			o/a del Pacífio	CO
□ Negro/a o afroamericano/a	□ Indíger	na america	no/a	
□ Hispano/a o latino/a	□ Otro/a	, (especifiq	ue):	
10. ¿Cuántos años tienen los niños/as que viven en su ca	sa?			
□ 11 y menos	□ Más de	e 18 años		
□ Entre 12 y 18 años	□ Ningur			
	_			

1. ¿Qué tipo de seguro médico o cobertura tiene?					
□ Privado	□ Medicare				
□ Patrocinado por un empleador	□ Ninguno				
□ Medicaid	□ Otro, (especifique):				


Appendix D. Prioritization Tool

Prioritization Survey

Thank you for your participation in this prioritization process.

The Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) process has multiple steps. After thorough research has been completed to identify the significant health needs in the community, these significant health needs must be prioritized for further strategic planning and implementation. Prioritization is the process of determining the most important or urgent health needs to address in communities.

Below is a diagram that shows the methods that were used to identify key issues across Memorial Hermann's service areas. These three methods included: a secondary data review, a community survey and key informant interviews. As you see, some issues revealed themselves across multiple methods. Reviewing this diagram may help you complete this survey.



1. The following health needs are not listed by order of importance. For each health need, click on the arrow on the drop down box and select your agreement with each statement. If you are on a tablet or phone, please scroll all the way to the right for each row.

The issue impacts	This issue	There are not	This issue has
many people in	significantly	enough existing	high risk for
my community	impacts	and adequate	disease or death
	subgroups	resources to	

(subgroups by age, gender, race/ethnicity, LGBTQ, etc.) Access to Health Services Heart Disease and Stroke Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity				
race/ethnicity, LGBTQ, etc.) Access to Health Services Heart Disease and Stroke Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity		(subgroups by	address this issue	
Access to Health Services Heart Disease and Stroke Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity		age, gender,	in my community	
Access to Health Services Heart Disease and Stroke Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity		race/ethnicity,		
Access to Health Services Heart Disease and Stroke Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity				
Heart Disease and Stroke Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Access to Health			
Stroke Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Services			
Older Adults and Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Heart Disease and			
Aging Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Stroke			
Obesity (Exercise, Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Older Adults and			
Nutrition and Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Aging			
Weight) Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Obesity (Exercise,			
Transportation Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Nutrition and			
Mental Health Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Weight)			
Diabetes Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Transportation			
Substance Abuse Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Mental Health			
Cancers Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity				
Lack of Health Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Substance Abuse			
Insurance Education Food Insecurity	Cancers			
Education Food Insecurity	Lack of Health			
Food Insecurity	Insurance			
	Education			
	Food Insecurity			
Low-	Low-			
Income/Underserved	Income/Underserved			
Children's Health	Children's Health			
Economy	Economy			

2. Indicate the level of importance that should be given towards each of Memorial Hermann's 4 Pillars. Key definitions are listed below.

	Not	Somewhat	Important	Very	Not Sure
	Important	Important		Important	
Access to care (including					
healthcare access, healthcare					
resource awareness,					
healthcare navigation /					
literacy)					
Food as health (including food					
insecurity, food programs,					
food knowledge)					
Exercise as medicine					
(including obesity, access to					
parks, safe places to exercise)					
Emotional well-being					
(including emotional health,					
mental health, substance					
abuse)					

Key definitions:

Healthcare navigation/literacy: need for education in navigating health systems Food insecurity: lacking reliable access to healthy food options

Food knowledge: one's understanding of healthy foods 3. Who in your community is most affected by poor health outcomes? (Select up to 5) ☐ Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer or Questioning (LGBTQ) ☐ Older Adults ☐ Persons with Disabilities (cognitive, sensory or physical disability) ☐ Racial/Ethnic Minority Populations □ Veterans ☐ Immigrants or other undocumented persons ☐ Persons experiencing homelessness or precariously housed ☐ Other Populations (please specify): _____ 4. Please provide your name: ______ 5. Please provide your email address: ______ 6. Please select the name(s) of the healthcare facility or facilities you represent. You may choose more than one. ☐ Memorial Hermann Katy ☐ Memorial Hermann Memorial City ☐ Memorial Hermann Greater Heights ☐ Memorial Hermann Northeast ☐ Memorial Hermann Southeast ☐ Memorial Hermann Sugar Land ☐ Memorial Hermann Southwest ☐ Memorial Hermann The Woodlands ☐ Katy Rehab ☐ Texas Medical Center ☐ TIRR Memorial Hermann ☐ Memorial Hermann Surgical Hospital Kingwood ☐ Memorial Hermann Surgical Hospital First Colony

Food programs: programs, efforts or services designed to address food issues

Thank you for your input and participation in the Community Health Needs Assessment process.

□ Memorial Hermann First Colony Hospital (ER)
 □ Memorial Hermann Tomball Hospital (ER)
 □ Other (please specify):

Appendix E. Community Resources

The following is a list of community resources mentioned by community input participants.

2-1-1 Texas City of Pasadena

A.C. Taylor Health Center Coastal Area Health Education Centers

AccessHealth (AHEC)

Acres Home Health Center

AIDS Foundation Houston

Aldine Health Center

Community Health Choice

County Indigent Health Care Program

Covenant with Christ Community Service

American Heart Association Center

American Red Cross Cypress Health Center

Amistad Community Health Center Danny Jackson Health Center

Area Agency on Aging Dental Hygiene Clinic

Association for the Advancement of E. A. "Squatty" Lyons Health Center

Mexican Americans El Centro De Corazon

Avenue 360 Health & Wellness El Franco Lee Health Center
Avenue CDC Episcopal Health Foundation

Baker-Ripley Family Services (Galveston County)

Bastrop Community Health Center Fort Bend Connect

Baylor Teen Health Clinic Fort Bend County Collaborative Information

Bayside Clinic System

Baytown Health Center Fort Bend County Health and Human

Bee Busy Wellness Center Services

Boat People SOS Fort Bend County Sheriff's Office
Bo's Place Fort Bend Regional Council On Substance

Brighter Bites Abuse

Brownsville Community Health Center Fort Bend Seniors Meals on Wheels

Buffalo Bayou Partnership Fort Bend Women's Center
Burleson Family Medical Center Galveston County Health District

BVCAA - HealthPoint Galveston County Mental Health Deputies

Can Do Houston Go Healthy Houston Task Force

Casa de Amigos Health Center GoodRx
Casa El Buen Samaritano Greater Houston Partnership

Catholic Charities of the Archdiocese of Greater Houston Women's Chamber of

Galveston-Houston-Fort Bend Greater Houston Women's Chamber of Galveston-Houston-Fort Bend Commerce

Central Care Community Health Gulf Coast Community Services Association

Chambers Community Health Center Gulf Coast Medical Foundation

CHI St. Luke's Health Gulfgate Health Center

Child Advocates of Fort Bend Harmony House Respite Center

Children at Risk Harris Center Crisis Line

Christ Clinic Harris County Public Health and
Christian Community Services Center (CCSC) Environmental Services (HCPHES)

Christian Community Services Center (CCSC) Environmental Services (HCPHES)
CHRISTUS Health System Harris County Rides

Cities Changing Diabetes Harris County Social Services

City of Houston Harris Health System

City of Houston, Department of Parks and Harvest Green (Development)

Recreation HEAL Initiative

Health Center of Southeast Texas Healthcare for the Homeless - Houston Healthy Living Matters (Harris County)

Helping Hands Food Pantry

HOPE Clinic (FQHC)
Houston Food Bank

Houston Health Department Houston Housing Authority

Houston Independent School District Houston Ryan White Planning Council

Houston Shifa Synott Clinic

Huntsville Memorial Hospital Clinic

IbnSina Foundation
India House Charity Clinic
Interfaith Community Clinic

Interfaith Ministries Meals on Wheels

Interfaith of The Woodlands

Kinder Institute

La Nueva Casa Health Center

Legacy Health (FQHC)

Leon County Community Health Center

Liberty County Sheriff's Office

Lone Star Family Heath Center (FQHC)

Long Branch Health Center Long Term Recovery Group

Los Barrios Unidos Community Clinic

Magnolia Health Center

Mamie George Community Center Martin Luther King Jr. Health Center

Medical Plus Supplies

MEHOP - Matagorda Episcopal Health

Outreach Program MET Head Start Methodist Hospital

Metrolift

Midtown Arts and Theater Center Houston

Montgomery County Food Bank
Montgomery County Women's Center

Neighborhood Health Center

Northwest Assistance Ministry's Children's

Clinic

Northwest Health Center Nuestra Clinica del Valle

Pat McWaters Health Clinic- Second Mile

Mission

Patient Care Intervention Center (PCIC)
Pearland Community Health Center

Pediatric & Adolescent Health Center

Physicians at Sugar Creek Planned Parenthood

Prairie View A&M University Quentin Mease Hospital

Regional Association of Grant Makers

Regional Medical Center Robert Carrasco Health Clinic

RSVP Med Spa San Jose Clinic

Santa Maria Hostel, Inc. Settegast Health Center

Seva Clinic Charity Medical Facility

Sheltering Arm Senior Services Division of

Baker Ripley Shifa Clinic Smith Clinic

Social Security Administration

Spring Branch Community Health Center

St. Hope Foundation St. Vincent's House

Stephen F. Austin Community Health

Network

Strawberry Health Center Texana Behavioral Health

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service

Texas Children's Hospital

Texas Medicaid and CHIP Medical

Transportation Program
The Arc of Fort Bend County

The Beacon

The Harris Center for Mental Health and

IDD (formerly MHMRA)

The Rose

The Women's Home

Thomas Street Health Center

TOMAGWA Clinic

Tri-County Services Behavioral Healthcare

Uber Health

United Way of Brazoria County
United Way of Greater Houston
United Way Project Blueprint
University of Houston - College of

Optometry

University of Texas Health - Dental University of Texas Health Services University of Texas Physicians

Urban Harvest

UTMB

Valbona Health Center
VCare Clinic
Vecino Health Center
West Chambers Medical Center (FQHC)
West Houston Assistance Ministries
(WHAM)
Whole Life Service Center
Women's Care Center
Workforce Solutions
YMCA of Greater Houston